



2014

ADOPTED BUDGET

City of Marysville

ADOPTED
CITY OF MARYSVILLE
FISCAL YEAR 2013-14 BUDGET

RICKY A. SAMAYOA
Mayor

JAMES KITCHEN
Vice Mayor

CHRISTOPHER PEDIGO
Councilmember



DALE WHITMORE
Councilmember

MICHAEL SELVIDGE
Councilmember

WALTER K. MUNCHHEIMER
City Manager

Prepared by
DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES
Matt Michaelis, Manager

CITY HALL
526 C STREET, 1ST FLOOR
MARYSVILLE, CA 95901
(530) 749-3901

Table of Contents

General Information

Financial Summary 2013-2014 Total City Budget	i
Budgeted Staff Positions by Department.....	ii
Reader's Guide to Understanding the Budget.....	iv
Organization Chart	v
Authorizing Resolutions.....	vi

Budget Financial Schedules

Schedule 1, All Funds Summary of Financing Sources and Uses, Fiscal Year 2013-14	
Schedule 2A, Governmental Funds Summary of Financing Sources and Uses, Fiscal Year 2013-14	
Schedule 2B, Other Funds Summary of Financing Sources and Uses, Fiscal Year 2013-14	
Schedule 3, Fund Balance, Governmental Funds, Fiscal Year 2013-14	
Schedule 4, Summary of New Revenue By Source and Fund, Governmental Funds, Fiscal Year 2013-14	
Schedule 5A, Detail of New Revenue By Source and Fund, Fiscal Year 2013-14	
Schedule 5B, Detail of New Revenue By Fund and Account, Fiscal Year 2013-14	
Schedule 6, Summary of Financing Uses by Function and Fund, Fiscal Year 2013-14	
Schedule 7, Summary of Expenditure Appropriations by Function and Budget Unit, Fiscal Year 2013-14	

Budget Summaries—Governmental

Administrative Services Department.....	1.1
City Council	2.1
City Manager's Office	3.1
City Clerk	4.1
Police Department.....	5.1
Fire Department.....	6.1
City Services Department.....	7.1
SB 325 Local Transportation	8.1
Gas Tax	9.1
Bok Kai Event.....	10.1
Nondepartmental Special Accounts.....	11.1
Yuba Local Agency Formation Commission.....	12.1
Debt Service	13.1
Capital Projects	14.1
Parks, Recreation & Cultural Capital Improvements	15.1

Budget Summaries—Other

Redevelopment Successor Agency.....	16.1
Wastewater Enterprise Fund.....	17.1
Mary Aaron Museum Fund.....	18.1
Marysville Levee Commission Fund.....	19.1

Capital Investment Plan (CIP)

Capital Investment Plan, FY 2014 – 2018	20.1
-----------------------------------------------	------

Appendix and Index

Statistics	21.1
Glossary	21.2
Index.....	21.4



CITY OF MARYSVILLE

RICKY A. SAMAYOA
MAYOR
COUNCIL MEMBERS
JAMES E. KITCHEN
CHRISTOPHER PEDIGO
MICHAEL SELVIDGE
DALE WHITMORE

526 C STREET
MARYSVILLE, CALIFORNIA 95901
TELEPHONE
(530) 749-3901
FACSIMILE
(530) 749-3992

June 27, 2013

To: The People of Marysville
From: Ricky A. Samayoa, Mayor
Subject: Fiscal Year 2013-14 Budget

The Mayor and City Council are pleased to present the Adopted Budget for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2013. This budget reflects the City's commitment to a more open and inclusive process of identifying what is important to our residents, business owners and visitors. The budget process for the first time in my tenure included open public forums and workshops designed to reach out to the community.

The Adopted Budget also outlines a new set of priorities. Under this budget, the Council has allocated resources to economic development and planning for our future. The Council understands that it is imperative that we focus on creating the environment and conditions for the private sector to invest heavily in our City. With the funds we have allocated to the Bounce Back initiative and a new Business Development position, the City of Marysville will have up-to-date systems and processes that will be attractive to new companies to bring more commerce to the community and to create new employment opportunities for our citizens.

The Adopted Budget also gives all stakeholders the tools to measure our accomplishments and to understand priority areas of agreement between the public, Council and City staff. I am grateful to all in the community who have been a part of helping us set the agenda reflected in this year's Adopted Budget.

Thanks, too, go to the staff and management of the City for the professionalism and hard work that this Budget represents. It is truly the important first step in our shared vision of a vital, strong and healthy City. It moves us one step closer to that prosperous place we are engaged in building the new Marysville.



CITY OF MARYSVILLE

WALTER K. MUNCHHEIMER
CITY MANAGER

526 C STREET
MARYSVILLE, CALIFORNIA 95901
TELEPHONE
(530) 749-3901
FACSIMILE
(530) 749-3992

June 5, 2013

Mayor and City Council
City of Marysville
City Hall
526 C Street
Marysville, California 95901

Honorable Mayor and Council Members:

I am pleased to submit the Proposed Budget for Fiscal Year (FY) 2013-14 for your consideration. The Proposed Budget total of \$11.533 million represents a decrease of \$1.085 million from last year's spending plan. Nevertheless, the operating budget carries on the City's basic health and safety services at effective levels and with a use of fund balance of less than 0.9%. When the non-operating expense of long-term debt obligations which are beginning to come due is factored in, the General Fund will have a true deficit of 2.9% for the new year.

You will quickly see that the 2013-14 Proposed Budget is new in every respect. It reflects the competencies that professional financial managers have brought to the organization, together with the recognition that a municipal budget is so much more than simply an accounting document.


When Council held the first in a series of budget workshops last December to review the City Manager's Mid-Year Report and Budget Outlook, I said that not only would the Proposed Budget document for 2013-14 look very different from what the Council had been given in the past, but the process by which that document is arrived at would be entirely new. Before even a single spending proposal was put forth, City staff spent time in "Community Conversations" talking with—and mostly listening to—residents of Marysville. Why do that? Because particularly during difficult financial times, it is so important that we level with the public that we will not be able to do everything we would like to for the community. That makes it all the more important that the public be well informed about its City government's condition, and have meaningful opportunities to express itself concerning priorities for the future.

The future. That word appears frequently in this year's budget. More than simply a financial exercise, a municipal budget is, first and foremost, a direction-setting agenda to guide us into the future. It is *the* basic tool of governing; it provides the context, the priorities, the means and the objectives by which we become informed, successful stewards of our community. Before turning to the future, some historical context.

How We Got To This Point

While the anticipation of a full economic recovery from the prolonged business downturn that began six years ago has been with us for some time now, the evidence tells us that recovery will be slow and lag behind the rest of the state and nation. Even within our own local region, Marysville has unique circumstances that are working against a return to pre-recession conditions. The strength of our micro economy has been waning for many years, and with it, the capacity to provide good paying jobs and a rising standard of living for our residents and to generate tax revenues to support high levels of public services. Fiscal Year 2013-14 will be the sixth year of budget decreases made necessary by declining City revenues. In that time, annual income to the General Fund, the principal means for providing public services, has dropped nearly \$1.5 million from its peak in FY 2007, and the cumulative loss in revenue for that period approaches \$5 million.

The chart at right traces our recent history and shows how City spending has fallen in most of those same years in order to live within our means. Only through a series of cost reductions, including sacrifices made by our employees and cutbacks in service hours to the public, was that possible. Along the way, the City workforce shrank by nearly one-quarter, and the salaries of those remaining was subject to furloughs which reduced




the take-home pay of all city employees other than law enforcement personnel. In part, the City relied on using its fund balance to help ease the severity of decreases each year. In the year now coming to a close, Council adopted a budget that was projected to produce a deficit of \$118,054. In fact, with just one month left in the fiscal year, we estimate that the deficit will have been trimmed by almost \$80,000, to just over \$38,500. That is not by accident, and it is not because of good luck or wishful thinking. New hiring freezes and freezes on discretionary spending of all sorts were implemented and, for the most part, our department heads and employees could be counted on once again to do their part.

Under the circumstances, there was little room left for any added financial constraints in preparing the 2013-14 Proposed Budget. But even after six difficult years, we found that new challenges awaited us. Sales tax, a leading revenue source for the City, is estimated to decrease by \$148,000 from 2012-13. Overall, our revenue forecast is for General Fund income to slip by \$300,000 from last year, including an unanticipated loss of revenue due the General Fund from the former Redevelopment Agency. Add to that, a number of unavoidable new expenses, among them an 8% increase in premiums for major medical health insurance, a sizable increase in casualty and liability insurance premiums, and the first year of new debt service payments on the long-term obligation

incurred by the City in connection with the B Street property. In short, we were starting off the new budget year at a disadvantage of more than \$650,000, when compared with the preceding year. Despite that, the Proposed Budget manages to bring General Fund operating expenditures to within \$70,403 (or 0.9%) of being in balance. Even when debt payments for the B Street obligation are included, the deficit is only 2.9% (\$215,403). Employee unions did their part to make this possible, agreeing to another round of concessions worth nearly \$100,000, and department heads produced departmental spending programs that met very strict budget targets. And through it all, the Proposed Budget maintains our long-standing priority emphasis on public safety, accounting for 63% of all spending in the General Fund, as the chart below shows. I have also taken the first step in beginning to set aside a real reserve for economic uncertainty. The 2013-14 Proposed Budget makes provision for such a reserve in the General Fund, in an amount of \$104,000. Admittedly, tough fiscal conditions are not normally the best times to initiate set-asides, and it may yet prove to be premature if future revenues continue their six year long slide. At 1.4% of General Fund appropriations, this reserve is hardly a large beginning, but it should serve as an important statement of principle that the City will conduct its financial affairs carefully, thoughtfully, and with a full understanding and due regard for the future.

GENERAL FUND DEPARTMENTS: 13-14 EXPENDITURES



Predictably enough, spending cuts on the scale required by the 2013-14 Proposed Budget could not be made without consequences on service levels. Most significant is the reduced staffing in our Fire Department. The pending expiration this fall of a federal SAFER grant has the potential for reducing our around-the-clock manpower from three firefighters to two. We are ready to file a new SAFER grant application as soon as the guidelines are published and applications become available later this summer. At the same time, we may also benefit from a re-evaluation of an earlier SAFER grant initially rejected last year by FEMA, but now being reconsidered by them for immediate funding. Beyond that, I am seeking Council guidance on alternative arrangements for providing a long-term solution to the community's fire protection needs. A second service level consequence is the proposal to close City Hall offices (other than Police Department) on the remaining Friday that our employees are not already furloughed. This will not be another furlough day with salary cuts, but rather a "quiet" work day for City Hall employees. And finally, the Proposed Budget provides only enough funding for watering and

maintaining some of our most visible parks, letting other parks go natural, and relying solely on rain water. None of these service cuts would have been suggested if there were less onerous options available.

The message here is clear. After six years of cutbacks, the community is left with only unpleasant choices; all the easy choices are gone.

After meeting with countless residents and business owners in the Community Conversation series, I believe there is widespread recognition that the City has done what it can to continue to meet the need for basic municipal services under very difficult conditions. The public has also told us in large numbers that it realizes that we cannot return to a sound, economically-sustainable City without making business development a new priority. And the Council has responded by committing itself to making the Bounce Back Initiative a reality.

Bounce Back—It's How Marysville Spells Success

The Marysville Bounce Back Initiative is in its earliest stages, under the capable guidance of an 11-member citizen steering committee. The 2013-14 Proposed Budget makes funding provision for retaining a community development and urban design consulting firm to flesh out the details of a pragmatic plan that will allow the City to capitalize on commercial opportunities in each of five target economic zones in the City. Such a pragmatic plan is long overdue, considering that it has been more than 30 years since the City has been able to attract more business than it lost to neighboring communities. I can report to Council that the public broadly understands the basic economic principle at work here. They get it! Without a focused, active and sustained effort to target new businesses for relocation to Marysville and expansion of existing businesses, the cutbacks we have experienced in public services over the past years will only be the beginning. The public understands that the quality of life of the community, its amenities, its municipal services and the standard of living of its residents, are all dependent on the strength of the local economy. A weak local economy *cannot* produce good employment opportunities for residents or raise their standard of living, and it *cannot* provide for high levels of municipal services. We all agree on the things we want in our city—strong public protection services, a high level of park maintenance, a cleaned-up Ellis Lake and Riverfront, upgraded recreation facilities, streets regularly repaved and sidewalks kept in good repair. But our local economy cannot now support those levels of service; it cannot now afford to provide all the things we want for our community, and the status quo will simply not take us where we want to go. The community will never return to prosperity by relying on a failing local economy. That does not happen. Bounce Back gives us the opportunity and the tools to turn that around, and the 2013-14 Proposed Budget includes business development as a community priority.

The Long View

The decisions that can transform our community all lie ahead of us. We have the opportunity to make the right choices, along with the risk of making the wrong ones. Important as it is to make the most of our limited resources to get through each year, setting our sights on *only* a 12-months horizon leaves us unprepared for making the long-term decisions that are needed to transform our community. In the same way that planning for a comfortable retirement cannot begin in the final year of a working career, so too, our goals demand some understanding of the longer-term implications of our daily decisions. This is not to say that we can foretell the future, only that we have to know what our

strategic interests require us to do over a sustained future period of time. Mayor Samayoa has advised me that he intends to convene a goal-setting retreat for the Council and City Manager early in the new fiscal year. I concur with the need for that and urge the City Council to use that opportunity to take the long view of the City's future. I offer the following recommendations for some of what I believe the City must be prepared to do to ensure that its future is a successful one.

- Building a Modern, Capable Organization ó The existing capacity of the organization to provide excellent service is severely hampered by a primitive administrative infrastructure. There is a critical need to build a can-do organization that attacks problems and achieves results. The basic business systems which City staff have to work with are frustrating to staff and end-users alike. Until this year, the City Budget has been inadequate as a decision document, even missing elements altogether that have long been standard features in modern city governments everywhere. The Municipal Code is so outdated in most important respects that it is more likely to be an impediment to public service than it is an aid. It will be a multi-year effort to rewrite and adopt an effective Municipal Code, but the need to do so is manifest. I include here, too, the absolute imperative to update the City's near 30-year old General Plan. Everything we hope to learn from our Bounce Back Initiative must lead to a new business-friendly, resident-friendly, family-friendly, recreation, arts and heritage-promoting General Plan.

- Financial Restoration Plan ó The City was already in the midst of a prolonged business slowdown when I was hired as your City Manager. And yet, there was no adopted plan in place for how the City was going to weather the financial emergency, or what our employees and residents could expect during the slowdown and, equally important, what they could expect once the City returned to some form of normalcy. That would have been a serious enough oversight just considering the need to ensure that we can carry on normal operations of the City. But the City would shortly be facing the added need to make large payments on a debt obligation it had incurred, apparently also without a plan in place for how that obligation was to be paid for. This cannot be put off any longer. The City urgently needs a financial restoration consensus that addresses the critical unmet needs the community faces in the next 10 years: (1) a reliable, stable, long-term solution to our fire protection needs; (2) reducing the high rate of staff turnover among our police personnel by bringing greater stability to our law enforcement professionals; (3) providing the means for managing our long-term debt obligations responsibly; and (4) rebuilding our deteriorated physical infrastructure, particularly streets, in order to support the new economic development that will turn the community around. There is little hope that these things can be accomplished with the means presently available to the City. For that reason, it is time that the community be given the chance to decide whether these things are important enough to the future well-being of their City to support with a small, general purpose transaction and use tax. To that end, the "Save Our Community" Measure should be placed on the June 2014 ballot, along with a clear list of what that money would be used for in each year, and an annual report to residents on what was accomplished during the past year to meet those goals. This is a reasonable thing for the voters of Marysville to be asked to consider, when the alternative is an uncertain future with costs we cannot meet. By taking this step, we can Save Our Community.

- The City Must Grow ó It is practically a cliché of modern life that cities grow their way to prosperity. This is so because as urban populations swell, the need for open space and affordable housing drives the more affluent out of city centers, and with them, goes the commerce that creates employment and a rising standard of living and produces tax revenue for good public services. By topography and choice, Marysville has not taken this path. In the past, when all roads led to Marysville,

this did not seem so short-sighted. But those days are behind us now. Long-time residents have watched over the past thirty years as our economic life's blood was slowly drained by neighboring towns to the west. Now fast-forward—not thirty years, but perhaps only 10 or 15—and the challenge will come not only from the west, but from rapid urbanization to the south. Without developable open space along a highway corridor such as State Route 70 to fuel the economic expansion of Marysville, the suburbs of south Yuba County will soon enough grow up to our city limits. That would pose an existential threat to this community. We should set as a goal to take the needed steps to file an annexation petition with the Local Agency Formation Commission not later than April 2014. Before that can happen, discussions must take place between the City and Yuba County concerning tax sharing arrangements. Those discussions should begin by late-summer of this year.

Ten years will pass regardless of what we do with that time. It would be a shame if the community looked back to this moment and said, "I wish we had gotten started then."

Acknowledgements

I recommend that Council set the public meeting for considering the adoption of the 2013-14 Proposed Budget for the evening of Tuesday, June 18, 2013 at 7:00 o'clock. If an additional meeting is needed before the budget can be finally adopted, you should schedule a special meeting for the following Tuesday, June 25, 2013 at the same hour.

My thanks to Matt Michaelis for his diligence in producing the numbers for me. Fortunately, he finds budgets invigorating. Thanks also to Dave Lamon, Dave Baker, Billie Fangman and Mike Carr for enduring this transition budget year when new procedures, new formats, new expectations all came together in a frenzy of activity. The 2013-14 Proposed Budget is a fitting testament to all their efforts.

Respectfully Submitted,



WALTER MUNCHHEIMER
City Manager


**ADOPTED BUDGET
FINANCIAL SUMMARY 2013-14
CITYWIDE TOTAL**

	2012-13 Budget	2013-14 Proposed Budget	\$ Change	Percent Change
General Fund	\$7,749,704	\$7,482,965	-\$266,739	-3.4%
Special Revenue Funds	693,714	736,940	43,226	6.2%
Debt Service Fund	0	145,000	145,000	-
Capital Project Funds	1,927,420	140,915	-1,786,505	-92.7%
Proprietary Funds	1,775,252	2,288,781	513,529	28.9%
Agency Funds	472,660	738,404	265,744	11.8%
TOTAL	\$12,618,750	\$11,533,005	-\$1,085,745	-8.6%


The 2013-14 adopted net operating budget totals \$11,533,005, a decrease of \$1,085,005, or 8.6 percent less than the FY 2012-13 adopted budget. At an operational level, the budget represents a near-balanced plan, with an estimated deficit of \$70,403 in an operating budget of \$8,219,905 (General Fund and Special Revenue Funds). In the General Fund, the operating deficit constitutes less than 1%, but because of past indenture commitments on the General Fund, the true deficit in FY 2014 will be 2.9% of General Fund expenditure appropriations. Overall, the Adopted Budget is devoting the City's limited resources to the highest priority programs while maintaining basic services. These programs cover a wide range of functions, from street maintenance and recreation facilities to essential public safety services to protect the community from fire, crime and inadequate building safety standards. For the first time, the City is also making business development a priority and devoting resources to that goal. The City Manager's budget proposals for FY 2013-14 were considered by the City Council in public session, and adopted by the City Council on June 18, 2013.

The figures displayed below reflect the revenues and expenditures of the City, as contained in the 2013-14 Adopted Budget.


**CITYWIDE TOTAL
REQUIREMENTS: \$11.53 Million**



**CITYWIDE TOTAL
RESOURCES: \$11.63 Million**



**BUDGETED STAFF POSITIONS
BY DEPARTMENT
TOTAL BUDGETED POSITIONS: 50**



The 2013-14 Adopted Budget provides funding for 50 budgeted full-time equivalent positions, which represents a decrease of 2.0 from the 2012-13 level of 52. As depicted in the chart, approximately 70 percent of the budgeted positions in the City are in the Public Safety and Protection functional group, including Police, Fire, Building and Code Enforcement, and Planning.

The staffing changes from the past year occur in the following:

- Administrative Services Department (-1.35) reflects the reassignment of portions of salary costs for the City Manager (0.55) and City Clerk (0.30) to their own newly-established budget units, as well as the reduction of one full-time Finance/Personnel Technician to one-half time status to address the department's constrained budget target;
- City Council (-0.85) reflects the reassignment of portions of salary costs for the City Manager (0.15) and City Clerk (0.70) to their own newly-established budget units;
- City Manager's Office (+2.0) reflects the reassignment of portions of salary costs for the City Manager from the Administrative Services Department (0.55), City Council (0.15) and Wastewater Operations (0.30) to the newly-established City Manager budget unit, along with the addition of 1.0 new Business Development Manager position in the City Manager's Office;
- City Clerk (+1.0) reflects the reassignment of portions of salary costs for the City Clerk from the Administrative Services Department (0.3) and City Council (0.7) to the newly-established City Clerk budget unit;
- Police Department (-2.0) reflects the decision to keep a vacant Captain position and a vacant Dispatcher position unfunded and to reclassify two Sergeant II positions to exempt Lieutenant positions to better control overtime expenses, and two Corporal positions to Sergeant positions, for better supervisory control;
- City Services Department—Administration & Engineering (+2.2) reflects reassignments of portions of salary costs for the City Services Director from Building & Code Enforcement (0.1), Planning (0.05) and Wastewater Operations (0.45) and for the Assistant Engineer from Wastewater Operations (0.5) and for an Administrative Assistant from Planning (0.8);

- City Services Department—Building & Code Enforcement (-0.1) reflects reassignment of a portion of salary costs for the City Services Director to Administration & Engineering;
- City Services Department—Planning (-0.85) reflects reassignments of portions of salary costs for the City Services Director (0.05) and an Administrative Assistant (0.8) to Administration & Engineering;
- City Services Department—Wastewater Operations (-0.95) reflects reassignments of portions of salary costs for the City Services Director (0.45) and Assistant Engineer (0.5) to Administration & Engineering.

Reader's Guide to Understanding the Budget

The 2013-14 Adopted Budget contains the financial and operating plan for the City for the fiscal year, which runs from July 1 to June 30. The following general outline is designed to assist the reader in understanding the information presented in the document. Additional information related to the City and its operations and services can be obtained on its Internet website at www.marysville.ca.us

THE GOVERNING BODY—CITY COUNCIL

A mayor and four-member elected City Council that has legislative authority governs the city, a charter city and municipal corporation of the State of California. Under the Charter, an appointed City Manager has executive authority on behalf of the Council to carry out the Council's priorities and policies, and to ensure that the organization is efficient and effective in the delivery of City services.

FINANCIAL STRUCTURE AND OPERATIONS

Although there are no uniform statewide accounting standards imposed on California's 482 cities, modern municipal budget practices are prescribed by the Government Finance Officers' Association that recommend practices and procedures relating to the form and content of the annual city Budget. In accordance with these practices, the City uses a modified accrual basis of accounting, and organizes and operates that system on a fund basis. Funds are separate legal or fiscal entities by which resources are allocated and controlled. The city Budget has six major types of funds.

I. General Fund

The General Fund is the principal fund in the city Budget and is used to finance most governmental operations that are general in purpose and not included in another fund.

II. Special Revenue Funds

Special Revenue Funds are used to account for the proceeds of revenue sources that must be spent for specific purposes. Examples of Special Revenue Funds are the Business Development Fund and the Gas Tax Fund.

III. Enterprise Funds

Enterprise Funds are used to account for operations of governmental units where the users of the services include the general public, and the costs of providing the services are financed primarily by user charges, similar to a private business. An example is the Wastewater Enterprise Fund.

IV. Capital Project Funds

Capital Project Funds are used to account for financial resources used for the acquisition or construction of major capital facilities (other than those financed by proprietary and trust funds). Examples are the Capital Projects Fund and the Community Development Fund.

V. Debt Service Funds

Debt Service Funds are used to account for annual principal and interest payments owed by the city in general (not including debt incurred by enterprise funds, such as the Wastewater Enterprise Fund) for long-term debt incurred to finance the acquisition of property or construction of buildings and improvements other than buildings.

VI. Agency Funds

Included in this category are the Mary Aaron Memorial Museum and the Marysville Levee Commission, which are under the control of the City Council, but are separate legal entities, whose funds are held in trust by the City.

TRANSMITTAL LETTERS

There is transmittal letter from the Mayor to the citizens highlighting important budget matters. There is also a transmittal letter from the City Manager to the City Council that provides an overview of the City Manager's Proposed Budget. The City Manager's letter includes a summary of the key recommendations reflected in the budget and provides a discussion of funding recommendations for major City program areas. The Transmittal Letter also outlines the requirements and process for adopting a Final City Budget.


BUDGET FINANCIAL SCHEDULES

These schedules provide summary and detailed citywide financing and use information relating to all City funds. These schedules give the reader quick, at-a-glance information concerning fund balances, expected new revenues, allowable expenditure levels, and obligated reserve balances for each fund.

BUDGET SUMMARIES

The Budget Summaries section of the Adopted Budget provides detailed information about each budget. The following information is included in this section for each department:

- 2013-2014 Budget Summary
- Program Description and Mission
- 2013-2014 Budget Explanation
- Significant Developments During 2012-2013
- 2013-2014 Objectives at Recommended Funding Level
- Changes From FY 2012-2013 Budget
- Unmet Needs (*as applicable*)
- Departmental Budget Detail
- Departmental Program Summary
- Staffing Allocations



RESOLUTION NO. 2013-34
RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MARYSVILLE
APPROVING AND ADOPTING
THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATIONS LIMIT FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 2013-2014

At a regular meeting of the Council of the City of Marysville, State of California held on the 18th day of June, 2013.

WHEREAS, the voters of California on November 6, 1979, added Article XIII B to the State Constitution placing various limitations on the appropriations of the State and local governments; and

WHEREAS, Article XIII B provides that the appropriations limit for the Fiscal Year 2013-2014 is calculated by adjusting the base year appropriations of Fiscal Year 1978-79 for changes in the cost-of-living and population; and

WHEREAS, the City of Marysville has complied with all the provisions of Article XIII B used in determining the appropriations limit for Fiscal Year 2013-2014,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the appropriations subject to limitation for fiscal year 2013-2014 shall be \$16,803,676 for the City of Marysville.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Resolution was duly and regularly introduced and adopted by the Council of the City of Marysville, County of Yuba, State of California, on the 18th day of June, 2013, by the following vote:

AYES: Kitchen, Pedigo, Selvidge, Samayoa, Whitmore

NOES: None

ABSENT: None

ABSTAIN: None

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the official seal of said City this 18th day of June, 2013.

/s/ Billie J. Fangman

Billie J. Fangman
City Clerk

2013-2014 APPROPRIATIONS LIMIT
(PROPOSITION 4)

California Per Capita Personal Income Index Change converted to a Ratio:

$$(5.12 + 100) / 100 = 1.0512$$

Population Change converted to a Ratio:

$$(1.17 + 100) / 100 = 1.0117$$

Limitation Increase Ratio:

$$1.0512 \times 1.0117 = 1.0635$$

2012-13 Appropriation Limit	\$15,800,368
Increase Ratio	<u>1.0635</u>
2013-14 Appropriation Limit	<u>\$16,803,676</u>
2013-14 Proceeds from Tax Revenue	<u>\$4,889,268</u>

RESOLUTION NO. 2013-35

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MARYSVILLE
ADOPTING THE 2013-14 ANNUAL OPERATING AND
CAPITAL INVESTMENT PROGRAM BUDGET
FOR THE CITY OF MARYSVILLE

At a regular meeting of the Council of the City of Marysville, State of California held on the 18th day of June 2013.

WHEREAS, the City Manager has submitted the Fiscal Year 2013-2014 Proposed Budget for the City of Marysville as required by Section 2.10.040(6) of the Marysville Municipal Code; and

WHEREAS, the Ad Hoc Budget Committee and the City Council have discussed numerous issues and sought supplemental information and explanations regarding the Proposed Budget for Fiscal Year 2013-2014; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has taken public testimony concerning recommendations and other provisions contained in said budget, and has further studied, debated and made such amendments to the budget as, in its judgment, are necessary and appropriate regarding said budget.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council as follows:

- A. The "Summary of Changes in Appropriations", attached as Exhibit 1, is hereby approved;
- B. The Annual Operating and Capital Investment Program Budget for Fiscal Year 2013-2014 is hereby adopted at the expenditure, reserve, inter-fund transfer and revenue appropriations for the respective City funds shown on Schedules 1, 2A, 2B, 3, 4, 5A, 5B, 6 and 7 attached hereto and by this reference made a part hereof as if fully set forth herein;
- C. The 2014-2018 CIP, which provides a comprehensive five-year plan for the City's capital project forecast, is hereby approved;
- D. The City Manager is authorized to incorporate final decisions of the City Council and to add/refine content as may be needed to the 2013-14 Proposed Operating and Capital Investment Program Budget in order to create the 2013-14 Adopted Operating and Capital Investment Budget and 2014-2018 CIP.
- E. The City Manager is authorized to administer, control and ensure compliance with the Adopted Operating and Capital Investment Program Budget and to take such actions as he deems necessary or convenient to carry out the intent of the City Council in adopting the same.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Resolution was duly and regularly introduced and adopted by the Council of the City of Marysville, County of Yuba, State of California, on the 18th day of June, 2013, by the following vote:

AYES: Kitchen, Pedigo, Samayoa, Whitmore

NOES: Selvidge

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the official seal of said City this 18th day of June, 2013.

/s/ Billie J. Fangman

Billie J. Fangman
City Clerk

2014

FINANCIAL SCHEDULES

City of Marysville

SCHEDULE 1
ALL FUNDS SUMMARY OF FINANCING SOURCES AND USES
FISCAL YEAR 2013-14

FUND NAME (1)	TOTAL FINANCING SOURCES					TOTAL FINANCING USES			
	BEGINNING FUND BAL AVAILABLE (2)	DECREASES TO RESERVES (3)	NEW REVENUE (4)	INTERFUND TRANSFERS (5)	TOTAL FINANCING SOURCES (6)	EXPENDITURE APPROPRIATIONS (7)	INCREASES TO RESERVES (8)	TOTAL FINANCING USES (9)	ENDING FUND BAL AVAILABLE (10)
GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS									
GENERAL FUND	565,411	-	6,919,261	348,301	7,832,973	7,482,965	-	7,482,965	350,008
SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS	106,635	61,603	810,263	(108,082)	870,419	736,940	5,000	741,940	128,479
CAPITAL PROJECT FUNDS	-	29,695	89,150	22,170	141,015	140,915	-	140,915	100
DEBT SERVICE FUNDS	-	-	100,000	45,000	145,000	145,000	-	145,000	-
TOTAL GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS	\$ 672,046	\$ 91,298	\$ 7,918,674	\$ 307,389	\$ 8,989,407	\$ 8,505,820	\$ 5,000	\$ 8,510,820	\$ 478,587
OTHER FUNDS									
BASEBALL OPERATIONS FUND	(3,087)	-	-	-	(3,087)	-	-	-	(3,087)
WASTEWATER ENTERPRISE FUND	563,852	-	3,023,000	(257,389)	3,329,463	2,288,781	-	2,288,781	1,040,683
AGENCY FUNDS	635,473	-	686,000	(50,000)	1,271,473	738,404	-	738,404	533,069
TOTAL OTHER FUNDS	\$ 1,196,238	\$ -	\$ 3,709,000	\$ (307,389)	\$ 4,597,849	\$ 3,027,185	\$ -	\$ 3,027,185	\$ 1,570,665
TOTAL ALL FUNDS	\$ 1,868,284	\$ 91,298	\$ 11,627,674	\$ -	\$ 13,587,256	\$ 11,533,005	\$ 5,000	\$ 11,538,005	\$ 2,049,252

ARITHMETIC RESULTS					COL 2+3+4+5 COL 6=COL 9			COL 7+8 COL 9=COL 6	COL 6-9
TOTALS TRANSFERRED FROM	SCH 2A, COL 2 SCH 2B, COL 2	SCH 3, COL 4	SCH 4, COL 5	SCH 2A, COL 5 SCH 2B, COL 5		SCH 2A, COL 7	SCH 3, COL 5		

DETAIL OF INTERFUND TRANSFERS (COL 5)	→ TRANSFER TO				
	101 GENERAL	215 BUSINESS DEV	300 CAPITAL PROJ	760 DEBT SERVICE	TOTAL
101 - GENERAL FUND		69,750	6,900	145,000	\$ 221,650
210 - ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT RLF FUND		50,000			\$ 50,000
226 - GAS TAX FUND	247,832		30,000		\$ 277,832
321 - SUCCESSOR AGENCY FUND	50,000				\$ 50,000
327 - TRANSPORTATION & TRANSIT FUND	14,730				\$ 14,730
405 - WASTEWATER ENTERPRISE FUND	257,389				\$ 257,389
760 - PUBLIC FINANCE AUTHORITY FUND		100,000			\$ 100,000
TOTAL	\$ 569,951	\$ 219,750	\$ 36,900	\$ 145,000	

SCHEDULE 2A
GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS SUMMARY OF FINANCING SOURCES AND USES
FISCAL YEAR 2013-14

FUND NAME (1)	TOTAL FINANCING SOURCES					TOTAL FINANCING USES				
	BEGINNING FUND BAL AVAILABLE (2)	DECREASES TO RESERVES (3)	NEW REVENUE (4)	INTERFUND TRANSFERS (5)	TOTAL FINANCING SOURCES (6)	EXPENDITURE APPROPRIATIONS (7)	INCREASES TO RESERVES (8)	TOTAL FINANCING USES (9)	ENDING FUND BAL AVAILABLE (10)	
GENERAL FUND										
101 - GENERAL FUND	565,411	-	6,919,261	348,301	7,832,973	7,482,965	-	7,482,965	350,008	
TOTAL GENERAL FUND	\$ 565,411	\$ -	\$ 6,919,261	\$ 348,301	\$ 7,832,973	\$ 7,482,965	\$ -	\$ 7,482,965	\$ 350,008	
SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS										
105 - LAFCO FUND	88,397	11,603	142,258	-	242,258	242,258	-	242,258	-	
110 - GENERAL PLAN/HOUSING UPDATE FUND	9,700	-	-	-	9,700	-	-	-	9,700	
115 - STREET STRIPING RESERVE FUND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
205 - BOK KAI EVENT FUND	8,538	-	17,597	-	26,135	17,597	-	17,597	8,538	
209 - HOUSING REHAB RLF FUND	-	-	5,256	-	5,256	-	-	-	5,256	
210 - ECON DEVELOPMENT RLF FUND	-	50,000	-	(50,000)	-	-	-	-	-	
211 - CDBG/EDBG FUND	-	-	32,317	-	32,317	-	-	-	32,317	
215 - BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT FUND	-	-	-	219,750	219,750	219,750	-	219,750	-	
226 - GAS TAX FUND	-	-	355,500	(277,832)	77,668	-	5,000	5,000	72,668	
290 - DUI FUND	-	-	12,643	-	12,643	12,643	-	12,643	-	
291 - OTS GRANT FUND	-	-	88,147	-	88,147	88,147	-	88,147	-	
294 - ABC GRANT FUND	-	-	40,174	-	40,174	40,174	-	40,174	-	
295 - AVOID THE 9 DUI GRANT FUND	-	-	111,091	-	111,091	111,091	-	111,091	-	
296 - DISTRACTED DRIVER GRANT FUND	-	-	5,280	-	5,280	5,280	-	5,280	-	
TOTAL SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS	\$ 106,635	\$ 61,603	\$ 810,263	\$ (108,082)	\$ 870,419	\$ 736,940	\$ 5,000	\$ 741,940	\$ 128,479	
CAPITAL PROJECT FUNDS										
300 - CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND	-	15,015	89,000	36,900	140,915	140,915	-	140,915	-	
322 - PARK & REC CAPITAL IMPR FUND	-	-	50	-	50	-	-	-	50	
324 - PUBLIC BUILDINGS CAPITAL IMPROVEMEN	-	-	50	-	50	-	-	-	50	
327 - TRANSPORTATION & TRANSIT FUND	-	14,680	50	(14,730)	-	-	-	-	-	
TOTAL CAPITAL PROJECT FUNDS	\$ -	\$ 29,695	\$ 89,150	\$ 22,170	\$ 141,015	\$ 140,915	\$ -	\$ 140,915	\$ 100	
DEBT SERVICE FUNDS										
760 - PUBLIC FINANCING AUTHORITY DEBT SER'	-	-	100,000	45,000	145,000	145,000	-	145,000	-	
TOTAL DEBT SERVICE FUNDS	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 100,000	\$ 45,000	\$ 145,000	\$ 145,000	\$ -	\$ 145,000	\$ -	
TOTAL GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS	\$ 672,046	\$ 91,298	\$ 7,918,674	\$ 307,389	\$ 8,989,407	\$ 8,505,820	\$ 5,000	\$ 8,510,820	\$ 478,587	

ARITHMETIC RESULTS					COL 2+3+4+5 COL 6=COL 9			COL 7+8 COL 9=COL 6	COL 6-9
TOTALS TRANSFERRED FROM	SCH 3, COL 2	SCH 3, COL 4	SCH 4, COL 5			SCH 6, COL 5	SCH 3, COL 5		
TOTALS TRANSFERRED TO	SCH 1, COL 2			SCH 1, COL 5		SCH 1, COL 7			

SCHEDULE 2B
OTHER FUNDS SUMMARY OF FINANCING SOURCES AND USES
FISCAL YEAR 2013-14

FUND NAME (1)	TOTAL FINANCING SOURCES					TOTAL FINANCING USES			
	BEGINNING FUND BAL AVAILABLE (2)	DECREASES TO RESERVES (3)	NEW REVENUE (4)	INTERFUND TRANSFERS (5)	TOTAL FINANCING SOURCES (6)	EXPENDITURE APPROPRIATIONS (7)	INCREASES TO RESERVES (8)	TOTAL FINANCING USES (9)	ENDING FUND BAL AVAILABLE (10)
OTHER FUNDS									
321 - SUCCESSOR AGENCY FUND	437,797	-	184,628	(50,000)	572,425	125,626		125,626	446,799
400 - BASEBALL OPERATIONS	(3,087)	-	-	-	(3,087)	-	-	-	(3,087)
405 - WASTEWATER ENTERPRISE FUND	563,852	-	3,023,000	(257,389)	3,329,463	2,288,781		2,288,781	1,040,683
735 - MARY AARON MUSEUM FUND	2,172	-	10,837	-	13,009	11,500	-	11,500	1,509
736 - M'VILLE LEVEE COMMISSION FUND	195,504	-	490,535	-	686,039	601,278	-	601,278	84,761
TOTAL OTHER FUNDS	\$ 1,196,238	\$ -	\$ 3,709,000	\$ (307,389)	\$ 4,597,849	\$ 3,027,185	\$ -	\$ 3,027,185	\$ 1,570,665
TOTAL OTHER FUNDS	\$ 1,196,238	\$ -	\$ 3,709,000	\$ (307,389)	\$ 4,597,849	\$ 3,027,185	\$ -	\$ 3,027,185	\$ 1,570,665

ARITHMETIC RESULTS					COL 2+3+4+5 COL 6=COL 9			COL 7+8 COL 9=COL 6	COL 6-9
--------------------	--	--	--	--	----------------------------	--	--	------------------------	---------

SCHEDULE 3
 FUND BALANCE AND DETAIL OF PROVISIONS FOR RESERVES
 GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
 FISCAL YEAR 2013-14

FUND NAME (1)	ESTIMATED FUND BALANCES JUNE 30, 2013 (2)	RESERVED OBLIGATIONS				ESTIMATED FUND BALANCES AVAIL JUNE 30, 2013 (7)
		RESERVED FUND BAL UNAVAILABLE JUNE 30, 2013 (3)	DECREASES OR CANCELLATIONS (4)	INCREASES OR NEW (5)	TOTAL RESERVED FUND BALANCES FOR FY 2013-14 (6)	
GENERAL FUND						
101 - GENERAL FUND	670,265	104,854	-	-	104,854	565,411
COMMITTED BY COUNCIL RESOLUTION		104,854			104,854	
TOTAL GENERAL FUND	\$ 670,265	\$ 104,854	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 104,854	\$ 565,411
SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS						
105 - LAFCO FUND	138,397	50,000	11,603		38,397	88,397
RESTRICTED FOR GENERAL RESERVE	-	50,000	11,603		38,397	
110 - GENERAL PLAN/HOUSING UPDATE FUND	9,700	-	-		-	9,700
115 - STREET STRIPING RESERVE FUND	26,400	26,400	-		26,400	-
205 - BOK KAI EVENT FUND	8,538	-	-		-	8,538
209 - HOUSING REHAB RLF FUND	162,106	162,106	-		162,106	-
210 - ECON DEVELOPMENT RLF FUND	77,460	77,460	50,000		27,460	-
211 - CDBG/EDBG FUND	-	-	-		-	-
213 - HOME PROGRAM INCOME FUND	552,031	552,031	-		552,031	-
215 - BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT FUND	-	-	-		-	-
226 - GAS TAX FUND	699,082	699,082		5,000	704,082	-
ASSIGNED FOR FUTURE STREET CAPITAL PROJECTS	-	699,082	-		699,082	
ASSIGNED FOR DEFERRED 5TH STREET BRIDGE MTC	-	-	-	5,000	5,000	
290 - DUI FUND	-	-	-		-	-
291 - OTS GRANT FUND	-	-	-		-	-
294 - ABC GRANT FUND	-	-	-		-	-
295 - AVOID THE 9 DUI GRANT FUND	-	-	-		-	-
296 - DISTRACTED DRIVER GRANT FUND	-	-	-		-	-
TOTAL SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS	\$ 1,673,714	\$ 1,567,079	\$ 61,603	\$ 5,000	\$ 1,510,476	\$ 106,635
CAPITAL PROJECT FUNDS						
300 - CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND	15,015	15,015	15,015		-	-
ASSIGNED FOR GP HOUSING ELEMENT UPDATE		15,015	15,015		-	
322 - PARK & REC CAPITAL IMPR FUND	18,609	18,609	-		18,609	-
RESTRICTED FOR PARKS CAPITAL PROJECTS		18,609			18,609	
324 - PUBLIC BUILDINGS CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT FUND	22,494	22,494	-		22,494	-
RESTRICTED FOR PUBLIC BUILDINGS CAPITAL PROJECTS		22,494			22,494	
327 - TRANSPORTATION & TRANSIT FUND	14,680	14,680	14,680		-	-
ASSIGNED FOR FUTURE STREET/SIDEWALK MTC		14,680	14,680		-	
TOTAL CAPITAL PROJECT FUNDS	\$ 70,798	\$ 70,798	\$ 29,695	\$ -	\$ 41,103	\$ -

SCHEDULE 3
 FUND BALANCE AND DETAIL OF PROVISIONS FOR RESERVES
 GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
 FISCAL YEAR 2013-14

FUND NAME (1)	ESTIMATED FUND BALANCES JUNE 30, 2013 (2)	RESERVED OBLIGATIONS			TOTAL RESERVED FUND BALANCES FOR FY 2013-14 (6)	ESTIMATED FUND BALANCES AVAIL JUNE 30, 2013 (7)
		RESERVED FUND BAL UNAVAILABLE JUNE 30, 2013 (3)	DECREASES OR CANCELLATIONS (4)	INCREASES OR NEW (5)		
<u>DEBT SERVICE FUNDS</u>						
760 - PUBLIC FINANCING AUTHORITY DEBT SERVICE FUND	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	-	-
TOTAL DEBT SERVICE FUNDS	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
TOTAL GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS	\$ 2,414,777	\$ 1,742,731	\$ 91,298	\$ 5,000	\$ 1,656,433	\$ 672,046

ARITHMETIC RESULTS					COL 3-4+5	COL 2-3
TOTALS TRANSFERRED TO			SCH 1, COL 3 SCH 2A, COL 3	SCH 1, COL 8 SCH 2A, COL 8 SCH 6, COL 5		SCH 2A, COL 2

SCHEDULE 4
SUMMARY OF NEW REVENUE BY SOURCE AND FUND
GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
FISCAL YEAR 2013-14

DESCRIPTION (1)	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL (2)	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED (3)	FY 2012-13 BUDGET (4)	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED (5)
<u>SUMMARIZATION BY SOURCE</u>				
PROPERTY TAXES	2,339,277	2,396,351	2,457,000	2,301,835
OTHER TAXES	2,191,378	2,083,975	2,165,000	2,056,307
LICENSES PERMITS & FRANCHISES	735,855	681,088	655,100	707,586
FINES FORFEITURES & PENALTIES	846,710	803,179	890,000	935,513
REVENUE FROM USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY	368,913	340,244	229,380	275,100
INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUE - STATE	731,580	1,489,506	1,255,157	924,146
INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUE - FEDERAL	806,597	1,549,521	1,190,777	76,033
INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUE - OTHER	162,570	164,132	147,591	157,738
CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	207,323	221,847	197,700	240,956
MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE	629,268	226,810	252,822	243,460
TOTAL SUMMARIZATION BY SOURCE	\$ 9,019,471	\$ 9,956,653	\$ 9,440,527	\$ 7,918,674
<u>SUMMARIZATION BY FUND</u>				
<u>GENERAL FUND</u>				
101 - GENERAL FUND	7,289,861	7,666,080	7,290,150	6,919,261
TOTAL GENERAL FUND	\$ 7,289,861	\$ 7,666,080	\$ 7,290,150	\$ 6,919,261
<u>SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS</u>				
105 - LAFCO FUND	142,376	148,714	139,091	142,258
110 - GENERAL PLAN/HOUSING UPDATE FUND	-	-	-	-
115 - STREET STRIPING RESERVE FUND	-	-	-	-
205 - BOK KAI EVENT FUND	19,014	16,637	18,520	17,597
209 - HOUSING REHAB RLF FUND	5,546	5,857	4,600	5,256
210 - ECON DEVELOPMENT RLF FUND	8,797	8,223	9,200	-
211 - CDBG/EDBG FUND	22,662	6,814	18,010	32,317
215 - BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT FUND	-	-	-	-
226 - GAS TAX FUND	883,003	1,174,164	1,211,900	355,500
290 - DUI GRANT FUND	4,156	2,357	-	12,643
291 - OTS GRANT FUND	23,886	39,342	-	88,147
294 - ABC GRANT FUND	17,457	11,436	-	40,174
295 - AVOID THE 9 DUI GRANT FUND	51,763	59,052	22,193	111,091

SCHEDULE 4
SUMMARY OF NEW REVENUE BY SOURCE AND FUND
GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
FISCAL YEAR 2013-14

DESCRIPTION (1)	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL (2)	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED (3)	FY 2012-13 BUDGET (4)	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED (5)
296 - DISTRACTED DRIVER GRANT FUND	-	9,698	-	5,280
TOTAL SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS	\$ 1,178,660	\$ 1,482,294	\$ 1,423,514	\$ 810,263
<u>CAPITAL PROJECT FUNDS</u>				
300 - CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND	431,836	808,149	726,813	89,000
322 - PARK & REC CAPITAL IMPR FUND	118,977	50	-	50
324 - PUBLIC BUILDING CAPITAL IMPR FUND	51	30	-	50
327 - TRANSPORTATION & TRANSIT FUND	86	50	50	50
TOTAL CAPITAL PROJECT FUNDS	\$ 550,950	\$ 808,279	\$ 726,863	\$ 89,150
<u>DEBT SERVICE FUNDS</u>				
760 - PUBLIC FINANCING AUTHORITY FUND	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	100,000
TOTAL DEBT SERVICE FUNDS	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	100,000
TOTAL SUMMARIZATION BY FUND	\$ 9,019,471	\$ 9,956,653	\$ 9,440,527	\$ 7,918,674

ARITHMETIC RESULTS				TOTAL BY SOURCE= TOTAL BY FUND
TOTALS TRANSFERRED FROM	SCH 5A, COL 3	SCH 5A, COL 4	SCH 5A, COL 5	SCH 5A, COL 6
TOTALS TRANSFERRED TO				SCH 1, COL 4 SCH 2A, COL 4

SCHEDULE 5A
 DETAIL OF NEW REVENUE BY SOURCE AND FUND
 GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
 FISCAL YEAR 2013-14

FUND (1)	REVENUE SOURCE ACCOUNT (2)	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL (3)	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED (4)	FY 2012-13 BUDGET (5)	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED (6)
<u>TAXES</u>					
PROPERTY TAXES					
101	001 CURRENT SECURED	952,322	940,000	965,000	923,591
101	002 CURRENT UNSECURED	45,091	45,489	45,000	45,284
101	003 SUPPLEMENTAL CURRENT SECURED	-	10,000	20,000	8,660
101	037 PROPERTY TAX IN-LIEU OF VLF	815,199	810,862	830,000	831,426
101	038 IN-LIEU LOCAL SALES & USE	526,665	590,000	597,000	492,874
	TOTAL PROPERTY TAXES	\$ 2,339,277	\$ 2,396,351	\$ 2,457,000	\$ 2,301,835
OTHER TAXES					
101	004 SALES & USE	1,564,794	1,505,123	1,600,000	1,454,482
101	005 TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY	82,803	72,462	75,000	80,000
101	006 FRANCHISE	393,276	357,566	340,000	370,000
101	007 BUSINESS LICENSE	137,214	138,494	140,000	140,000
101	008 DOCUMENTARY STAMP	13,291	10,330	10,000	11,825
	TOTAL OTHER TAXES	\$ 2,191,378	\$ 2,083,975	\$ 2,165,000	\$ 2,056,307
	TOTAL TAXES	\$ 4,530,655	\$ 4,480,326	\$ 4,622,000	\$ 4,358,142
<u>LICENSES PERMITS & FRANCHISES</u>					
101	014 YSDI TIPPING FEES	476,354	480,912	460,000	480,912
101	032 BASEBALL LICENSE FEE	-	-	-	40,000
101	202 POLICE - ALARM PERMITS & SERVICE FEES	4,175	8,216	9,500	8,860
101	206 POLICE - OTHER FEES & PERMITS	6,717	7,185	6,500	7,048
101	210 POLICE - PARKING PERMITS	4,240	4,912	5,000	4,978
101	217 POLICE - TOWING COMPANY FEE	19,250	19,167	19,000	19,133
101	259 ANIMAL CONTROL REVENUE	4,869	5,254	4,500	4,788
101	301 FIRE - PREVENTION PERMITS/INSPECTIONS	4,160	4,583	3,000	4,267
101	302 FIRE - HAZARDOUS MATERIALS FEE	1,996	-	-	-
101	479 BLDG - TECHNOLOGY FEE	11,835	5,834	6,000	6,000
101	480 BUILDING PERMITS	141,619	70,000	70,000	70,000
101	481 PLUMBING PERMITS	4,575	5,784	7,000	6,000
101	482 ELECTRICAL PERMITS	7,789	8,915	9,000	9,000
101	483 MECHANICAL PERMITS	5,184	5,525	7,000	6,000
101	487 ENCROACHMENT PERMITS	22,802	30,739	25,000	25,000

SCHEDULE 5A
 DETAIL OF NEW REVENUE BY SOURCE AND FUND
 GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
 FISCAL YEAR 2013-14

FUND (1)	REVENUE SOURCE ACCOUNT (2)	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL (3)	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED (4)	FY 2012-13 BUDGET (5)	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED (6)
101	488 BLDG - SIGN PERMITS	-	-	500	-
101	490 PLANNING - USE PERMITS	4,350	6,924	4,000	4,000
101	491 PLANNING - EIR FEES	400	-	1,000	1,000
101	492 PLANNING - SUBDIVISION FILING FEE	400	601	500	400
101	493 PLANNING - VARIANCE FILING FEE	-	2,178	4,000	2,000
101	494 PLANNING - DESIGN REVIEW	1,620	901	3,000	1,500
101	500 FAC - USER PERMIT APP FEE	2,600	1,562	2,400	1,600
101	501 FAC - USER PERMIT FEE	7,860	7,789	5,000	2,000
101	502 FAC - ELECTRICITY FEE	120	36	200	100
101	504 FAC - SIGN FEE	2,940	4,071	3,000	3,000
	TOTAL LICENSES PERMITS & FRANCHISES	\$ 735,855	\$ 681,088	\$ 655,100	\$ 707,586
	<u>FINES FORFEITURES & PENALTIES</u>				
101	029 LIEN FEE	5,427	5,298	3,000	4,013
101	211 POLICE - PARKING CITATION FINES	29,802	60,000	30,000	75,000
101	212 POLICE - VEHICLE CODE FINES	795,827	722,500	850,000	850,000
101	215 POLICE - ASSET SEIZURE REVENUE	-	-	1,000	500
101	485 BLDG - CODE ENFORCEMENT FEES	15,554	10,937	6,000	6,000
101	486 BLDG - WEED ABATEMENT FEES	100	4,444	-	-
	TOTAL FINES FORFEITURES & PENALTIES	\$ 846,710	\$ 803,179	\$ 890,000	\$ 935,513
	<u>USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY</u>				
101	010 SALE OF REAL PROPERTY	8,902	-	-	-
101	015 SALE OF PERSONAL PROPERTY	8,411	38,544	5,000	10,000
101	022 PLUMAS LAKE GOLF COURSE CONCESSION	27,684	35,849	32,000	32,285
101	023 RENTS - TELEPHONE TOWERS	60,016	58,215	60,000	60,000
101	031 OHV LEASE REVENUE	70,000	60,000	44,000	65,000
101	052 USPS PARKING RENTAL	11,400	13,200	13,200	12,600
101	305 FIRE - STRIKE TEAM REIMBURSEMENT	7,350	84,206	25,000	40,000
101	440 INTEREST EARNINGS	14,835	24,750	15,000	15,000
105	440 INTEREST EARNINGS	430	765	-	500
205	440 INTEREST EARNINGS	12	35	20	28
209	440 INTEREST EARNINGS	788	1,175	200	856
210	440 INTEREST EARNINGS	141	150	200	-

SCHEDULE 5A
 DETAIL OF NEW REVENUE BY SOURCE AND FUND
 GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
 FISCAL YEAR 2013-14

FUND (1)	REVENUE SOURCE ACCOUNT (2)	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL (3)	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED (4)	FY 2012-13 BUDGET (5)	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED (6)
211	440 INTEREST EARNINGS	14,971	-	10	14,017
226	440 INTEREST EARNINGS	934	909	900	-
322	440 INTEREST EARNINGS	47	50	-	50
324	440 INTEREST EARNINGS	51	30	-	50
327	440 INTEREST EARNINGS	86	50	50	50
101	550 REC - SOFTBALL LEASE FEE	2,820	2,824	2,400	1,964
209	001 REVOLVING LOAN REVENUE	3,732	3,282	3,000	3,000
210	001 REVOLVING LOAN REVENUE	4,028	3,937	4,000	-
209	LOAN PRINCIPAL PAYMENTS	1,026	1,400	1,400	1,400
210	LOAN PRINCIPAL PAYMENTS	4,628	4,136	5,000	-
211	LOAN PRINCIPAL PAYMENTS	-	-	11,000	10,000
211	019 1996 STBG 1019 INCOME	3,422	3,930	4,200	4,000
211	397 1995 EDBG 397 INCOME	1,866	905	600	853
211	487 1990 STBD 487 INCOME	44	314	400	812
211	649 1992 STBD 649 INCOME	2,359	1,588	1,800	2,635
322	005 CONCESSION PAYMENTS	118,930	-	-	-
TOTAL USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY		\$ 368,913	\$ 340,244	\$ 229,380	\$ 275,100
<u>INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUE</u>					
STATE					
101	009 MOTOR VEHICLE IN-LIEU FEES	6,366	9,908	2,000	2,000
101	011 HOPTR	16,752	12,536	17,000	16,147
101	012 STATE MANDATED REIMBURSEMENT	11,454	11,597	10,000	9,795
101	013 STATE HIGHWAY REIMBURSEMENT FEES	28,941	28,981	28,941	28,956
101	216 POLICE - C.O.P.S. STATE FUNDS	117,297	105,777	100,000	104,621
101	255 POLICE - PROP 172 FUNDS	81,682	80,792	88,000	80,792
101	313 FIRE - VEHICLE EXTRACTION TOOL GRANT	1,169	-	-	-
226	001 STP AUGMENTATION FUNDS	-	51,751	-	-
226	103 GASOLINE TAX - SECTION 2013	167,380	141,307	160,000	150,654
226	105 GASOLINE TAX - SECTION 2015	64,134	63,121	63,000	66,238
226	106 GASOLINE TAX - SECTION 2016	45,087	43,482	45,000	44,342
226	107 GASOLINE TAX - SECTION 2017	94,056	90,157	90,000	91,266
226	075 GASOLINE TAX - SECTION 2017.5	-	3,000	3,000	3,000

SCHEDULE 5A
 DETAIL OF NEW REVENUE BY SOURCE AND FUND
 GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
 FISCAL YEAR 2013-14

FUND (1)	REVENUE SOURCE ACCOUNT (2)	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL (3)	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED (4)	FY 2012-13 BUDGET (5)	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED (6)
290	001 INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUE - STATE GRANT	4,156	2,357	-	12,643
291	001 INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUE - STATE GRANT	23,886	39,342	-	88,147
294	001 INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUE - STATE GRANT	17,457	11,436	-	40,174
295	001 INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUE - STATE GRANT	51,763	59,052	22,193	111,091
296	001 INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUE - STATE GRANT	-	9,698	-	5,280
300	014 INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUE - STATE SWRCB GRANT	-	626,023	626,023	-
300	017 INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUE - STATE SRTS GRANT	-	6,000	-	69,000
300	018 INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUE - STATE RUBBER BARK GRANT	-	93,189	-	-
	TOTAL STATE	\$ 731,580	\$ 1,489,506	\$ 1,255,157	\$ 924,146
FEDERAL					
101	314 FIRE - S.A.F.E.R. GRANT	295,185	657,888	198,000	76,033
101	315 HOMELAND SECURITY GRANT	-	111,119	142,777	-
211	001 HOME GRANT	-	77	-	-
226	008 ARRA FUNDS	511,412	780,437	850,000	-
324	001 HOMELAND SECURITY GRANT	-	-	-	-
	TOTAL FEDERAL	\$ 806,597	\$ 1,549,521	\$ 1,190,777	\$ 76,033
OTHER					
101	049 COUNTY - MEASURE D FUNDS	19,495	17,248	15,000	18,840
101	213 COUNTY - ABANDONED VEHICLES	11,065	17,156	12,500	16,225
101	250 POLICE - NET 5 REIMBURSEMENTS	-	11,401	-	-
101	306 YUBA COLLEGE - STUDENT FIREFIGHTER PROGRAM	3,600	4,236	6,000	5,915
105	001 LAFCO - CITY & COUNTY PAYMENTS	128,410	114,091	114,091	116,758
	TOTAL OTHER	\$ 162,570	\$ 164,132	\$ 147,591	\$ 157,738
	TOTAL INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUE	\$ 1,700,747	\$ 3,203,159	\$ 2,593,525	\$ 1,157,917
<u>CHARGES FOR SERVICES</u>					
101	203 POLICE - DUI COST RECOVERY	134	-	200	114
101	204 POLICE - OTHER SERVICES	82,420	74,419	62,000	71,935
101	300 FIRE - DISTRICT 10/HALLWOOD FEE	105,000	105,167	105,000	140,000
101	303 FIRE - OTHER SERVICES	2,440	215	1,500	907
101	400 PUBLIC WORKS SERVICES	3,793	3,856	4,000	3,000
101	505 REC - RECREATION ACTIVITY FEES	-	4,332	-	-
105	002 LAFCO REVENUE	13,536	33,858	25,000	25,000

SCHEDULE 5A
 DETAIL OF NEW REVENUE BY SOURCE AND FUND
 GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
 FISCAL YEAR 2013-14

FUND (1)	REVENUE SOURCE ACCOUNT (2)	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL (3)	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED (4)	FY 2012-13 BUDGET (5)	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED (6)
	TOTAL CHARGES FOR SERVICES	\$ 207,323	\$ 221,847	\$ 197,700	\$ 240,956
	<u>MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE</u>				
101	016 SALE OF DUPLICATED MATERIALS	280	317	400	404
101	017 OTHER REIMBURSED COSTS	12,546	-	2,000	8,178
101	018 DONATIONS	-	1,800	-	3,600
101	020 MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE	35,503	50,634	6,000	6,000
101	027 MISCELLANEOUS REIMBRUSEMENTS	19,424	-	10,000	11,800
101	034 BMX RENTAL FEE	1,604	-	-	-
101	040 PEACH FESTIVAL SPONSORSHIPS	8,350	376	8,000	8,000
101	041 PEACH FESTIVAL BOOTH REVENUE	28,244	32,033	30,000	32,033
101	050 ADOPT A STREET LIGHT PROGRAM	8,641	-	-	-
101	051 GARDEN PLOT RENTAL FEES	1,422	800	800	883
101	200 POLICE REPORT COPIES	2,269	2,080	2,000	2,491
101	201 FINGERPRINT FEES	2,130	2,333	2,000	2,557
101	207 POLICE - MISCELLANEOUS REIMBURSEMENTS	22,933	17,703	20,000	18,162
101	209 POLICE - DONATIONS	13,500	7,510	2,500	6,953
101	251 POLICE - VEST PURCHASE REIMBURSEMENT	-	-	-	190
101	405 DONATIONS, HEADSTONES	2,624	2,312	5,000	-
101	406 INSURANCE, HEADSTONES	14,100	3,868	2,500	-
101	509 REC - CHRISTMAS DONATIONS	4,860	5,505	4,000	4,640
101	RDA LOAN PRINCIPAL PAYMENTS	-	-	38,332	-
205	004 SPONSORSHIPS	8,950	8,012	9,500	8,620
205	005 PARADE ENTRIES	1,605	1,245	2,000	1,610
205	006 VENDORS	2,805	2,835	3,400	3,043
205	007 SALES	5,642	4,510	3,600	4,296
300	013 INSURANCE REIMBURSEMENTS	19,350	-	-	-
300	015 STREET LIGHT RETROFIT GRANT	412,486	66,405	65,790	-
300	016 PG&E STREET LIGHT RETROFIT REBATE	-	16,532	35,000	20,000
760	FISCAL AGENT CASH DRAW DOWN	-	-	-	100,000
	TOTAL MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE	\$ 629,268	\$ 226,810	\$ 252,822	\$ 243,460
	TOTAL NEW REVENUE - ALL FUNDS	\$ 9,019,471	\$ 9,956,653	\$ 9,440,527	\$ 7,918,674

SCHEDULE 5A
 DETAIL OF NEW REVENUE BY SOURCE AND FUND
 GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
 FISCAL YEAR 2013-14

FUND (1)	REVENUE SOURCE ACCOUNT (2)	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL (3)	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED (4)	FY 2012-13 BUDGET (5)	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED (6)
	TOTALS TRANSFERRED FROM	SCH 5B, COL 3	SCH 5B, COL 4	SCH 5B, COL 5	SCH 5B, COL 6
	TOTALS TRANSFERRED TO	SCH 4, COL 3	SCH 4, COL 4	SCH 4, COL 5	SCH 4, COL 6

SCHEDULE 5B
 DETAIL OF NEW REVENUE BY FUND AND ACCOUNT
 GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
 FISCAL YEAR 2013-14

FUND (1)	REVENUE SOURCE ACCOUNT (2)	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL (3)	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED (4)	FY 2012-13 BUDGET (5)	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED (6)	
GENERAL FUND						
101	001	CURRENT SECURED	952,322	940,000	965,000	923,591
101	002	CURRENT UNSECURED	45,091	45,489	45,000	45,284
101	003	SUPPLEMENTAL CURRENT		10,000	20,000	8,660
101	004	SALES & USE	1,564,794	1,505,123	1,600,000	1,454,482
101	005	TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY	82,803	72,462	75,000	80,000
101	006	FRANCHISE	393,276	357,566	340,000	370,000
101	007	BUSINESS LICENSE	137,214	138,494	140,000	140,000
101	008	DOCUMENTARY STAMP	13,291	10,330	10,000	11,825
101	009	MOTOR VEHICLE IN-LIEU FEES	6,366	9,908	2,000	2,000
101	010	SALE OF REAL PROPERTY	8,902	-	-	-
101	011	HOPTR	16,752	12,536	17,000	16,147
101	012	STATE MANDATED REIMBURSEMENT	11,454	11,597	10,000	9,795
101	013	STATE HIGHWAY REIMBURSEMENT FEES	28,941	28,981	28,941	28,956
101	014	YSDI TIPPING FEES	476,354	480,912	460,000	480,912
101	015	SALE OF PERSONAL PROPERTY	8,411	38,544	5,000	10,000
101	016	SALE OF DUPLICATED MATERIALS	280	317	400	404
101	017	OTHER REIMBURSED COSTS	12,546	-	2,000	8,178
101	018	DONATIONS	-	1,800	-	3,600
101	020	MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE	35,503	50,634	6,000	6,000
101	022	PLUMAS LAKE GOLF COURSE CONCESSION	27,684	35,849	32,000	32,285
101	023	RENTS - TELEPHONE TOWERS	60,016	58,215	60,000	60,000
101	027	MISCELLANEOUS REIMBRUSEMENTS	19,424	-	10,000	11,800
101	029	LIEN FEE	5,427	5,298	3,000	4,013
101	031	OHV LEASE REVENUE	70,000	60,000	44,000	65,000
101	032	BASEBALL LICENSE FEE	-	-	-	40,000
101	034	BMX RENTAL FEE	1,604	-	-	-
101	037	PROPERTY TAX IN-LIEU OF VLF	815,199	810,862	830,000	831,426
101	038	IN-LIEU LOCAL SALES & USE	526,665	590,000	597,000	492,874
101	040	PEACH FESTIVAL SPONSORSHIPS	8,350	376	8,000	8,000
101	041	PEACH FESTIVAL BOOTH REVENUE	28,244	32,033	30,000	32,033
101	049	COUNTY - MEASURE D FUNDS	19,495	17,248	15,000	18,840

SCHEDULE 5B
 DETAIL OF NEW REVENUE BY FUND AND ACCOUNT
 GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
 FISCAL YEAR 2013-14

FUND (1)	REVENUE SOURCE ACCOUNT (2)	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL (3)	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED (4)	FY 2012-13 BUDGET (5)	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED (6)
101	050 ADOPT A STREET LIGHT PROGRAM	8,641	-	-	-
101	051 GARDEN PLOT RENTAL FEES	1,422	800	800	883
101	052 USPS PARKING RENTAL	11,400	13,200	13,200	12,600
101	200 POLICE REPORT COPIES	2,269	2,080	2,000	2,491
101	201 FINGERPRINT FEES	2,130	2,333	2,000	2,557
101	202 POLICE - ALARM PERMITS & SERVICE FEES	4,175	8,216	9,500	8,860
101	203 POLICE - DUI COST RECOVERY	134	-	200	114
101	204 POLICE - OTHER SERVICES	82,420	74,419	62,000	71,935
101	206 POLICE - OTHER FEES & PERMITS	6,717	7,185	6,500	7,048
101	207 POLICE - MISCELLANEOUS REIMBURSEMENTS	22,933	17,703	20,000	18,162
101	209 POLICE - DONATIONS	13,500	7,510	2,500	6,953
101	210 POLICE - PARKING PERMITS	4,240	4,912	5,000	4,978
101	211 POLICE - PARKING CITATION FINES	29,802	60,000	30,000	75,000
101	212 POLICE - VEHICLE CODE FINES	795,827	722,500	850,000	850,000
101	213 COUNTY - ABANDONED VEHICLES	11,065	17,156	12,500	16,225
101	215 POLICE - ASSET SEIZURE REVENUE	-	-	1,000	500
101	216 POLICE - C.O.P.S. STATE FUNDS	117,297	105,777	100,000	104,621
101	217 POLICE - TOWING COMPANY FEE	19,250	19,167	19,000	19,133
101	250 POLICE - NET 5 REIMBURSEMENTS	-	11,401	-	-
101	251 POLICE - VEST PURCHASE REIMBURSEMENT	-	-	-	190
101	255 POLICE - PROP 172 FUNDS	81,682	80,792	88,000	80,792
101	259 ANIMAL CONTROL REVENUE	4,869	5,254	4,500	4,788
101	300 FIRE - DISTRICT 10/HALLWOOD FEE	105,000	105,167	105,000	140,000
101	301 FIRE - PREVENTION PERMITS/INSPECTIONS	4,160	4,583	3,000	4,267
101	302 FIRE - HAZARDOUS MATERIALS FEE	1,996	-	-	-
101	303 FIRE - OTHER SERVICES	2,440	215	1,500	907
101	305 FIRE - STRIKE TEAM REIMBURSEMENT	7,350	84,206	25,000	40,000
101	306 YUBA COLLEGE - STUDENT FIREFIGHTER PROGRAM	3,600	4,236	6,000	5,915
101	313 FIRE - VEHICLE EXTRACTION TOOL GRANT	1,169	-	-	-
101	314 FIRE - S.A.F.E.R. GRANT	295,185	657,888	198,000	76,033
101	315 HOMELAND SECURITY GRANT	-	111,119	142,777	-
101	400 PUBLIC WORKS SERVICES	3,793	3,856	4,000	3,000

SCHEDULE 5B
 DETAIL OF NEW REVENUE BY FUND AND ACCOUNT
 GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
 FISCAL YEAR 2013-14

FUND (1)	REVENUE SOURCE ACCOUNT (2)	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL (3)	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED (4)	FY 2012-13 BUDGET (5)	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED (6)
101	405 DONATIONS, HEADSTONES	2,624	2,312	5,000	-
101	406 INSURANCE, HEADSTONES	14,100	3,868	2,500	-
101	440 INTEREST EARNINGS	14,835	24,750	15,000	15,000
101	479 BLDG - TECHNOLOGY FEE	11,835	5,834	6,000	6,000
101	480 BUILDING PERMITS	141,619	70,000	70,000	70,000
101	481 PLUMBING PERMITS	4,575	5,784	7,000	6,000
101	482 ELECTRICAL PERMITS	7,789	8,915	9,000	9,000
101	483 MECHANICAL PERMITS	5,184	5,525	7,000	6,000
101	485 BLDG - CODE ENFORCEMENT FEES	15,554	10,937	6,000	6,000
101	486 BLDG - WEED ABATEMENT FEES	100	4,444	-	-
101	487 ENCROACHMENT PERMITS	22,802	30,739	25,000	25,000
101	488 BLDG - SIGN PERMITS	-	-	500	-
101	490 PLANNING - USE PERMITS	4,350	6,924	4,000	4,000
101	491 PLANNING - EIR FEES	400	-	1,000	1,000
101	492 PLANNING - SUBDIVISION FILING FEE	400	601	500	400
101	493 PLANNING - VARIANCE FILING FEE	-	2,178	4,000	2,000
101	494 PLANNING - DESIGN REVIEW	1,620	901	3,000	1,500
101	500 FAC - USER PERMIT APP FEE	2,600	1,562	2,400	1,600
101	501 FAC - USER PERMIT FEE	7,860	7,789	5,000	2,000
101	502 FAC - ELECTRICITY FEE	120	36	200	100
101	504 FAC - SIGN FEE	2,940	4,071	3,000	3,000
101	505 REC - RECREATION ACTIVITY FEES	-	4,332	-	-
101	509 REC - CHRISTMAS DONATIONS	4,860	5,505	4,000	4,640
101	550 REC - SOFTBALL LEASE FEE	2,820	2,824	2,400	1,964
101	RDA LOAN PRINCIPAL PAYMENTS	-	-	38,332	-
	TOTAL NEW REVENUE - GENERAL FUND	\$ 7,289,861	\$ 7,666,080	\$ 7,290,150	\$ 6,919,261
LAFCO FUND					
105	001 LAFCO - CITY & COUNTY PAYMENTS	128,410	114,091	114,091	116,758
105	002 LAFCO REVENUE	13,536	33,858	25,000	25,000
105	440 INTEREST EARNINGS	430	765	-	500
	TOTAL NEW REVENUE - LAFCO FUND	\$ 142,376	\$ 148,714	\$ 139,091	\$ 142,258
BOK KAI FUND					

SCHEDULE 5B
 DETAIL OF NEW REVENUE BY FUND AND ACCOUNT
 GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
 FISCAL YEAR 2013-14

FUND (1)	REVENUE SOURCE ACCOUNT (2)	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL (3)	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED (4)	FY 2012-13 BUDGET (5)	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED (6)
205	004 SPONSORSHIPS	8,950	8,012	9,500	8,620
205	005 PARADE ENTRIES	1,605	1,245	2,000	1,610
205	006 VENDORS	2,805	2,835	3,400	3,043
205	007 SALES & USE	5,642	4,510	3,600	4,296
205	440 INTEREST EARNINGS	12	35	20	28
TOTAL NEW REVENUE - BOK KAI FUND		\$ 19,014	\$ 16,637	\$ 18,520	\$ 17,597
HOUSING REHAB RLF FUND					
209	001 REVOLVING LOAN REVENUE	3,732	3,282	3,000	3,000
209	LOAN PRINCIPAL PAYMENTS	1,026	1,400	1,400	1,400
209	440 INTEREST EARNINGS	788	1,175	200	856
TOTAL NEW REVENUE - HOUSING REHAB RLF FUND		\$ 5,546	\$ 5,857	\$ 4,600	\$ 5,256
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT RLF FUND					
210	001 REVOLVING LOAN REVENUE	4,028	3,937	4,000	
210	LOAN PRINCIPAL PAYMENTS	4,628	4,136	5,000	
210	440 INTEREST EARNINGS	141	150	200	
TOTAL NEW REVENUE - ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT RLF FUND		\$ 8,797	\$ 8,223	\$ 9,200	\$ -
CDBG/EDBG GRANT FUND					
211	001 HOME GRANT REVENUE	\$ -	\$ 77	\$ -	\$ -
211	019 1996 STBG 1019 INCOME	3,422	3,930	4,200	4,000
211	397 1995 EDBG 397 INCOME	1,866	905	600	853
211	440 INTEREST EARNINGS	14,971	-	10	14,017
211	487 1990 STBD 487 INCOME	44	314	400	812
211	649 1992 STBD 649 INCOME	2,359	1,588	1,800	2,635
211	LOAN PRINCIPAL PAYMENTS	-	-	11,000	10,000
TOTAL NEW REVENUE - CDBG/EDBG GRANT FUND		\$ 22,662	\$ 6,814	\$ 18,010	\$ 32,317
GAS TAX FUND					
226	001 STP AUGMENTATION FUNDS	-	51,751	-	-
226	008 ARRA FUNDS	511,412	780,437	850,000	-
226	103 GASOLINE TAX - SECTION 2013	167,380	141,307	160,000	150,654
226	105 GASOLINE TAX - SECTION 2015	64,134	63,121	63,000	66,238
226	106 GASOLINE TAX - SECTION 2016	45,087	43,482	45,000	44,342

SCHEDULE 5B
 DETAIL OF NEW REVENUE BY FUND AND ACCOUNT
 GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
 FISCAL YEAR 2013-14

FUND (1)	REVENUE SOURCE ACCOUNT (2)	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL (3)	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED (4)	FY 2012-13 BUDGET (5)	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED (6)
226	107 GASOLINE TAX - SECTION 2017	94,056	90,157	90,000	91,266
226	075 GASOLINE TAX - SECTION 2017.5	-	3,000	3,000	3,000
226	440 INTEREST EARNINGS	934	909	900	-
	TOTAL NEW REVENUE - GAS TAX FUND	\$ 883,003	\$ 1,174,164	\$ 1,211,900	\$ 355,500
<u>DUI GRANT FUND</u>					
290	001 INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUE - STATE GRANT	4,156	2,357	-	12,643
	TOTAL NEW REVENUE - DUI GRANT FUND	\$ 4,156	\$ 2,357	\$ -	\$ 12,643
<u>OTS GRANT FUND</u>					
291	001 INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUE - STATE GRANT	23,886	39,342	-	88,147
	TOTAL NEW REVENUE - OTS GRANT FUND	\$ 23,886	\$ 39,342	\$ -	\$ 88,147
<u>ABC GRANT FUND</u>					
294	001 INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUE - STATE GRANT	17,457	11,436	-	40,174
	TOTAL NEW REVENUE - ABC GRANT FUND	\$ 17,457	\$ 11,436	\$ -	\$ 40,174
<u>AVOID THE 9 DUI GRANT FUND</u>					
295	001 INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUE - STATE GRANT	51,763	59,052	22,193	111,091
	TOTAL NEW REVENUE - AVOID THE 9 DUI GRANT FUND	\$ 51,763	\$ 59,052	\$ 22,193	\$ 111,091
<u>DISTRACTED DRIVER GRANT FUND</u>					
296	001 INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUE - STATE GRANT	-	9,698	-	5,280
	TOTAL NEW REVENUE - DISTRACTED DRIVER GRANT FUND	\$ -	\$ 9,698	\$ -	\$ 5,280
<u>CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND</u>					
300	013 INSURANCE REIMBURSEMENTS	19,350			
300	014 INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUE - STATE SWRCB GRANT		626,023	626,023	
300	015 STREET LIGHT RETROFIT GRANT	412,486	66,405	65,790	
300	016 PG&E STREET LIGHT RETROFIT REBATE		16,532	35,000	20,000
300	017 INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUE - STATE SRTS GRANT	-	6,000	-	69,000
300	018 INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUE - STATE RUBBER BARK GRANT	-	93,189	-	-
	TOTAL NEW REVENUE - CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND	\$ 431,836	\$ 808,149	\$ 726,813	\$ 89,000
<u>PARKS & REC CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT FUND</u>					
322	005 CONCESSION PAYMENTS	118,930	-	-	-
322	440 INTEREST EARNINGS	47	50	-	50
	TOTAL NEW REVENUE - PARKS & REC CAPITAL IMPR FUND	\$ 118,977	\$ 50	\$ -	\$ 50

SCHEDULE 5B
 DETAIL OF NEW REVENUE BY FUND AND ACCOUNT
 GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
 FISCAL YEAR 2013-14

FUND (1)	REVENUE SOURCE ACCOUNT (2)	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL (3)	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED (4)	FY 2012-13 BUDGET (5)	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED (6)
<u>PUBLIC BUILDINGS CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT FUND</u>					
324	001 HOMELAND SECURITY GRANT	-	-	-	-
324	440 INTEREST EARNINGS	51	30	-	50
	TOTAL NEW REVENUE - PUBLIC BLDGS CAPITAL IMPR FUND	\$ 51	\$ 30	\$ -	\$ 50
<u>TRANSPORTATION & TRANSIT FUND</u>					
327	440 INTEREST EARNINGS	86	50	50	50
	TOTAL NEW REVENUE - TRANSPORTATION & TRANSIT FUND	\$ 86	\$ 50	\$ 50	\$ 50
<u>PUBLIC FINANCING AUTHORITY DEBT SERVICE FUND</u>					
760	FISCAL AGENT CASH DRAW DOWN	-	-	-	100,000
	TOTAL NEW REVENUE - TRANSPORTATION & TRANSIT FUND	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 100,000
	TOTAL NEW REVENUE - ALL FUNDS	\$ 9,019,471	\$ 9,956,653	\$ 9,440,527	\$ 7,918,674

ARITHMETIC RESULTS				
TOTALS TRANSFERRED FROM				
TOTALS TRANSFERRED TO	SCH 4, COL 3 SCH 5A, COL 3	SCH 4, COL 4 SCH 5A, COL 4	SCH 4, COL 5 SCH 5A, COL 5	SCH 4, COL 6 SCH 5A, COL 6

SCHEDULE 6
SUMMARY OF FINANCING USES BY FUNCTION AND FUND
GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
FISCAL YEAR 2013-14

DESCRIPTION (1)	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL (2)	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED (3)	FY 2012-13 BUDGET (4)	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED (5)
SUMMARIZATION BY FUNCTION				
GENERAL GOVERNMENT	416,166	546,716	536,409	545,970
PUBLIC SAFETY & PROTECTION	6,060,241	4,993,914	5,147,208	4,858,803
PUBLIC WORKS & FACILITIES	1,398,288	1,506,002	1,708,504	1,492,956
RECREATION	290,903	352,576	282,375	345,418
PROMOTION & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	0	0	0	219,750
NONDEPARTMENTAL ACTIVITIES	847,027	685,050	737,192	902,008
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS	1,107,171	1,725,683	1,617,420	140,915
TOTAL EXPENDITURE APPROPRIATIONS BY FUNCTION	\$ 10,119,796	\$ 9,809,941	\$ 10,029,108	\$ 8,505,820
INCREASES TO RESERVES				
GENERAL FUND				
101 - GENERAL FUND				-
SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS				
105 - LAFCO FUND	7,556		-	-
110 - GENERAL PLAN/HOUSING UPDATE FUND	-	-	9,700	-
115 - STREET STRIPING RESERVE FUND	-	-	-	-
205 - BOK KAI EVENT FUND	1,338		220	-
209 - HOUSING REHAB RLF FUND	19,543		19,600	-
210 - ECON DEVELOPMENT RLF FUND	9,826		11,200	-
211 - CDBG/EDBG FUND	7,636		1,010	-
215 - BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT FUND	-	-	-	-
226 - GAS TAX FUND	17,856	-	-	5,000
290 - DUI GRANT FUND				-
291 - OTS GRANT FUND				-
294 - ABC GRANT FUND				-
295 - AVOID THE 9 DUI GRANT FUND	(841)	-	-	-
296 - DISTRACTED DRIVER GRANT FUND				-
CAPITAL PROJECT FUNDS				
300 - CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND	-	-	-	-
322 - PARK & REC CAPITAL IMPR FUND	47			-
324 - PUBLIC BUILDING CAPITAL IMPR FUND	51	-	-	-
327 - TRANSPORTATION & TRANSIT FUND	3,023		-	-

SCHEDULE 6
SUMMARY OF FINANCING USES BY FUNCTION AND FUND
GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
FISCAL YEAR 2013-14

DESCRIPTION (1)	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL (2)	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED (3)	FY 2012-13 BUDGET (4)	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED (5)
DEBT SERVICE FUNDS				
760 - PUBLIC FINANCING AUTHORITY FUND				-
TOTAL INCREASES TO RESERVES	\$ 66,035	\$ -	\$ 41,730	\$ 5,000
TOTAL FINANCING USES	\$ 10,185,831	\$ 9,809,941	\$ 10,070,838	\$ 8,510,820
<u>SUMMARIZATION BY FUND</u>				
GENERAL FUND				
101 - GENERAL FUND	8,660,726	7,523,187	7,749,704	7,482,965
SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS				
105 - LAFCO FUND	142,378	156,633	261,491	242,258
110 - GENERAL PLAN/HOUSING UPDATE FUND	-	-	9,700	-
115 - STREET STRIPING RESERVE FUND	-	-	-	-
205 - BOK KAI EVENT FUND	19,014	16,774	18,520	17,597
209 - HOUSING REHAB RLF FUND	19,543	-	19,600	-
210 - ECON DEVELOPMENT RLF FUND	10,076	-	11,200	-
211 - CDBG/EDBG FUND	7,636	-	1,010	-
215 - BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT FUND	-	-	-	219,750
226 - GAS TAX FUND	122,091	211,955	350,000	5,000
290 - DUI GRANT FUND	4,156	2,357	-	12,643
291 - OTS GRANT FUND	23,886	39,342	-	88,147
294 - ABC GRANT FUND	17,457	11,436	-	40,174
295 - AVOID THE 9 DUI GRANT FUND	51,763	58,211	22,193	111,091
296 - DISTRACTED DRIVER GRANT FUND	-	9,698	-	5,280
CAPITAL PROJECT FUNDS				
300 - CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND	988,241	1,725,590	1,616,813	140,915
322 - PARK & REC CAPITAL IMPR FUND	118,977	93	607	-
324 - PUBLIC BUILDING CAPITAL IMPR FUND	51	-	-	-
327 - TRANSPORTATION & TRANSIT FUND	86	29,665	10,000	-
DEBT SERVICE FUNDS				
760 - PUBLIC FINANCING AUTHORITY FUND	-	25,000	-	145,000
TOTAL FINANCING USES	\$ 10,186,081	\$ 9,809,941	\$ 10,070,838	\$ 8,510,820

SCHEDULE 6
SUMMARY OF FINANCING USES BY FUNCTION AND FUND
GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
FISCAL YEAR 2013-14

DESCRIPTION (1)	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL (2)	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED (3)	FY 2012-13 BUDGET (4)	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED (5)
ARITHMETIC RESULTS				TOTAL BY FUNCTION= TOTAL BY FUND
TOTALS TRANSFERRED FROM	SCH 7, COL 2	SCH 7, COL 3	SCH 7, COL 4	SCH 7, COL 5

SCHEDULE 7
SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURE APPROPRIATIONS BY FUNCTION AND BUDGET UNIT
GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
FISCAL YEAR 2013-14

FUNCTION AND BUDGET UNIT (1)	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL (2)	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED (3)	FY 2012-13 BUDGET (4)	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED (5)
<u>GENERAL GOVERNMENT</u>				
10 – ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES	298,151	411,107	394,025	255,876
11 – CITY COUNCIL	118,015	135,609	142,384	34,381
12 – CITY MANAGER	-	-	-	139,257
13 – CITY CLERK	-	-	-	116,456
TOTAL GENERAL GOVERNMENT	\$ 416,166	\$ 546,716	\$ 536,409	\$ 545,970
<u>PUBLIC SAFETY & PROTECTION</u>				
20 – POLICE	3,464,042	3,219,488	3,265,085	3,116,079
30 – FIRE	2,246,690	1,421,571	1,613,702	1,331,825
48 – BUILDING & CODE ENFORCEMENT	146,105	171,949	185,259	139,211
49 – PLANNING	45,258	59,862	60,969	14,353
120 – D.U.I. GRANT	4,156	2,357	-	12,643
121 – O.T.S. GRANT	23,886	39,342	-	88,147
122 – A.B.C. GRANT	17,457	11,436	-	40,174
123 – AVOID THE 9 GRANT	52,604	58,211	22,193	111,091
124 – DISTRACTED DRIVER GRANT	-	9,698	-	5,280
125 – NET FIVE	60,043	-	-	-
TOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY & PROTECTION	\$ 6,060,241	\$ 4,993,914	\$ 5,147,208	\$ 4,858,803
<u>PUBLIC WORKS & FACILITIES</u>				
40 – PUBLIC WORKS ADMINISTRATION	91,168	97,866	96,093	284,568
41 – PUBLIC WORKS CENTER	292,470	299,641	294,031	269,597
42 – STREET TREES & PARKWAYS	39,331	36,904	51,007	28,620
43 – STREET MAINTENANCE	158,239	167,658	167,913	186,662
44 – STREET LIGHTING	83,580	89,256	83,493	87,009
45 – TRAFFIC SAFETY	427,830	467,000	535,000	559,000
47 – CITY HALL MAINTENANCE	177,373	87,130	102,400	71,000
50 – CEMETERY MAINTENANCE	26,999	18,927	18,567	6,500
51 – SB 325 LOCAL TRANSPORTATION	(2,937)	29,665	10,000	-
52 – GAS TAX	104,235	211,955	350,000	-
TOTAL PUBLIC WORKS & FACILITIES	\$ 1,398,288	\$ 1,506,002	\$ 1,708,504	\$ 1,492,956

SCHEDULE 7
SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURE APPROPRIATIONS BY FUNCTION AND BUDGET UNIT
GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
FISCAL YEAR 2013-14

FUNCTION AND BUDGET UNIT (1)	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL (2)	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED (3)	FY 2012-13 BUDGET (4)	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED (5)
<u>RECREATION</u>				
46 – PARKS MAINTENANCE	273,227	335,802	264,075	327,821
55 – BOK KAI EVENT	17,676	16,774	18,300	17,597
TOTAL RECREATION	\$ 290,903	\$ 352,576	\$ 282,375	\$ 345,418
<u>PROMOTION & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT</u>				
15 – BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT CENTER	-	-	-	219,750
TOTAL PROMOTION & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 219,750
<u>NONDEPARTMENTAL ACTIVITIES</u>				
60 – YUBA COUNTY LAFCO	134,822	156,633	261,491	242,258
70 – PUBLIC FINANCE AUTHORITY DEBT SERVICE	-	25,000	-	145,000
90 – NONDEPARTMENTAL SPECIAL ACCOUNTS	712,205	503,417	475,701	514,750
TOTAL NONDEPARTMENTAL ACTIVITIES	847,027	685,050	737,192	902,008
<u>CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS</u>				
80 – CAPITAL PROJECTS	988,241	1,725,590	1,616,813	140,915
82 – PARKS RECREATION & CULTURAL CAPITAL PROJECTS	118,930	93	607	-
TOTAL CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS	1,107,171	1,725,683	1,617,420	140,915
TOTAL EXPENDITURE APPROPRIATIONS	\$ 10,119,796	\$ 9,809,941	\$ 10,029,108	\$ 8,505,820

TOTALS TRANSFERRED TO	SCH 6, COL 2	SCH 6, COL 3	SCH 6, COL 4	SCH 6, COL 5
-----------------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------

2014

SUMMARIES - GOVERNMENTAL

City of Marysville

Administrative Services Department

Matt Michaelis, Administrative Services Manager

Administrative Services Department Budget Summary

FUND: GENERAL

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
SUMMARY OF FUNDING	\$ 156,918	\$ 168,498	\$ 159,500	\$ 159,788	\$ 159,788	\$ 288
SUMMARY OF RESOURCES						
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	3.85	3.85	3.85	2.73	2.73	(1.12)
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	\$ 276,089	\$ 384,363	\$ 366,950	\$ 229,101	\$ 229,101	\$ (137,849)
SERVICES & SUPPLIES	22,062	26,744	27,075	26,775	26,775	(300)
OTHER CHARGES	0	0	0	0	0	0
CAPITAL ASSETS	0	0	0	0	0	0
GROSS TOTAL	\$ 298,151	\$ 411,107	\$ 394,025	\$ 255,876	\$ 255,876	\$ (138,149)
TRANSFERS	0	0	0	(28,866)	(28,866)	(28,866)
NET TOTAL	\$ 298,151	\$ 411,107	\$ 394,025	\$ 227,010	\$ 227,010	\$ (167,015)
NET FUND OBLIGATION	\$ 14,233	\$ 242,609	\$ 234,525	\$ 67,222	\$ 67,222	\$ (167,303)

Program Description and Mission

The Administrative Services Department is responsible for directing the City's budget and financial management services, including preparing the annual Operating and Capital Investments Budget on behalf of the City Manager, long-range revenue forecasting, debt management, and accounting activities. The department also manages the City's personnel and human resources services, risk management and claims against the City, and the disposition of all remaining outstanding obligations of the former Redevelopment Agency, after its dissolution in 2012.

2013-2014 Budget Explanation

This is a continuation of an established budget unit that formerly also included portions of the costs of the City Manager (55%) and City Clerk (30%) and all temporary help funding. New for FY 2013-2014, those other costs have been separated out of this budget unit as part of the overall budget redesign. For FY 2013-2014, both the City Manager and City Clerk functions now have separate budget units. The decrease in costs shown here is offset by cost increases in the new City Manager's Office (budget unit 12) and City Clerk (budget unit 13).

To help the department meet its budget target, a vacancy in a full-time position occurring at the end of FY 2012-13 has been reduced to part-time status for FY 2013-14. Reassignments of duties among the remaining staff are expected to avoid noticeable service level reductions to the public.

Significant Developments During 2012-2013

- Working in collaboration with the City Manager, completed a total redesign of the City budget process and budget document. As part of the budget process, the City Manager and Administrative Services Manager conducted three "Community Conversation" town hall meetings in various parts of the city to discuss budget issues with residents;
- Working with the City Manager, City Services Director and the city's contract bond financing team, successfully completed the sale and issuance of \$13.963 million of sewer revenue bonds to implement the city's construction of an intertie with the new regional wastewater treatment plant in Linda;
- Working in collaboration with the City Manager, completed negotiations with two of the City's unions, the Marysville Police Officer's Association and Teamsters bargaining units;
- Implemented the Cal-Card purchasing card program to increase the City's electronic payments to vendors, gaining efficiency, saving time, and improving internal controls;
- Received an unqualified audit opinion for Fiscal Year 2011-12;
- Initiated steps for disposition of all outstanding RDA obligations by completing the required Housing and Redevelopment Fund audits;
- Working in collaboration with the City Manager and Yuba County Administration, completed a modification agreement for the City's outstanding court fines repayments which eliminated the existing

obligation and provided reimbursement for overpayment of property tax administration fees.

- Continue to work towards disposition of all remaining RDA obligations by working with the state Department of Finance on outstanding due diligence work;
- Continue to research and recommend methods by which the City can raise revenues, decrease costs, and gain efficiencies in the face of the City's negative long-term financial forecast.

2013-2014 Objectives at Recommended Funding Level

- Successfully complete all finance and accounting tasks to receive an unqualified audit opinion for Fiscal Year 2012-13;

Changes From FY 2012-2013 Budget

	Gross Appropriation (\$)	Revenue (\$)	Interfund Transfers (\$)	Net Fund Obligation (\$)	Budgeted Positions (FTE)
2012-13 Final Adopted Budget	394,025	159,500	0	234,525	3.85
<i>Other Changes</i>					
1. Group Health Insurance: Reflects an 8% increase in all health insurance plans offered by the City, partially offset by negotiated concessions in labor contracts.	1,187	0	0	1,187	0
2. Budget Redesign and Staffing Reassignment: Portions of costs of the City Manager (55%) and City Clerk (30%), formerly accounted for in this budget unit have been removed for display in their own, separate budget units. The decrease in costs here in the Administrative Services Department (budget unit 10) is offset by increases in the new City Manager's Office (budget unit 12) and City Clerk (budget unit 13).	(83,920)	0	0	(83,920)	(0.85)
3. Support Services: Reflects reduction of one Finance/-Personnel Technician from full-time to part-time status.	(17,704)	0	0	(17,704)	(0.27)
4. Fiscal Limitation Impacts: Overall reductions in funding available to meet the department's budget target.	(37,712)	288	(28,866)	(37,712)	
Total Changes	(138,149)	288	(28,866)	(167,303)	(1.12)
2013-14 Adopted Budget	255,876	159,788	(28,866)	67,222	2.73

ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES DEPARTMENT BUDGET DETAIL

BUDGET UNIT 10

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
REVENUE DETAIL						
007 BUSINESS LICENSE	137,214	138,494	140,000	140,000	140,000	-
259 ANIMAL CONTROL REVENUE	4,869	5,254	4,500	4,788	4,788	288
440 INTEREST EARNINGS	14,835	24,750	15,000	15,000	15,000	-
TOTAL REVENUE	156,918	168,498	159,500	159,788	159,788	288
EXPENDITURE/APPROPRIATIONS						
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS						
601 SALARIES, PERMANENT	136,492	223,442	223,442	122,738	122,738	(100,704)
602 SALARIES, TEMPORARY	29,759	14,500	14,500	25,000	25,000	10,500
603 SALARIES, OVERTIME	44	500	500	500	500	-
606 SALARIES, ANNUAL LEAVE	32,302	21,413	4,000	3,000	3,000	(1,000)
609 EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	77,492	124,508	124,508	77,863	77,863	(46,645)
TOTAL SALARIES & EMP BENEFITS	276,089	384,363	366,950	229,101	229,101	(137,849)
SERVICES & SUPPLIES						
623 TELEPHONE	367	881	500	-	-	(500)
631 MATERIALS & SUPPLIES	5,319	8,608	8,000	8,000	8,000	-
635 REPAIRS & MTC, OTHER	8,022	5,582	9,200	9,200	9,200	-
641 RENTS & LEASES	3,536	4,142	4,000	4,000	4,000	-
651 POSTAGE	-	57	75	75	75	-
652 ADVERTISING	352	862	1,000	1,000	1,000	-
653 DUES & MEMBERSHIPS	1,907	496	1,300	500	500	(800)
661 OUTSIDE SERVICES	2,559	6,116	3,000	4,000	4,000	1,000
TOTAL SERVICES & SUPPLIES	22,062	26,744	27,075	26,775	26,775	(300)
CAPITAL ASSETS						
680 EQUIPMENT	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL CAPITAL ASSETS	-	-	-	-	-	-
GROSS TOTAL	298,151	411,107	394,025	255,876	255,876	(138,149)
TRANSFERS						
701 OPERATING TRANS OUT/(IN)	-	-	-	(28,866)	(28,866)	(28,866)
TOTAL TRANSFERS	-	-	-	(28,866)	(28,866)	(28,866)
NET TOTAL	298,151	411,107	394,025	227,010	227,010	(167,015)
NET FUND OBLIGATION	141,233	242,609	234,525	67,222	67,222	(167,303)
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	3.85	3.85	3.85	2.73	2.73	(1.12)

Interfund Transfers

- \$28,866 from Fund 321 (Redevelopment Successor Agency Fund)

Departmental Program Summary

1. Budget and Finance

	Gross Appropriation (\$)	Revenue (\$)	Interfund Transfers (\$)	Net Fund Obligation (\$)	Budgeted Positions (FTE)
Total Program Costs	209,690	159,788	0	49,902	2.33

Authority: Discretionary program governed by Marysville Municipal Code

The Budget and Finance program is responsible for all aspects of the City's financial and budgetary accounting systems, and for the investment of idle City funds and issuance of animal licenses.

2. Personnel and Risk Management

	Gross Appropriation (\$)	Revenue (\$)	Interfund Transfers (\$)	Net Fund Obligation (\$)	Budgeted Positions (FTE)
Total Program Costs	17,320	0	0	17,320	0.15

Authority: Discretionary program governed by Marysville Municipal Code and Personnel Policies.

This program has responsibility for managing the City's program of personnel management, employee major medical, dental and vision insurance coverages, and coordinating with the City's contract risk managers concerning claims against the City.

3. Redevelopment Successor Agency Support

	Gross Appropriation (\$)	Revenue (\$)	Interfund Transfers (\$)	Net Fund Obligation (\$)	Budgeted Positions (FTE)
Total Program Costs	28,866	0	(28,866)	0	0.25

Authority: Discretionary program governed by California Revenue & Taxation Code.

This program has responsibility for managing the disposal of remaining obligations of the former Redevelopment Agency, following the latter's dissolution in February 2012.

	Gross Appropriation (\$)	Revenue (\$)	Interfund Transfers (\$)	Net Fund Obligation (\$)	Budgeted Positions (FTE)
Total Program Costs	255,876	159,788	(28,866)	67,222	2.73

Staffing Allocations (FTE)

Position Classification	Pay Grade	2011-12 Authorized	2012-13 Authorized	2013-14 Recommended	2013-14 Adopted
Administrative Services Manager	Unclassified	1	1	1	1
City Manager	Unclassified	0.55	0.55	0	0
City Clerk	Unclassified	0.3	0.3	0	0
Senior Accountant	444	1	1	1	1
Finance/Personnel Technician	356	1	1	0.73	0.73

City Council

Ricky A. Samayoa, Mayor

City Council Budget Summary

FUND: GENERAL

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
SUMMARY OF FUNDING	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
SUMMARY OF RESOURCES						
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	0.85	0.85	0.85	0	0	(0.85)
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	\$ 107,634	\$ 112,504	\$ 107,834	\$ 16,581	\$ 16,581	\$ (91,253)
SERVICES & SUPPLIES	10,381	23,106	34,550	17,800	17,800	(16,750)
OTHER CHARGES	0	0	0	0	0	0
CAPITAL ASSETS	0	0	0	0	0	0
GROSS TOTAL	\$ 118,015	\$ 135,610	\$ 142,384	\$ 34,381	\$ 34,381	\$ (108,003)
TRANSFERS	0	0	0	0	0	0
NET TOTAL	\$ 118,015	\$ 135,610	\$ 142,384	\$ 34,381	\$ 34,381	\$ (108,003)
NET FUND OBLIGATION	\$ 118,015	\$ 135,610	\$ 142,384	\$ 34,381	\$ 34,381	\$ (108,003)

Program Description and Mission

The City Council is the legislative and governing body of the city government. A Mayor is elected by the voters to a four-year term as the ceremonial head of the city government and the presiding officer at Council meetings. Four Council members are also elected to four-year terms and, together, the Mayor and Council pass local laws and make policy for the community, appoint the city manager and city attorney, adopt the annual city budget, and work with businesses and residents to create the conditions in which the community can thrive and individuals can prosper and be safe.

2013-2014 Budget Explanation

This is a continuation of an established budget unit that formerly also included portions of the costs of the City Manager (15%) and City Clerk (70%). New for FY 2013-2014, those other costs have been separated out of this budget unit as part of the overall budget redesign. For FY 2013-2014, both of those functions now have separate budget units. The decrease in costs shown here is offset by cost increases in the new budgets for the City Manager's Office (budget unit 12) and City Clerk (budget unit 13).

As with all general City programs, this budget has taken some reductions to meet its balanced budget target. Overall, it has a net fund obligation of \$16,750 less than in FY 2012-13.

Significant Developments During 2012-2013

- Conducted a successful recruitment to fill the vacant City Manager position, effective October 1, 2012;
- Councilmember Samayoa elected mayor and Michael Selvidge elected to City Council November 6, 2012 and took office in December 2012;
- Christopher Pedigo appointed in January 2013 to fill the unexpired term of a vacant position created by the election of councilmember Samayoa as mayor;
- Council awards planning contract to PMC of Rancho Cordova to update the mandatory Housing Element of the General Plan in January;
- City Manager's Bounce Back initiative adopted by Council as the principal economic development strategy for the City, and Council authorized initial budget allocation for undertaking the associated planning effort by retaining a community development consultant;
- City Council approves establishment of a Business Development Center (BDC) in the Manager's Office to serve as the focal point for all City efforts to attract outside investment and assist businesses in their expansions and relocations. Initial staffing for BDC approved by City Council in April 2013;
- City Council approves agreement with Alta Planning + Design of Berkeley to prepare a community-wide plan under the Safe Routes to School program, awarded in May.

2013-2014 Objectives at Recommended Funding Level

- Continue work with the community-based Steering Committee on the Marysville Bounce Back initiative. The goal is to initiate and get through much of the planning phase during this fiscal year;
- Conduct a goal-setting retreat with the City Manager to agree on short- and long-term priorities for the Council, and to build greater cohesiveness among council members;
- Expand Council-to-Council contacts with neighboring cities through greater participation in regional intergovernmental organizations;
- Continue to enact new and updated amendments to the Marysville Municipal Code as recommended by the City Manager, and repeal existing ordinances that are outdated or otherwise no longer relevant or useful;
- Continue increasing use of technology to improve communication with the community;
- Adopt the new Marysville Administrative Code in concept and begin enacting new and updated City policies into the Code.

Changes From FY 2012-2013 Budget

	Gross Appropriation (\$)	Revenue (\$)	Interfund Transfers (\$)	Net Fund Obligation (\$)	Budgeted Positions (FTE)
2012-13 Final Adopted Budget	142,384	0	0	142,384	0.85
<i>Efficiencies</i>					
1. Council Goal-Setting and Team-Building: Provides for a facilitated goal-setting and team-building retreat for the Council to agree on major community goals and priorities for the next two years.	2,500	0	0	2,500	0
<i>Other Changes</i>					
1. Budget Redesign and Staffing Reassignment: Portions of costs of the City Manager (15%) and City Clerk (70%) formerly accounted for in this budget unit have been removed for display in their own, separate budget units. The decrease in costs in the City Council budget unit is offset by increases in the new City Manager's Office (budget unit 12) and City Clerk (budget unit 13).	(91,253)	0	0	(91,253)	(0.85)
2. Community Programs: Reflects the deletion of one-time funding of \$20,000 for the Neighborhood & Community Arts & Events grants program.	(20,000)	0	0	(20,000)	0
3. Budget Refinement: Reflects revisions to 2012-13 funding levels based on experience.	750	0	0	750	0
Total Changes	(108,003)	0	0	(108,003)	(0.85)
2013-14 Adopted Budget	34,381	0	0	34,381	0

CITY COUNCIL BUDGET DETAIL

BUDGET UNIT 11

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
REVENUE DETAIL						
NONE	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL REVENUE	-	-	-	-	-	-
EXPENDITURE/APPROPRIATIONS						
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS						
601 SALARIES, PERMANENT	58,322	58,323	58,323	-	-	(58,323)
602 SALARIES, TEMPORARY	15,300	15,300	15,300	15,300	15,300	-
606 SALARIES, ANNUAL LEAVE	4,597	8,670	4,000	-	-	(4,000)
609 EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	29,415	30,211	30,211	1,281	1,281	(28,930)
TOTAL SALARIES & EMP BENEFITS	107,634	112,504	107,834	16,581	16,581	(91,253)
SERVICES & SUPPLIES						
615 REIMBURSEMENT ALLOWANCE	1,102	413	3,000	2,000	2,000	(1,000)
631 MATERIALS & SUPPLIES	-	2,335	3,200	2,800	2,800	(400)
635 REPAIRS & MTC, OTHER	5,788	3,923	200	-	-	(200)
641 RENTS & LEASES	-	-	200	-	-	(200)
651 POSTAGE	14	-	100	-	-	(100)
652 ADVERTISING	3,202	3,553	1,200	3,000	3,000	1,800
653 DUES & MEMBERSHIPS	275	366	150	-	-	(150)
661 OUTSIDE SERVICES	-	12,515	6,500	10,000	10,000	3,500
671 CULTURAL AFFAIRS	-	-	20,000	-	-	(20,000)
TOTAL SERVICES & SUPPLIES	10,381	23,105	34,550	17,800	17,800	(16,750)
CAPITAL ASSETS						
680 EQUIPMENT	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL CAPITAL ASSETS	-	-	-	-	-	-
GROSS TOTAL	118,015	135,609	142,384	34,381	34,381	(108,003)
TRANSFERS						
701 OPERATING TRANS OUT/(IN)	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL TRANSFERS	-	-	-	-	-	-
NET TOTAL	118,015	135,609	142,384	34,381	34,381	(108,003)
NET FUND OBLIGATION	118,015	135,609	142,384	34,381	34,381	(108,003)
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	0.85	0.85	0.85	-	-	(0.85)

Departmental Program Summary

1. City Government Services

	Gross Appropriation (\$)	Revenue (\$)	Interfund Transfers (\$)	Net Fund Obligation (\$)	Budgeted Positions (FTE)
Total Program Costs	34,381	0	0	34,381	0

Authority: Mandatory program with discretionary service levels – California Constitution, California Government Code 36501, Article II of the Marysville Charter.

The Council provides for the public welfare by establishing City ordinances and policies; adopts annual budgets; and sets salaries.

Staffing Allocations (FTE)

Position Classification	Pay Grade	2011-12 Authorized	2012-13 Authorized	2013-14 Recommended	2013-14 Adopted
City Manager	Unclassified	0.15	0.15	0	0
City Clerk	Unclassified	0.70	0.70	0	0

City Manager's Office

Walter K. Munchheimer, City Manager

City Manager's Office Budget Summary

FUND: VARIOUS

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
SUMMARY OF FUNDING	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
SUMMARY OF RESOURCES						
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	0	0	0	2	2	2
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 228,857	\$ 228,857	\$ 228,857
SERVICES & SUPPLIES	0	0	0	128,150	128,150	12,150
OTHER CHARGES	0	0	0	0	0	0
CAPITAL ASSETS	0	0	0	2,000	2,000	2,000
GROSS TOTAL	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 359,007	\$ 359,007	\$ 359,007
TRANSFERS	0	0	0	(260,717)	(260,717)	(260,717)
NET TOTAL	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 98,290	\$ 98,290	\$ 98,290
NET FUND OBLIGATION	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 98,290	\$ 98,290	\$ 98,290

Program Description and Mission

The City Manager's Office provides leadership and supervision to the organization to carry out the policies and priorities of the City Council in the most effective and efficient manner for the benefit of the community. The City Manager is responsible for preparation of the City's annual Operating and Capital Investments Budget, personnel matters, contract administration and Council agenda preparation. The Manager works closely with the City Council to address policy and program issues and to respond to community concerns. The City Manager's Business Development Center helps ensure that new and expanding businesses in Marysville find a partner and a business-friendly climate at City Hall.

2013-2014 Budget Explanation

Budget Unit 12 (City Manager) is new for FY 2013-2014, created as part of the overall budget redesign, to better reflect costs where they actually occur. In past years, a portion of the cost of the City Manager was included in the Wastewater Operations budget (30%), City Council budget (15%) and in the broader Administrative Services budget (55%), along with the Administrative Services Department and City Clerk. For FY 2013-2014, The City Manager and City Clerk functions have newly-established separate budget units. The increase in cost shown here is offset by cost reductions in the Administrative Services Department (B/U 10) and City Council (B/U 11). The principal expense in the new Business Development Center (budget unit 15) is for

contract consulting services of a community development and urban design firm to prepare the Bounce Back Plan.

Significant Developments During 2012-2013

- Proposed a comprehensive plan for transforming Marysville into a sustainable economic community and returning it to prosperity, known as the "Marysville Bounce Back" Initiative;
- City Manager's Bounce Back initiative adopted by Council as the principal economic development strategy for the City, and Council authorizes initial budget allocation for undertaking the associated planning effort by retaining a community development consultant;
- Established a Business Development Center (BDC) in the Manager's Office to serve as the focal point for all City efforts to attract outside investment and assist businesses in their expansions and relocations. Initial staffing for BDC approved by City Council;
- Working in collaboration with the Administrative Services Manager, completed a total redesign of the City budget process and budget document. As part of the budget process, the City Manager and Administrative Services Manager conducted three "Community Conversation" town hall meetings in various parts of the city to discuss budget issues with residents;
- Proposed in concept to City Council the adoption of a new Marysville Administrative Code. The Administrative Code will be a compilation of internal administrative policies and procedures that

guide how city officials and employees are expected to carry out the city's business affairs;

- Completed a total rewrite of the city's outdated personnel and employment relations rules, and met and consulted with recognized employee organizations prior to submitting it for adoption into the Administrative Code by City Council;
- Began a long-term review of the entire Marysville Municipal Code to repeal or amend ordinances that are outdated or otherwise no longer relevant or useful;
- Retained a new commercial real estate broker to aggressively market the city's B Street property;
- Working with the Administrative Services Manager, City Services Director and the city's contract bond financing team, successfully completed the sale and issuance of \$13.963 million of sewer revenue bonds to implement the city's construction of an intertie with the new regional wastewater treatment plant in Linda.

- Create and compile a resource database of economic, statistical, financial, incentive, demographic, land use, recreational facilities, transportation systems, housing and labor market data for dissemination to clients or to support business development goals and strategies;
- Actively seek and apply for economic development grants for both planning activities and public works infrastructure projects that support our economic development goals. The priority projects for the year are (a) \$5.6 million for repaving city streets in the 80 square city blocks comprising four of the five Bounce Back targeted economic districts (not including the River District), (b) \$2 million to acquire and restore the State Theater, and (c) \$1 million to master plan Ellis Lake Park for facility improvements around the lake, including parking, lighting, landscaping and irrigation, together with water quality improvements of the lake itself;
- Hold quarterly Community Conversation town hall style meetings around the City to engage with residents and businesses;
- Continue the modernization and accountability of city government through review of the Marysville Municipal Code to repeal or amend ordinances that are outdated or otherwise no longer relevant or useful.
- Continue developing new and updated policies for adoption into the new Marysville Administrative Code.

2013-2014 Objectives at Recommended Funding Level

- Continue work with the community-based Steering Committee on the Marysville Bounce Back initiative. The goal is to initiate and get through much of the planning phase during this fiscal year;
- Set up and implement the new Business Development Center;

Changes From FY 2012-2013 Budget

	Gross Appropriation (\$)	Revenue (\$)	Interfund Transfers (\$)	Net Fund Obligation (\$)	Budgeted Positions (FTE)
2012-13 Final Adopted Budget	0	0	0	0	0
<i>New/Expanded Programs</i>					
1. Establishment of Business Development Center: The City Manager's Business Development Center was created mid-year 2013 by City Council authorization. It did not have staff until the end of fiscal year 2013, and so is treated as a new/expanded program for fiscal year 2014.	119,750	0	(119,750)	0	1
2. Prepare Bounce Back Plan: Consulting fees for developing the Bounce Back strategic plans for five targeted commercial districts in the city.	100,000	0	(100,000)	0	0
<i>Other Changes</i>					
3. Budget Redesign and Staffing Reassignment: Budget unit 12 is new for FY 2014, split out of a broader Administrative Services budget (B/U 10) in the past.	139,257	0	(40,967)	98,290	1
Total Changes	359,007	0	(260,717)	98,290	2
2013-14 Adopted Budget	359,007	0	(260,717)	98,290	2

CITY MANAGER BUDGET DETAIL

BUDGET UNIT 12 / FUND 101

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
REVENUE DETAIL						
NONE	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL REVENUE	-	-	-	-	-	-
EXPENDITURE/APPROPRIATIONS						
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS						
601 SALARIES, PERMANENT	-	-	-	101,938	101,938	101,938
606 SALARIES, ANNUAL LEAVE	-	-	-	-	-	-
609 EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	-	-	-	34,619	34,619	34,619
TOTAL SALARIES & EMP BENEFITS	-	-	-	136,557	136,557	136,557
SERVICES & SUPPLIES						
612 TRAINING & TRAVEL	-	-	-	1,000	1,000	1,000
623 TELEPHONE	-	-	-	500	500	500
653 DUES & MEMBERSHIPS	-	-	-	1,200	1,200	1,200
TOTAL SERVICES & SUPPLIES	-	-	-	2,700	2,700	2,700
GROSS TOTAL	-	-	-	139,257	139,257	139,257
TRANSFERS						
701 OPERATING TRANS OUT/(IN)	-	-	-	(40,967)	(40,967)	(40,967)
TOTAL TRANSFERS	-	-	-	(40,967)	(40,967)	(40,967)
NET TOTAL	-	-	-	98,290	98,290	98,290
NET FUND OBLIGATION	-	-	-	98,290	98,290	98,290
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	-	-	-	1	1	1

Interfund Transfers

- \$40,967 from Fund 405 (Wastewater Enterprise Fund)

BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT CENTER BUDGET DETAIL

BUDGET UNIT 15 / FUND 215

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
REVENUE DETAIL						
NONE	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL REVENUE	-	-	-	-	-	-
EXPENDITURE/APPROPRIATIONS						
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS						
601 SALARIES, PERMANENT	-	-	-	71,000	71,000	71,000
606 SALARIES, ANNUAL LEAVE	-	-	-	-	-	-
609 EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	-	-	-	21,300	21,300	21,300
TOTAL SALARIES & EMP BENEFITS	-	-	-	92,300	92,300	92,300
SERVICES & SUPPLIES						
612 TRAINING & TRAVEL	-	-	-	5,000	5,000	5,000
623 TELEPHONE	-	-	-	500	500	500
631 MATERIALS & SUPPLIES	-	-	-	3,700	3,700	3,700
635 REPAIRS & MTC, OTHER	-	-	-	1,000	1,000	1,000
641 RENTS & LEASES	-	-	-	2,000	2,000	2,000
651 POSTAGE	-	-	-	250	250	250
652 ADVERTISING	-	-	-	5,000	5,000	5,000
653 DUES & MEMBERSHIPS	-	-	-	4,000	4,000	4,000
661 OUTSIDE SERVICES	-	-	-	104,000	104,000	104,000
TOTAL SERVICES & SUPPLIES	-	-	-	125,450	125,450	125,450
CAPITAL ASSETS						
680 EQUIPMENT	-	-	-	2,000	2,000	2,000
TOTAL CAPITAL ASSETS	-	-	-	2,000	2,000	2,000
GROSS TOTAL	-	-	-	219,750	219,750	219,750
TRANSFERS						
701 OPERATING TRANS OUT/(IN)	-	-	-	(219,750)	(219,750)	(219,750)
TOTAL TRANSFERS	-	-	-	(219,750)	(219,750)	(219,750)
NET TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-
NET FUND OBLIGATION	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	-	-	-	1	1	1

Interfund Transfers

- \$69,750 from Fund 101 (General Fund)
- \$50,000 from Fund 210 (Economic Development RLF Fund)
- \$100,000 from Fund 760 (Public Finance Authority Fund)

Departmental Program Summary

1. Administration and Council Support (B/U 12)

	Gross Appropriation (\$)	Revenue (\$)	Interfund Transfers (\$)	Net Fund Obligation (\$)	Budgeted Positions (FTE)
Total Program Costs	139,257	0	(40,967)	98,290	1

Authority: Mandated program with discretionary service levels authorized under Article II Section 33 of the Marysville Charter.

This program provides executive management and administrative support to city departments and City Council through agenda management, budget preparation/control and constituent services.

2. Business Development Center (B/U 15)

	Gross Appropriation (\$)	Revenue (\$)	Interfund Transfers (\$)	Net Fund Obligation (\$)	Budgeted Positions (FTE)
Total Program Costs	219,750	0	(219,750)	0	1

Authority: Discretionary program authorized under Minute Order action of City Council, April 16, 2013.

This program manages all city efforts directed at business retention, expansion, relocation and investment attraction into Marysville. This program ensures that the city is prepared to respond to all opportunities for creating new investments and business relocations into Marysville, and to aid businesses with all needed regulatory assistance to make investment and business development in Marysville effortless and timely.

	Gross Appropriation (\$)	Revenue (\$)	Interfund Transfers (\$)	Net Fund Obligation (\$)	Budgeted Positions (FTE)
Total Program Costs	359,007	0	(260,717)	98,290	2

Staffing Allocations (FTE)

Position Classification	Pay Grade	2011-12 Authorized	2012-13 Authorized	2013-14 Recommended	2013-14 Adopted
City Manager	Unclassified	0	0	1	1
Business Development Manager	Unclassified	0	0	1	1

City Clerk

Billie J. Fangman, City Clerk

City Clerk Budget Summary

FUND: GENERAL

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
SUMMARY OF FUNDING	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
SUMMARY OF RESOURCES						
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	0	0	0	1	1	1
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 115,506	\$ 115,506	\$ 115,506
SERVICES & SUPPLIES	0	0	0	300	300	300
OTHER CHARGES	0	0	0	0	0	0
CAPITAL ASSETS	0	0	0	650	650	650
GROSS TOTAL	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 116,456	\$ 116,456	\$ 116,456
TRANSFERS	0	0	0	0	0	0
NET TOTAL	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 116,456	\$ 116,456	\$ 116,456
NET FUND OBLIGATION	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 116,456	\$ 116,456	\$ 116,456

Program Description and Mission

The City Clerk maintains the public's records, administers the records retention program, posts the City Council agenda, prepares the minutes of City Council meetings, distributes the City Council meeting packets, updates and maintains the Marysville Municipal Code and the Administrative Code and all the supporting City ordinances and Council resolutions, and coordinates Marysville municipal elections.

2013-2014 Budget Explanation

This is a new budget unit for FY 2013-2014, created as part of the overall budget redesign, to better reflect costs where they actually occur. In past years, a portion (70%) of the cost of the City Clerk was included in the City Council budget, and the balance (30%) was included in a broader Administrative Services budget, along with the Administrative Services Department and City Manager. For FY 2013-2014, the City Clerk function has its own newly-established separate budget. Also transferred along with the City Clerk's position, temporary staffing used for agenda preparation and other heavy workload periods to help the City Clerk. The increase in cost shown here is offset by cost reductions in the Administrative Services Department (budget unit 10) and City Council (budget unit 11).

Significant Developments During 2012-2013

- Successfully implemented the BoardDocs paperless agenda software program on the City website.
- Successfully placed all Council agenda and supporting documentation online available to the public via BoardDocs before the respective Council meeting dates.
- Successfully implemented wireless and wired Internet connections available to the public in the Council chamber.

2013-2014 Objectives at Recommended Funding Level

- Update online and hardcopy versions of the Marysville Municipal Code.
- Prepare for and successfully coordinate the 2014 municipal election.
- Support the City Council's work by preparing and distributing meeting agenda materials in a timely manner, and by preparing accurate minutes of Council proceedings as required by policy and law.

Changes From FY 2012-2013 Budget

	Gross Appropriation (\$)	Revenue (\$)	Interfund Transfers (\$)	Net Fund Obligation (\$)	Budgeted Positions (FTE)
2012-13 Final Adopted Budget	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Other Changes</i>					
1. Budget Redesign and Staffing Reassignment: This entire budget unit is new for FY 2014, split out of a broader Administrative Services budget (B/U 10) and City Council (B/U 11) in the past.	116,456	0	0	116,456	1
Total Changes	116,456	0	0	116,456	1
2013-14 Adopted Budget	116,456	0	0	116,456	1

CITY CLERK BUDGET DETAIL

BUDGET UNIT 13

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
REVENUE DETAIL						
NONE	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL REVENUE	-	-	-	-	-	-
EXPENDITURE/APPROPRIATIONS						
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS						
601 SALARIES, PERMANENT	-	-	-	61,474	61,474	61,474
602 SALARIES, TEMPORARY	-	-	-	13,900	13,900	13,900
606 SALARIES, ANNUAL LEAVE	-	-	-	6,567	6,567	6,567
609 EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	-	-	-	33,565	33,565	33,565
TOTAL SALARIES & EMP BENEFITS	-	-	-	115,506	115,506	115,506
SERVICES & SUPPLIES						
651 POSTAGE	-	-	-	75	75	75
653 DUES & MEMBERSHIPS	-	-	-	225	225	225
TOTAL SERVICES & SUPPLIES	-	-	-	300	300	300
CAPITAL ASSETS						
680 EQUIPMENT	-	-	-	650	650	650
TOTAL CAPITAL ASSETS	-	-	-	650	650	650
GROSS TOTAL	-	-	-	116,456	116,456	116,456
TRANSFERS						
701 OPERATING TRANS OUT/(IN)	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL TRANSFERS	-	-	-	-	-	-
NET TOTAL	-	-	-	116,456	116,456	116,456
NET FUND OBLIGATION	-	-	-	116,456	116,456	116,456
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	-	-	-	1	1	1

Departmental Program Summary

1. Council Support

	Gross Appropriation (\$)	Revenue (\$)	Interfund Transfers (\$)	Net Fund Obligation (\$)	Budgeted Positions (FTE)
Total Program Costs	104,810	0	0	104,810	0.9

Authority: Mandated program by Government Code Section 40801 et seq., with discretionary service level

In this program, the City Clerk provides direct support to the City Council by preparing meeting agenda materials for distribution to members, taking and transcribing minutes of proceedings of Council meetings, and serving as secretarial support to members.

2. Records Management

	Gross Appropriation (\$)	Revenue (\$)	Interfund Transfers (\$)	Net Fund Obligation (\$)	Budgeted Positions (FTE)
Total Program Costs	11,646	0	0	11,646	0.1

Authority: Mandated program by Government Code Section 40801 et seq., with discretionary service level

In this program, the City Clerk keeps and maintains official records and responds to open records requests from the public.

	Gross Appropriation (\$)	Revenue (\$)	Interfund Transfers (\$)	Net Fund Obligation (\$)	Budgeted Positions (FTE)
Total Program Costs	116,456	0	0	116,456	1

Staffing Allocations (FTE)

Position Classification	Pay Grade	2011-12 Authorized	2012-13 Authorized	2013-14 Recommended	2013-14 Adopted
City Clerk	Unclassified	0	0	1	1

Police Department
David Baker, Acting Chief

Police Department Budget Summary

FUND: VARIOUS

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
SUMMARY OF FUNDING	\$ 1,209,021	\$ 1,182,244	\$ 1,144,393	\$ 1,445,912	\$ 1,455,912	\$ 301,519
SUMMARY OF RESOURCES						
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	30	30	30	28	28	(2)
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	\$ 3,126,793	\$ 2,977,474	\$ 2,945,499	\$ 3,010,705	\$ 3,010,705	\$ 65,206
SERVICES & SUPPLIES	430,880	342,562	341,779	362,709	362,709	20,930
OTHER CHARGES	0	0	0	0	0	0
CAPITAL ASSETS	64,515	20,496	0	0	0	0
GROSS TOTAL	\$ 3,622,188	\$ 3,340,532	\$ 3,287,278	\$ 3,373,414	\$ 3,373,414	\$ 86,136
TRANSFERS	0	0	0	0	0	0
NET TOTAL	\$ 3,622,188	\$ 3,340,532	\$ 3,287,278	\$ 3,373,414	\$ 3,373,414	\$ 86,136
NET FUND OBLIGATION	\$ 2,413,167	\$ 2,158,288	\$ 2,142,885	\$ 1,927,502	\$ 1,927,502	\$ (215,383)

Program Description and Mission

The Marysville Police Department is dedicated to providing public safety and maintaining order for the residents, businesses and visitors in our town. The department is charged with enforcing local and state laws, upholding the U.S. Constitution, and enhancing national security. The department is committed to advancing the City's goals of safe neighborhoods and a vibrant downtown business district.

\$257,335 in grant-funded programs to combat drunk and distracted driving.

Significant Developments During 2012-2013

- One Captain, one Sergeant and three Police Officer resignations;
- City computer network firewall upgrade performed using Police Department technical skills and staff resources;
- Several photo enforcement intersections experienced significant interruptions due to construction at Rideout Regional Health Center and the CalTrans Highway 70/20 overlay project.

2013-2014 Budget Explanation

The 2013-14 Adopted Budget reflects personnel reallocations and operational modifications in the department. A vacant Captain position will remain vacant and unfunded, while two Sergeant II positions currently serving as division commanders are proposed for reclassification to Lieutenant positions, exempt from overtime compensation. This is both a cost control measure and a means of conforming the organizational chain of command to a more conventional model. The cost of reclassifying the positions is fully offset by larger savings in budgeted overtime expense. Two Corporal positions, currently handling first-line supervisory duties, will be promoted to Sergeant positions, the appropriate supervisory rank, to provide adequate supervision of Police Officers on every shift. Those changes more appropriately reflect assigned duties and responsibilities. An existing vacant Dispatcher position will remain vacant and unfunded. To meet its budget target for FY 2013-14, the department has reduced its General Fund spending for the year by \$149,006 from 2012-13. The Adopted Budget also makes provision for an additional

2013-2014 Objectives at Recommended Funding Level

- Continue to explore additional effective means to optimize all aspects of Police Department services to, and interaction with, the public;
- Bring the department up to full approved staffing through comprehensive recruitment efforts;
- Support the City's Bounce Back initiative by providing appropriate policing services at community events and attractions, and by participation in the City Manager's quarterly Community Conversation meetings in neighborhoods around the city;
- Implement and launch the department's new partnership with Cite Zone for automated parking citation management system.

Changes From FY 2012-2013 Budget

	Gross Appropriation (\$)	Revenue (\$)	Interfund Transfers (\$)	Net Fund Obligation (\$)	Budgeted Positions (FTE)
2012-13 Final Adopted Budget	3,287,278	1,144,393	0	2,142,885	30
<i>Efficiencies</i>					
1. Position Reclassification to Exempt Status: Reflects an overall decrease in labor costs due to sizable forecast savings in overtime expense.	15,682	0	0	15,682	0
2. Reduce Administration: The defunding of a vacant Captain position reduces the administrative overhead of the department and allows more resources to be devoted to line staff.	(139,912)	0	0	(139,912)	(1)
<i>Other Changes</i>					
3. Position Reclassification: Reflects reclassification of two Corporal positions to Sergeant positions to more appropriately reflect assigned duties and responsibilities.	18,834	0	0	18,834	0
4. Retirement: Reflects a decrease in employer contributions to PERS retirement of 5 percentage points due to negotiated cost-sharing in labor contracts with both the MPOA sworn and non-sworn bargaining units.	(19,510)	0	0	(19,510)	0
5. Group Health Insurance: Reflects an 8% increase in all health insurance plans offered by the City, partially offset by \$34,800 in negotiated cost-sharing in labor contracts.	4,930	0	0	4,930	0
6. Program Enhancements: Expanded use of grant funding to provide higher levels of safety services.	235,142	235,142	0	0	0
7. Fiscal Limitation Impacts: Overall reductions in funding available to meet the department's budget target.	(29,030)	66,377	0	(95,407)	(1)
Total Changes	86,136	301,519	0	(215,383)	(2)
2013-14 Adopted Budget	3,373,414	1,445,912	0	1,927,502	28

Unmet Needs

The department has an unmet need of \$106,683 to add a Traffic Officer. The Police Department has adapted to the overall decline in City resources by, among other things, disbanding its Traffic Enforcement Unit in 2007 to concentrate its shrinking manpower on higher priority public safety activities. In part, this was made possible by shifting its traffic enforcement strategy from manpower to automation, relying on photo enforcement capabilities to protect motorists in the most dangerous intersections in the City. During the first full year of photo red light enforcement implementation, the City experienced an immediate reduction of 78.7% in traffic collisions at the initial four monitored intersections. Fewer techniques have demonstrated a more successful outcome. In every year since its implementation in Marysville, the automated traffic enforcement program has contributed to continuously improving traffic collision statistics. Even with higher traffic counts in the City today, our collision incidence continues to drop. Fewer persons injured and less property damage save motorists grief and money. But even with the expansion in controlled intersections from four to eight, the department's photo enforcement program is still only able to monitor less than one-quarter of the signalized intersections in the community. Until we can fully rebuild our staff resources in better economic times, it is vital that we allow the Police Department to assign its available manpower to the highest priority public safety services, including responding to calls for service by residents and businesses. Every avoidable traffic collision takes away from those higher priority activities, and is a poor use of our public safety resources. The department must be given the authority to install controls on additional high volume intersection approaches.

City Council Action: No action taken on the department's unmet need.

POLICE DEPARTMENT BUDGET DETAIL

BUDGET UNIT 20 / FUND 101

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
REVENUE DETAIL						
200 POLICE - REPORT COPIES	2269	2080	2000	2,491	2491	491
201 POLICE - FINGERPRINT FEES	2130	2333	2000	2,557	2557	557
202 POLICE - ALARM PERMITS & SVC	4,175	8216	9500	8,860	8860	(640)
203 POLICE - DUI COST RECOVERY	134	-	200	114	114	(86)
204 POLICE - OTHER SERVICES	82420	74419	62000	71,935	71935	9,935
206 POLICE - OTHER FEES & PERMITS	6717	7185	6500	7,048	7048	548
207 POLICE - MISC REIMBURSEMENTS	22933	17703	20000	18,162	18162	(1,838)
209 POLICE - DONATIONS	13500	7510	2500	6,953	6953	4,453
210 POLICE - PARKING PERMITS	4240	4912	5000	4,978	4978	(22)
211 POLICE - PARKING CITATION FINES	29802	60000	30000	75,000	75000	45,000
212 POLICE - VEHICLE CODE FINES	795827	722500	850000	850,000	850000	-
213 POLICE - ABANDONED VEHICLES	11065	17156	12500	16,225	16225	3,725
215 POLICE - ASSETS SEIZURE REV	-	-	1000	500	500	(500)
216 POLICE - C.O.P.S. STATE FUNDS	117297	105777	100000	104,621	104621	4,621
217 POLICE - TOWING CO FEES	19250	19167	19000	19,133	19133	133
TOTAL REVENUE	1,111,759	1,048,958	1,122,200	1,188,577	1,188,577	66,377
EXPENDITURE/APPROPRIATIONS						
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS						
601 SALARIES, PERMANENT	1,241,862	1,146,200	1,330,231	1,317,410	1,317,410	(12,821)
602 SALARIES, TEMPORARY	193300	197263	176212	152,212	152212	(24,000)
603 SALARIES, OVERTIME	274973	328800	170000	177,500	177,500	7,500
606 SALARIES, ANNUAL LEAVE	109288	109716	90000	62,232	62232	(27,768)
609 EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	1,195,238	1,121,585	1,172,942	1,084,725	1,084,725	(88,217)
TOTAL SALARIES & EMP BENEFITS	3,014,661	2,903,564	2,939,385	2,794,079	2,794,079	(145,306)
SERVICES & SUPPLIES						
611 UNIFORMS / GEAR	38665	39658	45000	43,000	43000	(2,000)
612 TRAINING & TRAVEL	-	-	-	5,000	5000	5,000
614 SPECIAL EVENTS STIPENDS	4212	2518	4500	2,000	2000	(2,500)
620 SOFTWARE MTC CONTRACTS	35799	39078	30000	40,000	40000	10,000
623 TELEPHONE	29842	25554	33000	25,000	25000	(8,000)
630 ASSET FORFEITURE EXPENSE	57	-	5000	-	-	(5,000)
631 MATERIALS & SUPPLIES	80877	43121	50000	44,000	44000	(6,000)
633 GAS & OIL	89687	90140	67700	85,000	85000	17,300
635 REPAIRS & MTC, OTHER	854	2133	1000	2,000	2000	1,000
641 RENTS & LEASES	5329	9311	7500	9,000	9000	1,500
651 POSTAGE	1662	1263	500	1,000	1000	500
653 DUES & MEMBERSHIPS	3321	1086	3000	1,000	1000	(2,000)
661 OUTSIDE SERVICES	94561	62062	78500	65,000	65000	(13,500)
TOTAL SERVICES & SUPPLIES	384,866	315,924	325,700	322,000	322,000	(6,700)
CAPITAL ASSETS						
680 EQUIPMENT	64515	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL CAPITAL ASSETS	64,515	-	-	-	-	-
GROSS TOTAL	3,464,042	3,219,488	3,265,085	3,116,079	3,116,079	(149,006)
TRANSFERS						
701 OPERATING TRANS OUT/(IN)	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL TRANSFERS	-	-	-	-	-	-
NET TOTAL	3,464,042	3,219,488	3,265,085	3,116,079	3,116,079	(149,006)
NET FUND OBLIGATION	2,352,283	2,170,530	2,142,885	1,927,502	1,927,502	(215,383)
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	30.0	30.0	30.0	28.0	28.0	(2.0)

D.U.I. GRANT BUDGET DETAIL

BUDGET UNIT 120 / FUND 290

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
REVENUE DETAIL						
001 INTERGOVTL - STATE GRANT	4,156	2,357	-	12,643	12,643	12,643
TOTAL REVENUE	4,156	2,357	-	12,643	12,643	12,643
EXPENDITURE/APPROPRIATIONS						
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS						
603 SALARIES, OVERTIME	3,755	1,731	-	11,243	11,243	11,243
609 EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	401	220	-	400	400	400
TOTAL SALARIES & EMP BENEFITS	4,156	1,951	-	11,643	11,643	11,643
SERVICES & SUPPLIES						
631 MATERIALS & SUPPLIES	-	406	-	1,000	1,000	1,000
TOTAL SERVICES & SUPPLIES	-	406	-	1,000	1,000	1,000
CAPITAL ASSETS						
680 EQUIPMENT	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL CAPITAL ASSETS	-	-	-	-	-	-
GROSS TOTAL	4,156	2,357	-	12,643	12,643	12,643
NET TOTAL	4,156	2,357	-	12,643	12,643	12,643
NET FUND OBLIGATION	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	-	-	-	-	-	-

O.T.S. GRANT BUDGET DETAIL

BUDGET UNIT 121 / FUND 291

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
REVENUE DETAIL						
001 INTERGOVTL - STATE GRANT	23,886	39,342	-	88,147	88,147	88,147
TOTAL REVENUE	23,886	39,342	-	88,147	88,147	88,147
EXPENDITURE/APPROPRIATIONS						
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS						
603 SALARIES, OVERTIME	12,832	25,963	-	74,734	74,734	74,734
609 EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	785	3,348	-	-	-	-
TOTAL SALARIES & EMP BENEFITS	13,617	29,311	-	74,734	74,734	74,734
SERVICES & SUPPLIES						
612 TRAINING & TRAVEL	342	-	-	-	-	-
631 MATERIALS & SUPPLIES	9,927	41	-	13,413	13,413	13,413
TOTAL SERVICES & SUPPLIES	10,269	41	-	13,413	13,413	13,413
CAPITAL ASSETS						
680 EQUIPMENT	-	9,990	-	-	-	-
TOTAL CAPITAL ASSETS	-	9,990	-	-	-	-
GROSS TOTAL	23,886	39,342	-	88,147	88,147	88,147
NET TOTAL	23,886	39,342	-	88,147	88,147	88,147
NET FUND OBLIGATION	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	-	-	-	-	-	-

A.B.C. GRANT BUDGET DETAIL

BUDGET UNIT 122 / FUND 294

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
REVENUE DETAIL						
001 INTERGOVTL - STATE GRANT	17,457	11,436	-	40,174	40,174	40,174
TOTAL REVENUE	17,457	11,436	-	40,174	40,174	40,174
EXPENDITURE/APPROPRIATIONS						
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS						
603 SALARIES, OVERTIME	14,765	8,729	-	38,774	38,774	38,774
609 EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	1,237	1,057	-	-	-	-
TOTAL SALARIES & EMP BENEFITS	16,002	9,786	-	38,774	38,774	38,774
SERVICES & SUPPLIES						
612 TRAINING & TRAVEL	789	928	-	-	-	-
631 MATERIALS & SUPPLIES	666	722	-	1,400	1,400	1,400
TOTAL SERVICES & SUPPLIES	1,455	1,650	-	1,400	1,400	1,400
CAPITAL ASSETS						
680 EQUIPMENT	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL CAPITAL ASSETS	-	-	-	-	-	-
GROSS TOTAL	17,457	11,436	-	40,174	40,174	40,174
NET TOTAL	17,457	11,436	-	40,174	40,174	40,174
NET FUND OBLIGATION	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	-	-	-	-	-	-

AVOID THE 9 GRANT BUDGET DETAIL

BUDGET UNIT 123 / FUND 295

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
REVENUE DETAIL						
001 INTERGOVTL - STATE GRANT	51,763	59,052	22,193	111,091	111,091	88,898
TOTAL REVENUE	51,763	59,052	22,193	111,091	111,091	88,898
EXPENDITURE/APPROPRIATIONS						
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS						
602 SALARIES, NON-PERMANENT	326	-	-	-	-	-
603 SALARIES, OVERTIME	16,656	20,650	5,101	86,195	86,195	81,094
609 EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	2,232	2,514	1,013	-	-	(1,013)
TOTAL SALARIES & EMP BENEFITS	19,214	23,164	6,114	86,195	86,195	80,081
SERVICES & SUPPLIES						
612 TRAINING & TRAVEL	-	-	875	-	-	(875)
631 MATERIALS & SUPPLIES	2,868	5,941	500	24,896	24,896	24,396
661 OUTSIDE SERVICES	30,522	18,600	14,704	-	-	(14,704)
TOTAL SERVICES & SUPPLIES	33,390	24,541	16,079	24,896	24,896	8,817
CAPITAL ASSETS						
680 EQUIPMENT	-	10,506	-	-	-	-
TOTAL CAPITAL ASSETS	-	10,506	-	-	-	-
GROSS TOTAL	52,604	58,211	22,193	111,091	111,091	88,898
NET TOTAL	52,604	58,211	22,193	111,091	111,091	88,898
NET FUND OBLIGATION	841	(841)	-	-	-	-
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	-	-	-	-	-	-

DISTRACTED GRANT BUDGET DETAIL

BUDGET UNIT 124 / FUND 296

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
REVENUE DETAIL						
001 INTERGOVTL - STATE GRANT	-	9,698	-	5,280	5,280	5,280
TOTAL REVENUE	-	9,698	-	5,280	5,280	5,280
EXPENDITURE/APPROPRIATIONS						
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS						
603 SALARIES, OVERTIME	-	8,577	-	5,280	5,280	5,280
609 EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	-	1,121	-	-	-	-
TOTAL SALARIES & EMP BENEFITS	-	9,698	-	5,280	5,280	5,280
GROSS TOTAL	-	9,698	-	5,280	5,280	5,280
NET TOTAL	-	9,698	-	5,280	5,280	5,280
NET FUND OBLIGATION	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	-	-	-	-	-	-

NET 5 BUDGET DETAIL

BUDGET UNIT 125 / FUND 101

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
REVENUE DETAIL						
250 NET 5 REIMBURSEMENT	-	11,401	-	-	-	-
TOTAL REVENUE	-	11,401	-	-	-	-
EXPENDITURE/APPROPRIATIONS						
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS						
601 SALARIES, PERMANENT	23,106	-	-	-	-	-
603 SALARIES, OVERTIME	9,033	-	-	-	-	-
606 SALARIES, ANNUAL LEAVE	5,636	-	-	-	-	-
609 EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	21,368	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL SALARIES & EMP BENEFITS	59,143	-	-	-	-	-
SERVICES & SUPPLIES						
611 UNIFORMS / GEAR	900	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL SERVICES & SUPPLIES	900	-	-	-	-	-
GROSS TOTAL	60,043	-	-	-	-	-
TRANSFERS						
701 OPERATING TRANS OUT/(IN)	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL TRANSFERS	-	-	-	-	-	-
NET TOTAL	60,043	-	-	-	-	-
NET FUND OBLIGATION	60,043	(11,401)	-	-	-	-
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	-	-	-	-	-	-

Departmental Program Summary

1. Patrol

	Gross Appropriation (\$)	Revenue (\$)	Interfund Transfers (\$)	Net Fund Obligation (\$)	Budgeted Positions (FTE)
Total Program Costs	2,200,966	(a)	0	(a)	18.0

Authority. Discretionary program governed by Marysville Municipal Code and California Government Code.

This program provides around the clock police protection to the community, and responds to calls for service from residents and businesses, as well as responding to traffic enforcement issues, including traffic collisions within the city limits of Marysville.

2. Investigation

	Gross Appropriation (\$)	Revenue (\$)	Interfund Transfers (\$)	Net Fund Obligation (\$)	Budgeted Positions (FTE)
Total Program Costs	336,182	(a)	0	(a)	

Authority. Discretionary program governed by Marysville Municipal Code and California Government Code.

This program provides professional investigative support relating to criminal or potential criminal activities and security background checks.

3. Dispatch / Records

	Gross Appropriation (\$)	Revenue (\$)	Interfund Transfers (\$)	Net Fund Obligation (\$)	Budgeted Positions (FTE)
Total Program Costs	578,931	(a)	0	(a)	6.0

Authority. Discretionary program governed by Marysville Municipal Code and California Government Code.

This program provides around the clock communication support to police officers and fire fighters on duty, and is the 911 point of contact for callers reporting emergency conditions within the city limits of Marysville. In addition, all the records keeping functions of the Police Department are handled here.

4. Enhanced Enforcement / Grants

	Gross Appropriation (\$)	Revenue (\$)	Interfund Transfers (\$)	Net Fund Obligation (\$)	Budgeted Positions (FTE)
Total Program Costs	257,335	257,335	0	0	0

Authority. Discretionary services controlled by grant program guidelines and performance requirements.

This program provides an enhanced level of enforcement relating to drunk and impaired or distracted drivers.

	Gross Appropriation (\$)	Revenue (\$)	Interfund Transfers (\$)	Net Fund Obligation (\$)	Budgeted Positions (FTE)
Total Program Costs	3,373,414	257,335 (a)	0	(a)	28.0

(a) Revenue other than from grant sources has not been associated with major program areas in order to not cause distortions due to allocation imprecision. Net Fund Obligation can therefore also not be computed.

Staffing Allocations (FTE)

Position Classification	Pay Grade	2011-12 Authorized	2012-13 Authorized	2013-14 Recommended	2013-14 Adopted
Chief of Police	Unclassified	1	1	1	1
Captain	509	1	1	0	0
Lieutenant	503	0	0	2	2
Sergeant II	499	1	1	0	0
Sergeant II	501	1	1	0	0
Sergeant	469	2	2	4	4
Corporal	469	1	1	0	0
Corporal	479	1	1	0	0
Corporal	454	1	1	1	1
Police Officer	449	1	1	1	1
Police Officer	444	1	1	1	1
Police Officer	439	6	6	6	6
Police Officer	429	1	1	1	1
Community Services Officer	373	1	1	1	1
Crime Scene Technician	383	1	1	1	1
Dispatch/Records Supervisor	417	1	1	1	1
Public Safety Dispatcher	378	5	5	5	5
Public Safety Dispatcher	373	1	1	0	0
Police Equipment Mechanic II	401	1	1	1	1
Senior Administrative Clerk	363	1	1	1	1
Events Coordinator	356	1	1	1	1

Fire Department

Mike Carr, CalFire Battalion Chief

Fire Department Budget Summary

FUND: GENERAL

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
SUMMARY OF FUNDING	\$ 419,731	\$ 856,295	\$ 338,500	\$ 267,122	\$ 267,122	\$ (71,378)
SUMMARY OF RESOURCES						
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.73	0.73	0.23
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	\$ 1,318,959	\$ 1,348,464	\$ 1,346,538	\$ 1,224,875	\$ 1,224,875	\$ (121,663)
SERVICES & SUPPLIES	115,033	73,107	117,189	106,950	106,950	(10,239)
OTHER CHARGES	0	0	0	0	0	0
CAPITAL ASSETS	812,698	0	149,975	0	0	(149,975)
GROSS TOTAL	\$ 2,246,690	\$ 1,421,571	\$ 1,613,702	\$ 1,331,825	\$ 1,331,825	\$ (281,877)
TRANSFERS	0	0	0	0	0	0
NET TOTAL	\$ 2,246,690	\$ 1,421,571	\$ 1,613,702	\$ 1,331,825	\$ 1,331,825	\$ (282,877)
NET FUND OBLIGATION	\$ 1,826,959	\$ 565,276	\$ 1,275,202	\$ 1,064,703	\$ 1,064,703	\$ (210,499)

Program Description and Mission

The Marysville Fire Department was established in 1851, making it the second oldest fire service west of the Mississippi River. The department is responsible for responding to a variety of emergencies within the City and in the neighboring Hallwood/D10 unincorporated areas, including structure fires, vegetation fires, medical emergencies, vehicle accidents and hazardous material incidents. The department also conducts fire safety inspections of commercial businesses, public education and fire prevention activities. Since 1997, CalFire has provided the manpower to staff the department. In addition to paid staff, the department makes use of an active volunteer component of 15 firefighters.

2013-2014 Budget Explanation

The 2013-14 Adopted Budget includes both revenue increases and cost reductions in order to meet its budget targets. The reimbursement the department receives for providing service to the entire District 10 & Hallwood unincorporated areas of the county is proposed for the first increase in many years, although no agreement has yet been reached on a specific amount. Expenditures are down due to the reduction in contract staffing from 3-person shifts to 2-person shifts beginning the second quarter of the year, reflecting the expiration of the SAFER grant in late 2012-13. Late in FY 2012-13, the City was granted approval to finish out the balance of the SAFER funds remaining at the expiration of the grant performance period in April, extending 3-person funding past the end of the fiscal year, and through the first quarter of

FY 2013-14. Although every effort will be made to qualify for the 2012 grant which had previously been rejected but which is now under reconsideration by FEMA for award during 2013-14, no appropriations have been included for that possibility. If the grant is awarded in the next few months, a supplemental appropriation to cover the balance of the fiscal year will be brought to City Council for approval.

Recommendation of the Council Budget Committee: Restore additional funding of \$10,000 to Temporary Salaries account to ensure adequate training time for volunteer firefighters. Recommendation accepted. Funding restored.

Significant Developments During 2012-2013

- The department's "Staffing for Adequate Fire & Emergency Response" (SAFER) grant performance period that had provided three firefighters for the past two years expired in April 2013; a requested extension of that grant was not approved by the federal grantor. As a result, once the residual funding for the 2010 grant runs out approximately October 1, 2013, the staffing of the department will be limited to two paid staff on duty around the clock.

2013-2014 Objectives at Recommended Funding Level

- The department will seek a new SAFER grant to restore the 3-person staffing when the eligibility requirements are published by FEMA and the application period opens in approximately July 2013.

Changes From FY 2012-2013 Budget

	Gross Appropriation (\$)	Revenue (\$)	Interfund Transfers (\$)	Net Fund Obligation (\$)	Budgeted Positions (FTE)
2012-13 Final Adopted Budget	1,613,702	338,500	0	1,275,202	0.5
<i>Other Changes</i>					
1. Community Program: Reflects contract staffing reduction from 3/0 staffing to 2/0 staffing due to the expiration of the SAFER grant, effective approximately October 1, 2013.	(124,437)			(124,437)	
2. Charges for Services: Reflects increase in annual service contract fee paid from D10/Hallwood unincorporated area.		40,000		(40,000)	
3. Equity reclassification: Part-time position of Administrative Clerk I reallocated to Administrative Clerk II.	(6,061)			(6,061)	0.23
4. Fiscal Limitation Impacts: Overall reductions in funding available to meet the department's budget target.	(151,379)	(126,378)		(25,001)	
Total Changes	(281,877)	(71,378)	0	(210,499)	0.23
2013-14 Adopted Budget	1,331,825	267,122	0	1,064,703	0.73

2013-2014 Policy Issue

The staffing reduction caused by the expiration of the department's SAFER grant in October 2013 raises a long-term service delivery concern for both the city and its CalFire contractor. With the severe fiscal limitations the city continues to confront, it is unlikely that a satisfactory contract for services can be agreed with the state. Even if the parties were successful in qualifying for a new SAFER grant in FY 2013-14, a 3-person staffing level would likely not be restored before the second half of the fiscal year, and this grant funding would expire again in two years, leaving the city without a long-term solution to its fire protection needs.

The City Manager is seeking the Council's policy guidance on pursuing alternate service delivery options. In particular, the option of partnering with the Linda County Fire District seems the most effective, reliable course for the foreseeable future. Exploratory discussions have been held between city and district management sufficient to determine a level of interest in such a partnership.

Policy Question: Will the Council authorize the City Manager to pursue all necessary negotiations with the Linda County Fire District leading to a timely arrangement for fire protection services within the City of Marysville? Are there other options the Council would prefer to pursue?

City Council Action: City Manager authorized to pursue negotiations.

FIRE DEPARTMENT BUDGET DETAIL

BUDGET UNIT 30

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
REVENUE DETAIL						
300 FIRE - DISTRICT 10/HALLWOOD FEE	105,000	105,167	105,000	140,000	140,000	35,000
301 FIRE - PREVENTION PERMITS	4,160	4,583	3,000	4,267	4,267	1,267
302 FIRE - HAZARDOUS MATLS FEE	1,996	-	-	-	-	-
303 FIRE - OTHER SERVICES	2,440	215	1,500	907	907	(593)
305 FIRE - STRIKE TEAM REIMB	7,350	84,206	25,000	40,000	40,000	15,000
306 FIRE - STUDENT FIREFIGHTER	3,600	4,236	6,000	5,915	5,915	(85)
314 FIRE - SAFER GRANT	295,185	657,888	198,000	76,033	76,033	(121,967)
TOTAL REVENUE	419,731	856,295	338,500	267,122	267,122	(71,378)
EXPENDITURE/APPROPRIATIONS						
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS						
601 SALARIES, PERMANENT	18,628	15,523	15,564	17,082	17,082	1,518
602 SALARIES, TEMPORARY	14,691	13,961	10,000	20,000	20,000	10,000
606 SALARIES, ANNUAL LEAVE	-	224	1,000	-	-	(1,000)
607 OUTSIDE LABOR	1,271,554	1,308,568	1,308,568	1,184,131	1,184,131	(124,437)
609 EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	14,086	10,188	11,406	3,662	3,662	(7,744)
TOTAL SALARIES & EMP BENEFITS	1,318,959	1,348,464	1,346,538	1,224,875	1,224,875	(121,663)
SERVICES & SUPPLIES						
611 UNIFORMS / GEAR	9,510	1,961	10,000	8,000	8,000	(2,000)
621 WATER	430	1,636	1,000	2,000	2,000	1,000
622 ELECTRICITY & GAS	12,811	12,445	14,000	13,000	13,000	(1,000)
623 TELEPHONE	1,598	2,788	5,000	4,000	4,000	(1,000)
631 MATERIALS & SUPPLIES	34,300	9,533	30,000	24,000	24,000	(6,000)
633 GAS & OIL	19,856	22,305	20,000	20,000	20,000	-
634 REPAIRS & MTC, ROLLING	1,821	2,600	2,500	2,500	2,500	-
635 REPAIRS & MTC, OTHER	15,449	10,028	15,750	13,750	13,750	(2,000)
651 POSTAGE	125	92	700	700	700	-
653 DUES & MEMBERSHIPS	265	2,001	639	1,400	1,400	761
661 OUTSIDE SERVICES	18,868	7,718	17,600	17,600	17,600	-
TOTAL SERVICES & SUPPLIES	115,033	73,107	117,189	106,950	106,950	(10,239)
CAPITAL ASSETS						
680 EQUIPMENT	812,698	-	149,975	-	-	(149,975)
TOTAL CAPITAL ASSETS	812,698	-	149,975	-	-	(149,975)
GROSS TOTAL	2,246,690	1,421,571	1,613,702	1,331,825	1,331,825	(281,877)
TRANSFERS						
701 OPERATING TRANS OUT/(IN)	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL TRANSFERS	-	-	-	-	-	-
NET TOTAL	2,246,690	1,421,571	1,613,702	1,331,825	1,331,825	(281,877)
NET FUND OBLIGATION	1,826,959	565,276	1,275,202	1,064,703	1,064,703	(210,499)
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.73	0.73	0.23

Staffing Allocations (FTE)

Position Classification	Pay Grade	2011-12 Authorized	2012-13 Authorized	2013-14 Recommended	2013-14 Adopted
Administrative Clerk I	293	0.5	0.5	0	0
Administrative Clerk II	313	0	0	0.73	0.73

City Services Department

David Lamon, PE, City Services Director

City Services Department Budget Summary

FUND: GENERAL

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
SUMMARY OF FUNDING	\$ 216,228	\$ 154,582	\$ 143,000	\$ 180,500	\$ 180,500	\$ 40,500
SUMMARY OF RESOURCES						
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE) §	14	14	14	14	14	0
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	\$ 669,719	\$ 711,602	\$ 705,057	\$ 818,451	\$ 818,451	\$ 113,394
SERVICES & SUPPLIES	1,091,861	1,078,093	1,115,300	1,094,360	1,094,360	(20,940)
OTHER CHARGES	0	0	0	0	0	0
CAPITAL ASSETS	0	0	0	10,000	10,000	10,000
GROSS TOTAL	\$ 1,761,580	\$ 1,789,695	\$ 1,820,357	\$ 1,922,811	\$ 1,922,811	\$ 102,454
TRANSFERS	(200,000)	(215,000)	(215,000)	(362,084)	(362,084)	(147,084)
NET TOTAL	\$ 1,561,580	\$ 1,574,695	\$ 1,605,357	\$ 1,560,727	\$ 1,560,727	\$ (44,630)
NET FUND OBLIGATION	\$ 1,345,352	\$ 1,420,113	\$ 1,462,357	\$ 1,380,227	\$ 1,380,227	\$ (82,130)

§ Staffing and operating costs for 4 FTE are accounted for in the Wastewater Enterprise Fund (Fund 405), and are not shown in this display.

Program Description and Mission

The City Services Department consolidates municipal functions dealing with the maintenance, operation and repair of city streets, traffic signs and signals, street lights, sidewalks, sewers, storm drains, parks and municipal buildings, together with the management of programs for community planning, zoning and building safety, and the enforcement of codes ensuring building standards and neighborhood health and safety. The department is also involved in renting city facilities for all special events that take place in Marysville.

2013-2014 Budget Explanation

Meeting its budget target for 2013-14 has required this department to propose significant program reductions which will have visible service level cutbacks in the community. With a number of costs either fixed or constrained by other criteria, there is only about \$385,000 that is subject to reduction, leading to cuts throughout the department to achieve budget targets. Utilities' costs, principally water, are expected to increase significantly during 2013-14, and that has led to the proposal reflected in the Proposed Budget that some parks and greenways would no longer be watered. All city parks other than neighborhood parks, Ellis Lake Park, Plaza Park, both parks at 3rd and D Streets and Washington Square Park would be allowed to go natural, relying on rainwater alone. Mowing and maintenance in those parks would similarly be reduced, or be sprayed with weed killer to minimize maintenance. Community groups will be encouraged to

assist wherever possible to keep as many parks as possible maintained to an acceptable level. Among other items causing significant adjustments in the Adopted Budget:

Administration and Engineering (B/U 40)

- As part of the budget redesign, the past practice of dividing the salaries of selected department staff among various budget units, in relation to an estimate of time spent in a variety of departmental activities has been ended. This is a non-standard budgeting practice that has been commonly-used in Marysville. New for 2013-14, the salaries of individuals are incorporated in a single budget unit, and pro rata shares of costs are recovered from other external fund budgets as a form of indirect cost allocation. This form of cost allocation has not yet been introduced where costs are shared among activities in the same fund. The Director of City Services, one Administrative Assistant and one Assistant Engineer were all affected by this change, and are now fully budgeted in Administration and Engineering. In return, the General Fund receives a transfer covering a portion of the salary costs from the Wastewater Enterprise Fund. This change does not increase the cost to either the General Fund or the enterprise fund, but now follows standard municipal budgeting practice regarding the salaries of individuals whose times are spread among various programs.

Public Works Center (B/U 41)

- The budget for repair and maintenance parts for police vehicles was reduced \$20,000 from anticipated actual expenditures for 2012-13.

The current fleet of police vehicles has now been fully converted and outfitted for police use, so these costs are expected to be considerably lower in 2013-14.

- Repair and maintenance costs for Public Works vehicles and equipment are expected to increase considerably, as older equipment is repaired instead of being replaced, including two large mowers which are otherwise overdue for replacement, but which will be repaired as required.

Street Trees (B/U 42)

- Inasmuch as no water is being supplied separately to street trees any longer, all water accounts have been transferred over to the Parks Maintenance budget (B/U 46). That results in a reduction of \$24,000 in this budget unit.

Street Maintenance (B/U 43)

- This budget unit is totally supported by interfund transfers from the Gas Tax Fund (226) and the Transportation & Transit Fund (327), and so has no net impact on the General Fund. For FY 2013-14, the Adopted Budget calls for cancelling the accumulated fund reserve in Fund 327, amounting to \$14,680, and making that entire amount available to carry out an accelerated program of sidewalk repairs.

Parks Maintenance (B/U 46)

- A sharp rise in costs of utilities has affected the parks maintenance program throughout 2012-13, and is expected to continue into FY 2013-14. Some of this is due to the extremely dry year, causing watering of parks to continue longer into the fall and beginning earlier in the spring of the year, while pumping into Ellis Lake was necessary all winter to maintain the lake level. Beyond that, the rate increases pending for California Water Service would increase our costs by more than 36 % for all parks connected to the Cal Water system. System-wide, we estimate that the pending rate hike would increase our parks water budget from \$61,500 to about \$86,100. For that reason, the Adopted Budget contains only enough funding to keep neighborhood parks, Ellis Lake Park, Plaza Park, Washington Square Park and both parks at 3rd and D Streets watered. The remaining parks would be allowed to go natural, relying solely on rain water, and receiving minimal other maintenance. At the direction of Council, \$35,000 of funding was restored to accommodate both the watering and maintenance labor costs required for Plaza Park, Washington Square Park and the two parks at 3rd and D Streets.
- The budget for the maintenance of Bryant Field Park has been consolidated into the Parks Maintenance budget for budgetary and accounting efficiency. For historical purposes, the old Fund 400 will remain, but beginning in FY 2013-14, all future activity will be accounted for in the General Fund and expenditure appropriations will occur in the Parks Maintenance budget (B/U 46).

City Hall Maintenance (B/U 47)

- The major reduction in this budget is attributable to reducing custodial service the City contracts for from Yuba County from daily to alternating days.

- Electrical usage will be curtailed by removing 50 % of lamps in all areas, disconnecting separate space heaters, other appliances and not heating or cooling on mild days, saving \$5,000.

Building & Code Enforcement (B/U 48)

- Technology Expense account reduced to match actual experience in 2012-13, saving an estimated \$19,700.
- Salaries & Employee Benefits group of accounts is affected by the reassignment of a portion (10 %) of the Director of City Services' compensation from this budget to Administration & Engineering (B/U 40). A reduction of \$12,977 here is offset by a comparable increase in budget unit 40.
- The Outside Services account from which the City contracts for abatement of nuisance conditions in the community is being eliminated. The city will rely on property owners in violation to perform all required abatement work. Where that proves unworkable, Council will be requested to approve a budget adjustment to address the most serious nuisance violations.

Planning (B/U 49)

- Salaries & Employee Benefits group of accounts is affected by the reassignment of portions of the compensation of Director of City Services (5%) and Administrative Assistant (80 %) from this budget to Administration & Engineering (B/U 40). A reduction of \$45,654 here is offset by a comparable increase in budget unit 40

Recommendation of the Council Budget Committee: Restore additional funding of \$8,000 to Outside Services account in Planning (B/U 49) to permit the department to use contract planning services to revise the commercial and industrial use tables in the Zoning Code. Recommendation Accepted. Funding restored.

Significant Developments During 2012-2013

- Working with the City Manager, Administrative Services Manager, and the city's contract bond financing team, successfully completed the sale and issuance of \$13.963 million of sewer revenue bonds to implement the city's construction of an intertie with the new regional wastewater treatment plant in Linda;
- Issued a Request for Proposals and successfully negotiated a planning contract with PMC of Rancho Cordova to update the mandatory Housing Element of the General Plan, awarded by City Council in January;
- Issued a Request for Proposals and successfully negotiated a planning contract with Alta Planning + Design of Berkeley to prepare a community-wide plan under the Safe Routes to School program, awarded by City Council in May;

2013-2014 Objectives at Recommended Funding Level

- Working with an industry advisory group and the City's contract planner, revise the existing commercial and industrial use tables in the Zoning Code to make use permit issuance easier to administer.

- Propose ordinance amendments to delegate authority for routine use permit issuance to the City Services Director, speeding approvals for many commercial developments and removing non-controversial, routine items from the agenda of the Planning and Historical Preservation Commission. The ordinance amendment will provide that appeals to use permit denials would be to the Commission.
- Submit the 2013 update of the General Plan Housing Element to the California Department of Housing and Community Development for certification, approximately October 2013.
- Working with the City Manager and Business Development Manager, prepare a project list for funding application to the state under the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) program as soon as the updated Housing Element is certified by the state.
- Working with the City Manager and the Business Development Manager, prepare supporting information for grant applications to the U.S. Economic Development Administration for three priority projects: (a) \$5.6 million for repaving city streets in the 80 square city blocks comprising four of the five Bouance Back targeted economic districts (not including the River District), (b) \$2 million to acquire and restore the State Theater, and (c) \$1 million to master plan Ellis Lake Park for facility improvements around the lake, including parking, lighting, landscaping and irrigation, together with water quality improvements of the lake itself.
- Seek grant funding to undertake parks master planning, to better align the City's park amenities with resident needs, while still reducing maintenance effort and expense.

Changes From FY 2012-2013 Budget

	Gross Appropriation (\$)	Revenue (\$)	Interfund Transfers (\$)	Net Fund Obligation (\$)	Budgeted Positions (FTE)
2012-13 Adopted Budget	1,820,357	143,000	(215,000)	1,462,357	14.0
<i>Other Changes</i>					
1. Group Health Insurance: Reflects an 8% increase in all health insurance plans offered by the City, partially offset by negotiated concessions in labor contracts.	4,833	0	0	4,833	0
2. Budget Redesign and Staffing Reassignment: Portions of costs of the City Services Director (60%), Assistant City Engineer (80%) and Administrative Assistant (80%) were formerly distributed throughout the department's activity budgets. New for FY 2013-14, the full salaries and benefits of those three employees are accounted for entirely in this budget unit. An interfund transfer of \$106,422 from Fund 405 (Wastewater Fund) offsets the apportioned salary costs attributable to sewer operations. An additional \$58,529 of reallocated salaries is offset by corresponding reductions in two other General Fund budgets (Code Enforcement and Planning).	106,422	0	(106,422)	0	0
3. Program Reductions: Reflects reduced parks maintenance, including reduction of all temporary Maintenance Worker seasonal help in the Parks Maintenance budget, and cutbacks in watering costs.	5,800	0	0	5,800	0
4. Fiscal Limitation Impacts: Overall reductions in funding available to meet the department's budget target.	(14,601)	52,500	(40,662)	(107,763)	0
Total Changes	102,454	37,500	(147,084)	(82,130)	0
2013-14 Adopted Budget	1,922,811	180,500	(362,084)	1,380,227	14.0

ADMINISTRATION AND ENGINEERING BUDGET DETAIL

BUDGET UNIT 40

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
REVENUE DETAIL						
487 ENCROACHMENT PERMITS	22,802	30,739	25,000	25,000	25,000	-
TOTAL REVENUE	22,802	30,739	25,000	25,000	25,000	-
EXPENDITURE/APPROPRIATIONS						
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS						
601 SALARIES, PERMANENT	54,797	51,336	51,336	170,490	170,490	119,154
602 SALARIES, NON PERMANENT	-	-	1,520	-	-	(1,520)
606 SALARIES, ANNUAL LEAVE	1,935	14,868	3,000	14,868	14,868	11,868
609 EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	28,450	25,187	25,187	90,610	90,610	65,423
TOTAL SALARIES & EMP BENEFITS	85,182	91,391	81,043	275,968	275,968	194,925
SERVICES & SUPPLIES						
623 TELEPHONE	-	1,300	1,300	1,400	1,400	100
631 MATERIALS & SUPPLIES	1,317	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	-
635 REPAIRS & MTC, OTHER	2,498	200	850	850	850	-
641 RENTS & LEASES	28	-	500	-	-	(500)
651 POSTAGE	411	-	50	-	-	(50)
652 ADVERTISING	339	800	2,000	1,000	1,000	(1,000)
653 DUES & MEMBERSHIPS	155	175	350	350	350	-
661 OUTSIDE SERVICES	1,238	1,000	7,000	2,000	2,000	(5,000)
TOTAL SERVICES & SUPPLIES	5,986	6,475	15,050	8,600	8,600	(6,450)
GROSS TOTAL	91,168	97,866	96,093	284,568	284,568	188,475
TRANSFERS						
701 OPERATING TRANS OUT/(IN)	-	-	-	(106,422)	(106,422)	(106,422)
TOTAL TRANSFERS	-	-	-	(106,422)	(106,422)	(106,422)
NET TOTAL	91,168	97,866	96,093	178,146	178,146	82,053
NET FUND OBLIGATION	68,366	67,127	71,093	153,146	153,146	82,053
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	0.8	0.8	0.8	3	3	2.2

Interfund Transfers

- \$106,422 from Fund 405 (Wastewater Enterprise Fund)

PUBLIC WORKS CENTER BUDGET DETAIL

BUDGET UNIT 41

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
REVENUE DETAIL						
NONE	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL REVENUE	-	-	-	-	-	-
EXPENDITURE/APPROPRIATIONS						
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS						
601 SALARIES, PERMANENT	42,844	45,822	45,822	45,822	45,822	-
602 SALARIES, TEMPORARY	295	-	-	-	-	-
603 SALARIES, OVERTIME	516	1,156	500	500	500	-
606 SALARIES, ANNUAL LEAVE	1,685	6,280	2,900	3,630	3,630	730
609 EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	32,357	33,195	33,909	36,685	36,685	2,776
TOTAL SALARIES & EMP BENEFITS	77,697	86,453	83,131	86,637	86,637	3,506
SERVICES & SUPPLIES						
611 UNIFORMS / GEAR	500	600	300	500	500	200
613 VEHICLE ALLOWANCE	825	700	900	900	900	-
621 WATER	2,828	2,400	2,400	3,360	3,360	960
622 ELECTRICITY & GAS	14,544	13,188	10,000	13,200	13,200	3,200
623 TELEPHONE	3,683	3,200	4,000	4,000	4,000	-
624 REPAIRS & MTC, POLICE	86,437	60,000	70,000	40,000	40,000	(30,000)
625 REPAIRS & MTC, FIRE	12,410	25,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	-
626 REPAIRS & MTC, CSD	23,874	35,000	16,000	30,000	30,000	14,000
631 MATERIALS & SUPPLIES	24,479	26,000	34,000	20,000	20,000	(14,000)
633 GAS & OIL	36,065	35,000	32,000	30,000	30,000	(2,000)
635 REPAIRS & MTC, OTHER	28	1,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	-
653 DUES & MEMBERSHIPS	35	100	300	-	-	(300)
661 OUTSIDE SERVICES	9,065	11,000	7,000	7,000	7,000	-
TOTAL SERVICES & SUPPLIES	214,773	213,188	210,900	182,960	182,960	(27,940)
CAPITAL ASSETS						
680 EQUIPMENT	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL CAPITAL ASSETS	-	-	-	-	-	-
GROSS TOTAL	292,470	299,641	294,031	269,597	269,597	(24,434)
TRANSFERS						
701 OPERATING TRANS OUT/(IN)	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL TRANSFERS	-	-	-	-	-	-
NET TOTAL	292,470	299,641	294,031	269,597	269,597	(24,434)
NET FUND OBLIGATION	292,470	299,641	294,031	269,597	269,597	(24,434)
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25	-

STREET TREES & PARKWAYS BUDGET DETAIL

BUDGET UNIT 42

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
REVENUE DETAIL						
NONE	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL REVENUE	-	-	-	-	-	-
EXPENDITURE/APPROPRIATIONS						
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS						
601 SALARIES, PERMANENT	9,206	8,887	9,523	10,457	10,457	934
603 SALARIES, OVERTIME	12	277	-	300	300	300
606 SALARIES, ANNUAL LEAVE	-	869	-	-	-	-
609 EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	2,919	3,071	6,184	6,563	6,563	379
TOTAL SALARIES & EMP BENEFITS	12,137	13,104	15,707	17,320	17,320	1,613
SERVICES & SUPPLIES						
611 UNIFORMS / GEAR	200	300	300	300	300	-
621 WATER	19,123	15,000	24,000	-	-	(24,000)
631 MATERIALS & SUPPLIES	221	2,500	4,000	4,000	4,000	-
635 REPAIRS & MTC, OTHER	-	-	1,000	1,000	1,000	-
661 OUTSIDE SERVICES	7,650	6,000	6,000	6,000	6,000	-
TOTAL SERVICES & SUPPLIES	27,194	23,800	35,300	11,300	11,300	(24,000)
GROSS TOTAL	39,331	36,904	51,007	28,620	28,620	(22,387)
TRANSFERS						
701 OPERATING TRANS OUT/(IN)	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL TRANSFERS	-	-	-	-	-	-
NET TOTAL	39,331	36,904	51,007	28,620	28,620	(22,387)
NET FUND OBLIGATION	39,331	36,904	51,007	28,620	28,620	(22,387)
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	-

STREET MAINTENANCE BUDGET DETAIL

BUDGET UNIT 43

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
REVENUE DETAIL						
NONE	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL REVENUE	-	-	-	-	-	-
EXPENDITURE/APPROPRIATIONS						
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS						
601 SALARIES, PERMANENT	83,482	82,553	82,553	84,548	84,548	1,995
603 SALARIES, OVERTIME	1,156	2,240	6,500	3,000	3,000	(3,500)
606 SALARIES, ANNUAL LEAVE	7,548	8,405	4,100	6,300	6,300	2,200
609 EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	48,716	54,660	54,660	57,984	57,984	3,324
TOTAL SALARIES & EMP BENEFITS	140,902	147,858	147,813	151,832	151,832	4,019
SERVICES & SUPPLIES						
611 UNIFORMS / GEAR	1,050	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	-
613 VEHICLE ALLOWANCE	1,800	1,500	1,800	1,800	1,800	-
631 MATERIALS & SUPPLIES	14,487	16,000	16,000	16,000	16,000	-
635 REPAIRS & MTC, OTHER	-	800	800	800	800	-
661 OUTSIDE SERVICES	-	-	-	14,730	14,730	14,730
TOTAL SERVICES & SUPPLIES	17,337	19,800	20,100	34,830	34,830	14,730
GROSS TOTAL	158,239	167,658	167,913	186,662	186,662	18,749
TRANSFERS						
701 OPERATING TRANS OUT/(IN)	(158,239)	(167,658)	(167,913)	(186,662)	(186,662)	(18,749)
TOTAL TRANSFERS	(158,239)	(167,658)	(167,913)	(186,662)	(186,662)	(18,749)
NET TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-
NET FUND OBLIGATION	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	-

Interfund Transfers

- \$171,932 from Fund 226 (Gas Tax Fund)
- \$14,730 from Fund 327 (Transportation & Transit Fund)

STREET LIGHTING BUDGET DETAIL

BUDGET UNIT 44

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
REVENUE DETAIL						
050 ADOPT A STREET LIGHT PROGRAM	8,641	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL REVENUE	8,641	-	-	-	-	-
EXPENDITURE/APPROPRIATIONS						
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS						
601 SALARIES, PERMANENT	11,384	11,904	11,904	12,498	12,498	594
603 SALARIES, OVERTIME	-	155	1,500	300	300	(1,200)
606 SALARIES, ANNUAL LEAVE	-	1,314	600	1,120	1,120	520
609 EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	10,032	10,583	11,339	11,791	11,791	452
TOTAL SALARIES & EMP BENEFITS	21,416	23,956	25,343	25,709	25,709	366
SERVICES & SUPPLIES						
611 UNIFORMS / GEAR	250	300	150	300	300	150
622 ELECTRICITY & GAS	52,080	57,000	50,000	53,000	53,000	3,000
631 MATERIALS & SUPPLIES	7,315	6,000	6,000	6,000	6,000	-
661 OUTSIDE SERVICES	2,519	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	-
TOTAL SERVICES & SUPPLIES	62,164	65,300	58,150	61,300	61,300	3,150
GROSS TOTAL	83,580	89,256	83,493	87,009	87,009	3,516
TRANSFERS						
701 OPERATING TRANS OUT/(IN)	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL TRANSFERS	-	-	-	-	-	-
NET TOTAL	83,580	89,256	83,493	87,009	87,009	3,516
NET FUND OBLIGATION	74,939	89,256	83,493	87,009	87,009	3,516
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	-

TRAFFIC SAFETY BUDGET DETAIL

BUDGET UNIT 45

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
REVENUE DETAIL						
NONE	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL REVENUE	-	-	-	-	-	-
EXPENDITURE/APPROPRIATIONS						
SERVICES & SUPPLIES						
622 ELECTRICITY & GAS	12,805	12,000	14,000	13,000	13,000	(1,000)
631 MATERIALS & SUPPLIES	1,030	5,000	10,000	25,000	25,000	15,000
635 REPAIRS & MTC, OTHER	-	-	1,000	1,000	1,000	-
661 OUTSIDE SERVICES	413,995	450,000	490,000	490,000	490,000	-
665 OUTSIDE SERVICES - SIG MTC	-	-	20,000	20,000	20,000	-
TOTAL SERVICES & SUPPLIES	427,830	467,000	535,000	549,000	549,000	14,000
CAPITAL ASSETS						
680 EQUIPMENT	-	-	-	10,000	10,000	10,000
TOTAL CAPITAL ASSETS	-	-	-	10,000	10,000	10,000
GROSS TOTAL	427,830	467,000	535,000	559,000	559,000	24,000
TRANSFERS						
701 OPERATING TRANS OUT/(IN)	(41,761)	(47,342)	(47,087)	(69,000)	(69,000)	(21,913)
TOTAL TRANSFERS	(41,761)	(47,342)	(47,087)	(69,000)	(69,000)	(21,913)
NET TOTAL	386,069	419,658	487,913	490,000	490,000	2,087
NET FUND OBLIGATION	386,069	419,658	487,913	490,000	490,000	2,087
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	-	-	-	-	-	-

Interfund Transfers

- \$69,000 from Fund 226 (Gas Tax Fund)

PARKS MAINTENANCE BUDGET DETAIL

BUDGET UNIT 46

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
REVENUE DETAIL						
018 DONATIONS	-	1,800	-	3,600	3,600	3,600
032 BASEBALL LICENSE FEE	-	-	-	40,000	40,000	40,000
TOTAL REVENUE	-	1,800	-	43,600	43,600	43,600
EXPENDITURE/APPROPRIATIONS						
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS						
601 SALARIES, PERMANENT	62,555	62,730	62,730	64,980	64,980	2,250
602 SALARIES, TEMPORARY	22,524	26,000	26,000	-	-	(26,000)
603 SALARIES, OVERTIME	983	5,500	5,500	3,500	3,500	(2,000)
606 SALARIES, ANNUAL LEAVE	4,349	7,727	6,500	4,338	4,338	(2,162)
609 EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	66,579	58,945	58,945	59,703	59,703	758
TOTAL SALARIES & EMP BENEFITS	156,990	160,902	159,675	132,521	132,521	(27,154)
SERVICES & SUPPLIES						
611 UNIFORMS / GEAR	1,000	1,500	600	600	600	-
613 VEHICLE ALLOWANCE	900	900	900	900	900	-
621 WATER	34,118	80,000	30,000	65,800	65,800	35,800
622 ELECTRICITY & GAS	32,922	60,000	30,000	58,000	58,000	28,000
631 MATERIALS & SUPPLIES	33,715	30,000	30,000	19,000	19,000	(11,000)
635 REPAIRS & MTC, OTHER	-	1,000	2,000	1,000	1,000	(1,000)
641 RENTS & LEASES	-	-	600	18,000	18,000	17,400
653 DUES & MEMBERSHIPS	-	-	300	-	-	(300)
661 OUTSIDE SERVICES	13,582	1,500	10,000	32,000	32,000	22,000
TOTAL SERVICES & SUPPLIES	116,237	174,900	104,400	195,300	195,300	90,900
CAPITAL ASSETS						
680 EQUIPMENT	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL CAPITAL ASSETS	-	-	-	-	-	-
GROSS TOTAL	273,227	335,802	264,075	327,821	327,821	63,746
TRANSFERS						
701 OPERATING TRANS OUT/(IN)	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL TRANSFERS	-	-	-	-	-	-
NET TOTAL	273,227	335,802	264,075	327,821	327,821	63,746
NET FUND OBLIGATION	273,227	334,002	264,075	284,221	284,221	20,146
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	2.25	2.25	2.25	2.25	2.25	-

CITY HALL MAINTENANCE BUDGET DETAIL

BUDGET UNIT 47

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
REVENUE DETAIL						
NONE	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL REVENUE	-	-	-	-	-	-
EXPENDITURE/APPROPRIATIONS						
SERVICES & SUPPLIES						
621 WATER	1,643	2,130	1,800	3,000	3,000	1,200
622 ELECTRICITY & GAS	46,388	50,000	50,000	45,000	45,000	(5,000)
631 MATERIALS & SUPPLIES	3,008	5,000	3,600	5,000	5,000	1,400
661 OUTSIDE SERVICES	126,334	30,000	47,000	18,000	18,000	(29,000)
TOTAL SERVICES & SUPPLIES	177,373	87,130	102,400	71,000	71,000	(31,400)
CAPITAL ASSETS						
680 EQUIPMENT	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL CAPITAL ASSETS	-	-	-	-	-	-
GROSS TOTAL	177,373	87,130	102,400	71,000	71,000	(31,400)
TRANSFERS						
701 OPERATING TRANS OUT/(IN)	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL TRANSFERS	-	-	-	-	-	-
NET TOTAL	177,373	87,130	102,400	71,000	71,000	(31,400)
NET FUND OBLIGATION	177,373	87,130	102,400	71,000	71,000	(31,400)
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	-	-	-	-	-	-

BUILDING & CODE ENFORCEMENT BUDGET DETAIL

BUDGET UNIT 48

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
REVENUE DETAIL						
479 BLDG - TECHNOLOGY FEE	11835	5834	6000	6,000	6000	-
480 BUILDING PERMITS	141619	70000	70000	70,000	70000	-
481 PLUMBING PERMITS	4575	5784	7000	6,000	6000	(1,000)
482 ELECTRICAL PERMITS	7789	8915	9000	9,000	9000	-
483 MECHANICAL PERMITS	5184	5525	7000	6,000	6000	(1,000)
485 BLDG - CODE ENFORCEMENT FEES	15554	10937	6000	6,000	6000	-
486 BLDG - WEED ABATEMENT FEES	100	4444	-	-	-	-
488 BLDG - SIGN PERMITS	-	-	500	-	-	(500)
TOTAL REVENUE	186656	111439	105500	108,000	103000	(2,500)
EXPENDITURE/APPROPRIATIONS						
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS						
601 SALARIES, PERMANENT	76383	76383	76383	67,764	67,764	(8,619)
602 SALARIES, TEMPORARY	-	-	3000	-	-	(3,000)
603 SALARIES, OVERTIME	302	-	1000	-	-	(1,000)
606 SALARIES, ANNUAL LEAVE	2790	3040	3500	3,282	3282	(218)
609 EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	58024	58576	58576	55,865	55,865	(2,711)
TOTAL SALARIES & EMP BENEFITS	137499	137999	142459	126,911	126911	(15,548)
SERVICES & SUPPLIES						
611 UNIFORMS / GEAR	300	300	300	300	300	-
613 VEHICLE ALLOWANCE	-	-	-	-	-	-
619 TECHNOLOGY EXPENSE	-	25700	25700	6,000	6000	(19,700)
623 TELEPHONE	381	650	650	650	650	-
631 MATERIALS & SUPPLIES	1185	2000	3500	3,500	3500	-
633 GAS & OIL	1344	900	1600	900	900	(700)
635 REPAIRS & MTC, OTHER	-	300	300	300	300	-
641 RENTS & LEASES	405	-	300	-	-	(300)
653 DUES & MEMBERSHIPS	492	600	450	650	650	200
661 OUTSIDE SERVICES	4499	3500	10000	-	-	(10,000)
TOTAL SERVICES & SUPPLIES	8606	33950	42800	12,300	12300	(30,500)
CAPITAL ASSETS						
680 EQUIPMENT	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL CAPITAL ASSETS	-	-	-	-	-	-
GROSS TOTAL	146105	171949	185259	139,211	139211	(46,048)
TRANSFERS						
701 OPERATING TRANS OUT/(IN)	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL TRANSFERS	-	-	-	-	-	-
NET TOTAL	146105	171949	185259	139,211	139211	(46,048)
NET FUND OBLIGATION	(40,551)	60510	79759	36,211	36,211	(43,548)
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	-

PLANNING BUDGET DETAIL

BUDGET UNIT 49

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
REVENUE DETAIL						
490 PLANNING - USE PERMITS	4350	6924	4000	4,000	4000	-
491 PLANNING - EIR FEES	400	-	1000	1,000	1000	-
492 PLANNING - SUBDIVISION FILING FEE	400	601	500	400	400	(100)
493 PLANNING - VARIANCE FILING FEE	-	2,178	4000	2,000	2000	(2,000)
494 PLANNING - DESIGN REVIEW	1620	901	3000	1,500	1500	(1,500)
TOTAL REVENUE	6770	10604	12500	8,900	8900	(3,600)
EXPENDITURE/APPROPRIATIONS						
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS						
601 SALARIES, PERMANENT	25002	29777	29777	-	-	(29,777)
602 SALARIES, TEMPORARY	225	1260	1260	1,260	1260	-
603 SALARIES, OVERTIME	-	300	300	-	-	(300)
606 SALARIES, ANNUAL LEAVE	987	893	-	-	-	-
609 EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	11603	13982	13982	93	93	(13,889)
TOTAL SALARIES & EMP BENEFITS	37817	46212	45319	1,353	1353	(43,966)
SERVICES & SUPPLIES						
623 TELEPHONE	-	-	300	-	-	(300)
631 MATERIALS & SUPPLIES	542	1200	2000	2,000	2000	-
635 REPAIRS & MTC, OTHER	28	-	300	-	-	(300)
641 RENTS & LEASES	404	-	600	-	-	(600)
652 ADVERTISING	1,148	2200	2200	3,000	3000	800
653 DUES & MEMBERSHIPS	-	250	250	-	-	(250)
661 OUTSIDE SERVICES	5319	10000	10000	8,000	8000	(2,000)
TOTAL SERVICES & SUPPLIES	7441	13650	15650	6,000	13000	(2,650)
CAPITAL ASSETS						
680 EQUIPMENT	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL CAPITAL ASSETS	-	-	-	-	-	-
GROSS TOTAL	45258	59862	60969	14,353	14,353	(46,616)
TRANSFERS						
701 OPERATING TRANS OUT/(IN)	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL TRANSFERS	-	-	-	-	-	-
NET TOTAL	45258	59862	60969	14,353	14,353	(46,616)
NET FUND OBLIGATION	38488	49258	48469	5,453	5,453	(43,016)
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	0.85	0.85	0.85	-	-	(0.85)

CEMETERY MAINTENANCE BUDGET DETAIL

BUDGET UNIT 50

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
REVENUE DETAIL						
405 DONATIONS, HEADSTONES	2,624	2,312	5,000	-	-	(5,000)
406 INSURANCE, HEADSTONES	14,100	3,868	2,500	-	-	(2,500)
TOTAL REVENUE	16,724	6,180	7,500	-	-	(7,500)
EXPENDITURE/APPROPRIATIONS						
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS						
602 SALARIES, TEMPORARY	75	3,720	3,720	200	200	(3,520)
609 EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	4	7	847	-	-	(847)
TOTAL SALARIES & EMP BENEFITS	79	3,727	4,567	200	200	(4,367)
SERVICES & SUPPLIES						
622 ELECTRICITY & GAS	4,346	5,000	3,000	5,000	5,000	2,000
631 MATERIALS & SUPPLIES	98	200	1,500	300	300	(1,200)
655 HEADSTONE REPAIRS	19,100	-	7,500	-	-	(7,500)
661 OUTSIDE SERVICES	3,376	10,000	2,000	1,000	1,000	(1,000)
TOTAL SERVICES & SUPPLIES	26,920	15,200	14,000	6,300	6,300	(7,700)
CAPITAL ASSETS						
680 EQUIPMENT	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL CAPITAL ASSETS	-	-	-	-	-	-
GROSS TOTAL	26,999	18,927	18,567	6,500	6,500	(12,067)
TRANSFERS						
701 OPERATING TRANS OUT/(IN)	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL TRANSFERS	-	-	-	-	-	-
NET TOTAL	26,999	18,927	18,567	6,500	6,500	(12,067)
NET FUND OBLIGATION	10,275	12,747	11,067	6,500	6,500	(4,567)
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	-	-	-	-	-	-

Departmental Program Summary

1. Department & Project Management

	Gross Appropriation (\$)	Revenue (\$)	Interfund Transfers (\$)	Net Fund Obligation (\$)	Budgeted Positions (FTE)
Total Program Costs	284,568	25,000	(106,422)	153,146	3.0

Authority: Discretionary program operating under provisions of the Marysville Municipal Code.

In this program, the department director and his immediate engineering and administrative staff are responsible for managing all the programs of the City Services Department and special engineering projects assigned to the department.

2. Public Works Center

	Gross Appropriation (\$)	Revenue (\$)	Interfund Transfers (\$)	Net Fund Obligation (\$)	Budgeted Positions (FTE)
Total Program Costs	269,597	0	0	269,597	1.25

Authority: Discretionary program with discretionary service levels

This program takes responsibility for managing the City's public works vehicles and provides supervision over street and park maintenance workers.

3. Street Trees & Parkways

	Gross Appropriation (\$)	Revenue (\$)	Interfund Transfers (\$)	Net Fund Obligation (\$)	Budgeted Positions (FTE)
Total Program Costs	28,620	0	0	28,620	0.4

Authority: Discretionary program with discretionary service levels

This program is responsible for replacement of City-maintained trees in street rights-of-way.

4. Street Maintenance

	Gross Appropriation (\$)	Revenue (\$)	Interfund Transfers (\$)	Net Fund Obligation (\$)	Budgeted Positions (FTE)
Total Program Costs	188,662	0	(186,662)	0	2.6

Authority: Discretionary program with discretionary service levels

In this program, all routine maintenance and minor repairs are carried out on the 60 miles of City-maintained streets, including pavement repairs, sidewalk repairs, repairs and replacement of street signs and street lights, and maintenance of traffic signals. All costs of this program are offset by transfers from the Gas Tax Fund (Fund 226).

5. Street Lighting

	Gross Appropriation (\$)	Revenue (\$)	Interfund Transfers (\$)	Net Fund Obligation (\$)	Budgeted Positions (FTE)
Total Program Costs	87,009	0	0	87,009	0.5

Authority: Discretionary program with discretionary service levels

This program is responsible for operating and maintaining the 1,119 street lights in the City street network.

6. Traffic Safety

	Gross Appropriation (\$)	Revenue (\$)	Interfund Transfers (\$)	Net Fund Obligation (\$)	Budgeted Positions (FTE)
Total Program Costs	559,000	0	(69,000)	490,000	0

Authority: Discretionary program with discretionary service levels

In this program, the City's traffic planning and facility maintenance program take place, including providing for photo enforcement equipment at several signalized intersections in the City.

7. Parks Maintenance

	Gross Appropriation (\$)	Revenue (\$)	Interfund Transfers (\$)	Net Fund Obligation (\$)	Budgeted Positions (FTE)
Total Program Costs	327,821	43,600	0	284,221	2.25

Authority: Discretionary program with discretionary service levels

In this program, the City's traffic planning and facility maintenance program take place, including providing for photo enforcement equipment at several signalized intersections in the City.

8. City Hall Maintenance

	Gross Appropriation (\$)	Revenue (\$)	Interfund Transfers (\$)	Net Fund Obligation (\$)	Budgeted Positions (FTE)
Total Program Costs	71,000	0	0	71,000	0

Authority: Discretionary program with discretionary service levels

This program provides maintenance service for the City Hall and Police Department building, including repairs on building systems, and custodial services, hired under contract from Yuba County.

9. Building & Code Enforcement

	Gross Appropriation (\$)	Revenue (\$)	Interfund Transfers (\$)	Net Fund Obligation (\$)	Budgeted Positions (FTE)
Total Program Costs	139,211	103,000	0	36,211	2.1

Authority: Some mandated activities carried out at discretionary service levels

This is the principal public protection program of the City to ensure that structures are built to safe standards, and that the health, welfare and safety of the community is protected against substandard dwellings or unsafe buildings or nuisance conditions.

10. Planning

	Gross Appropriation (\$)	Revenue (\$)	Interfund Transfers (\$)	Net Fund Obligation (\$)	Budgeted Positions (FTE)
Total Program Costs	14,353	8,900	0	5,453	0

Authority: Some mandated activities carried out at discretionary service levels

This is the City's land use planning and zoning program, enforcing provisions of the City's General Plan and Zoning Code. Staffing for the program is provided from the department's Engineering & Administration budget (B/U 40).

11. Cemetery Maintenance

	Gross Appropriation (\$)	Revenue (\$)	Interfund Transfers (\$)	Net Fund Obligation (\$)	Budgeted Positions (FTE)
Total Program Costs	6,500	0	0	6,500	0

Authority: Discretionary program with discretionary service levels

This program provides basic maintenance services and pays for utilities for the Marysville Cemetery.

	Gross Appropriation (\$)	Revenue (\$)	Interfund Transfers (\$)	Net Fund Obligation (\$)	Budgeted Positions (FTE)
Total Program Costs	1,922,811	180,500	(362,084)	1,380,227	14

Staffing Allocations (FTE) ^(a)

Position Classification	Pay Grade	2011-12 Authorized	2012-13 Authorized	2013-14 Recommended	2013-14 Adopted
City Services Director	Unclassified	1	1	1	1
Assistant Engineer	460	1	1	1	1
Building Inspector	402	1	1	1	1
Administrative Assistant	356	1	1	1	1
Senior Administrative Clerk	333	1	1	1	1
Public Works Superintendent	439	1	1	1	1
Senior Maintenance Worker	363	1	1	1	1
Maintenance Worker I	323	6	6	6	6
Equipment Mechanic II	371	1	1	1	1

(a) Staffing for the Wastewater program is accounted for in the Wastewater Enterprise Fund (Fund 405), and is not shown in this display.

SB 325 Local Transportation

SB 325 Local Transportation Budget Summary

FUND: TRANSPORTATION & TRANSIT

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
SUMMARY OF FUNDING	\$ 86	\$ 50	\$ 50	\$ 50	\$ 50	\$ 0
SUMMARY OF RESOURCES						
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	0	0	0	0	0	0
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
SERVICES & SUPPLIES	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER CHARGES	0	0	0	0	0	0
CAPITAL ASSETS	(2,937)	29,665	10,000	0	0	(10,000)
GROSS TOTAL	\$ (2,937)	\$ 29,665	\$ 10,000	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ (10,000)
TRANSFERS	0	0	0	14,730	14,730	14,730
NET TOTAL	\$ (2,937)	\$ 29,665	\$ 10,000	\$ 14,730	\$ 14,730	\$ 4,730
NET FUND OBLIGATION	\$ (3,023)	\$ 29,665	\$ 9,500	\$ 14,680	\$ 14,680	\$ 4,730

Program Description and Mission

The Transportation & Transit Fund accounts for revenues received by the City under the Local Transportation Act passed by the California Legislature as Senate Bill 325. The Act requires cities and counties to apply these funds toward unmet transit needs in the community and, after meeting those needs, these state-shared revenues can only then be used for street maintenance purposes. Locally, the Yuba-Sutter Transit Authority, of which the City of Marysville is a member, determines the area's unmet transit needs, and they regularly use all of the city's annual allocation of SB 325 revenue, so little remains for street maintenance purposes. Typically, the small amounts of revenue which the City does receive are allowed to accumulate in this fund until they are transferred to either the General Fund for routine street and sidewalk maintenance work, or to the Capital Projects Fund to support larger, non-routine projects.

2013-2014 Budget Explanation

No direct activity is planned for this budget unit in 2013-14. However, the entire accumulated fund balance of \$14,680 is proposed to be canceled and made available as a source of financing for transfer to the General Fund to fund an accelerated program of sidewalk repairs in FY 2013-14. The transferred funds appear as an expenditure appropriation in Budget Unit 43 – Street Maintenance.

SB 325 LOCAL TRANSPORTATION BUDGET DETAIL

BUDGET UNIT 51

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
REVENUE DETAIL						
440 INTEREST EARNINGS	86	50	50	50	50	-
TOTAL REVENUE	86	50	50	50	50	-
EXPENDITURE/APPROPRIATIONS						
CAPITAL ASSETS						
771 CONCRETE REPLACEMENT	(2,937)	-	10,000	-	-	(10,000)
772 SIDEWALK IMPROVEMENTS	-	29,665	-	-	-	-
TOTAL CAPITAL ASSETS	(2,937)	29,665	10,000	-	-	(10,000)
GROSS TOTAL	(2,937)	29,665	10,000	-	-	(10,000)
TRANSFERS						
701 OPERATING TRANS OUT/(IN)	-	-	-	14,730	14,730	14,730
TOTAL TRANSFERS	-	-	-	14,730	14,730	14,730
NET TOTAL	(2,937)	29,665	10,000	14,730	14,730	4,730
NET FUND OBLIGATION	(3,023)	29,615	9,950	14,680	14,680	4,730
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	-	-	-	-	-	-

Interfund Transfers

- \$14,730 to Fund 101 (General Fund)

Gas Tax

Gas Tax Budget Summary

FUND: GAS TAX

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
SUMMARY OF FUNDING	\$ 883,003	\$ 1,174,164	\$ 1,211,900	\$ 355,500	\$ 355,500	\$ (856,400)
SUMMARY OF RESOURCES						
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	0	0	0	0	0	0
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
SERVICES & SUPPLIES	104,235	211,955	350,000	0	0	(350,000)
OTHER CHARGES	0	0	0	0	0	0
CAPITAL ASSETS	0	0	0	0	0	0
GROSS TOTAL	\$ 104,235	\$ 211,955	\$ 350,000	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ (350,000)
TRANSFERS	771,753	995,437	1,105,000	277,832	277,832	(827,168)
NET TOTAL	\$ 875,988	\$ 1,207,392	\$ 1,455,000	\$ 277,832	\$ 277,832	\$ (1,177,168)
NET FUND OBLIGATION	\$ (7,015)	\$ 33,228	\$ 243,100	\$ (77,668)	\$ (77,668)	\$ (320,768)

Program Description and Mission

The Gas Tax Fund accounts for revenues received by the City principally from the sale of motor vehicle fuel taxes paid by motorists when they buy fuel within the city limits of Marysville. Gas tax revenues are restricted in their use for repairs and maintenance of city streets and related appurtenances, including vehicular bridges, street striping, signage, traffic signals and sidewalks.

2013-2014 Budget Explanation

The Gas Tax program is the primary source of street repair funding, although this is generally accomplished through interfund transfers into either the General Fund or the Capital Projects Fund, or both, depending on the size and nature of the repairs proposed. In the 2013-14 Adopted Budget, no direct activity is planned. However, planned transfers to the General Fund offset the entire cost of the Street Maintenance budget (B/U 43) and a portion of the Traffic Safety budget (B/U 45). A separate transfer goes to the Capital Projects Fund to cover the cost of reinstalling the flagpole on Highway 20, removed by Cal Trans in mid-2013 for the duration of the highway repaving project.

GAS TAX BUDGET DETAIL

BUDGET UNIT 52

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
REVENUE DETAIL						
001 STP AUGMENTATION FUNDS	-	51,751	-	-	-	-
008 ARRA FUNDS	511,412	780,437	850,000	-	-	(850,000)
103 GASOLINE TAX - SECTION 2013	167,380	141,307	160,000	150,654	150,654	(9,346)
105 GASOLINE TAX - SECTION 2015	64,134	63,121	63,000	66,238	66,238	3,238
106 GASOLINE TAX - SECTION 2016	45,087	43,482	45,000	44,342	44,342	(658)
107 GASOLINE TAX - SECTION 2017	94,056	90,157	90,000	91,266	91,266	1,266
075 GASOLINE TAX - SECTION 2017.5	-	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	-
440 INTEREST EARNINGS	934	909	900	-	-	(900)
TOTAL REVENUE	883,003	1,174,164	1,211,900	355,500	355,500	(856,400)
EXPENDITURE/APPROPRIATIONS						
SERVICES & SUPPLIES						
661 OUTSIDE SERVICES	104,235	211,955	350,000	-	-	(350,000)
TOTAL SERVICES & SUPPLIES	104,235	211,955	350,000	-	-	(350,000)
CAPITAL ASSETS						
680 EQUIPMENT	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL CAPITAL ASSETS	-	-	-	-	-	-
GROSS TOTAL	104,235	211,955	350,000	-	-	(350,000)
TRANSFERS						
701 OPERATING TRANS OUT/(IN)	771,753	995,437	1,105,000	277,832	277,832	(827,168)
TOTAL TRANSFERS	771,753	995,437	1,105,000	277,832	277,832	(827,168)
NET TOTAL	875,988	1,207,392	1,455,000	277,832	277,832	(1,177,168)
NET FUND OBLIGATION	(7,015)	33,228	243,100	(77,668)	(77,668)	(320,768)
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	-	-	-	-	-	-

Interfund Transfers

- \$247,832 to Fund 101 (General Fund)
- \$30,000 to Fund 300 (Capital Projects)

Bok Kai Event

Bok Kai Event Budget Summary

FUND: BOK KAI EVENT

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
SUMMARY OF FUNDING	\$ 19,014	\$ 16,663	\$ 18,520	\$ 17,597	\$ 17,597	\$ (923)
SUMMARY OF RESOURCES						
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	0	0	0	0	0	0
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
SERVICES & SUPPLIES	17,676	16,774	18,300	17,597	17,597	(703)
OTHER CHARGES	0	0	0	0	0	0
CAPITAL ASSETS	0	0	0	0	0	0
GROSS TOTAL	\$ 17,676	\$ 16,774	\$ 18,300	\$ 17,597	\$ 17,597	\$ (703)
TRANSFERS	0	0	0	0	0	0
NET TOTAL	\$ 17,676	\$ 16,774	\$ 18,300	\$ 17,597	\$ 17,597	\$ (703)
NET FUND OBLIGATION	\$ (1,338)	\$ 137	\$ (220)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 220

Program Description and Mission

The Bok Kai Event Fund accounts for all financial activities related to the City's participation in the annual Bok Kai Parade festivities. The parade is the highlight of a two-day celebration of the city's once-vibrant Chinese culture, and it remains the oldest continuous community event staged in the Yuba-Sutter region, having presented its 133rd annual version in March 2013.

2013-2014 Budget Explanation

The 2013-14 Adopted Budget provides funding to carry on the City's traditional participation in this long-standing community heritage event. Funding comes primarily from paid sponsorships and vendor fees.

BOK KAI EVENT BUDGET DETAIL

BUDGET UNIT 55

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
REVENUE DETAIL						
004 SPONSORSHIPS	8,950	8,012	9,500	8,620	8,620	(880)
005 PARADE ENTRIES	1,605	1,245	2,000	1,610	1,610	(390)
006 VENDORS	2,805	2,835	3,400	3,043	3,043	(357)
007 SALES & USE	5,642	4,510	3,600	4,296	4,296	696
440 INTEREST EARNINGS	12	35	20	28	28	8
TOTAL REVENUE	19,014	16,637	18,520	17,597	17,597	(923)
EXPENDITURE/APPROPRIATIONS						
SERVICES & SUPPLIES						
631 MATERIALS & SUPPLIES	563	415	2,000	692	692	(1,308)
651 POSTAGE	217	70	300	175	175	(125)
652 ADVERTISING	2,673	3,889	7,000	4,775	4,775	(2,225)
661 OUTSIDE SERVICES	14,223	12,400	9,000	11,955	11,955	2,955
TOTAL SERVICES & SUPPLIES	17,676	16,774	18,300	17,597	17,597	(703)
CAPITAL ASSETS						
680 EQUIPMENT	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL CAPITAL ASSETS	-	-	-	-	-	-
GROSS TOTAL	17,676	16,774	18,300	17,597	17,597	(703)
TRANSFERS						
701 OPERATING TRANS OUT/(IN)	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL TRANSFERS	-	-	-	-	-	-
NET TOTAL	17,676	16,774	18,300	17,597	17,597	(703)
NET FUND OBLIGATION	(1,338)	137	(220)	-	-	220
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	-	-	-	-	-	-

Nondepartmental Special Accounts

Nondepartmental Special Accounts Budget Summary

FUND: GENERAL

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
SUMMARY OF FUNDING	\$ 5,251,449	\$ 5,200,287	\$ 5,268,673	\$ 5,030,215	\$ 5,030,215	\$ (238,458)
SUMMARY OF RESOURCES						
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	0	0	0	0	0	0
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
SERVICES & SUPPLIES	433,147	503,276	475,701	514,750	514,750	39,049
OTHER CHARGES	279,058	141	0	0	0	0
CAPITAL ASSETS	0	0	0	0	0	0
GROSS TOTAL	\$ 712,205	\$ 503,417	\$ 475,701	\$ 514,750	\$ 514,750	\$ 39,049
TRANSFERS	(100,000)	(160,000)	(110,000)	(160,000)	(160,000)	(50,000)
NET TOTAL	\$ 612,205	\$ 343,417	\$ 365,701	\$ 354,750	\$ 354,750	\$ (10,951)
NET FUND OBLIGATION	\$ (4,639,244)	\$ (4,856,870)	\$ (4,920,972)	\$ (4,675,465)	\$ (4,675,465)	\$ 227,507

Program Description and Mission

The Nondepartmental Special Accounts budget provides for special General Fund expenditures and revenues which are not directly related to any single city department and, if included in any department's budget, would distort the true operating costs of that budget. The appropriation reflects charges for services of citywide benefit and for special contracts that are not related to any single department's main mission.

- Elimination of Training & Travel funding in this budget. All Training & Travel funding has now been included in departmental budgets.

2013-2014 Budget Explanation

The 2013-14 Adopted Budget reflects:

- A reduction of approximately \$65,000 in fees paid to Yuba County Auditor-Controller for property tax administration (PTAF), following a state Supreme Court ruling that held that counties in California had, for several years, applied an erroneous method of calculating those fees. In April 2013, the city and county agreed to a corrected formula for future charges, as well as an installment payment agreement to reimburse the city for past over-charges.
- A substantial reduction of \$238,458 in nondepartmental General Fund revenues forecast for FY 2013-14, principally in property tax receipts (down \$155,165) and lost sales and use tax (\$145,518).
- An increase of \$98,299 from the past year in the cost of casualty and liability insurance premiums. The increase is not in response to the City's loss history, but to strategic business decisions made by the underwriter's board of directors to set aside higher loss reserves for future claims.

NONDEPARTMENTAL SPECIAL ACCOUNTS BUDGET DETAIL

BUDGET UNIT 90

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
REVENUE DETAIL						
PROPERTY TAXES	2,339,277	2,396,351	2,457,000	2,301,835	2,301,835	(155,165)
OTHER TAXES	2,054,164	1,945,481	2,025,000	1,916,307	1,916,307	(108,693)
LICENSES PERMITS & FRANCHISES	476,354	480,912	460,000	480,912	480,912	20,912
USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY	186,413	205,808	154,200	179,885	179,885	25,685
INTERGOVT REVENUE - STATE	63,513	63,022	57,941	56,898	56,898	(1,043)
INTERGOVT REVENUE - OTHER	19,495	17,248	15,000	18,840	18,840	3,840
MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE	112,233	91,465	99,532	75,538	75,538	(23,994)
TOTAL REVENUE	5,251,449	5,200,287	5,268,673	5,030,215	5,030,215	(238,458)
EXPENDITURE/APPROPRIATIONS						
SERVICES & SUPPLIES						
612 TRAINING & TRAVEL	9,197	9,579	12,000	-	-	(12,000)
613 VEHICLE ALLOWANCE	-	3,737	-	-	-	-
620 SOFTWARE MTC CONTRACTS	540	720	700	2,500	2,500	1,800
623 TELEPHONE	21,874	21,531	18,000	22,000	22,000	4,000
631 MATERIALS & SUPPLIES	3,864	3,660	7,000	4,000	4,000	(3,000)
641 RENTS & LEASES	110	-	300	-	-	(300)
642 PROPERTY TAXES	632	9,800	1,000	1,000	1,000	-
643 LIABILITY INSURANCE	41,985	72,563	61,701	160,000	160,000	98,299
647 PEACH FESTIVAL	26,032	28,849	35,000	30,000	30,000	(5,000)
649 CHRISTMAS EXPENSE	3,128	2,881	5,000	3,500	3,500	(1,500)
650 JULY 4 EXPENSE	-	8,748	-	-	-	-
651 POSTAGE	10,888	5,832	12,500	12,500	12,500	-
652 ADVERTISEMENT	-	175	-	-	-	-
653 DUES & MEMBERSHIPS	7,693	10,397	9,000	9,250	9,250	250
661 OUTSIDE SERVICES	66,644	123,296	63,500	85,000	85,000	21,500
663 LEGAL FEES	124,170	131,508	135,000	135,000	135,000	-
664 PROPERTY TAX ADMIN COST	116,390	70,000	115,000	50,000	50,000	(65,000)
TOTAL SERVICES & SUPPLIES	433,147	503,276	475,701	514,750	514,750	39,049
OTHER CHARGES						
691 DEBT SERVICE - PRINCIPAL	278,181	-	-	-	-	-
692 DEBT SERVICE - INTEREST	844	-	-	-	-	-
697 INTEREST EXPENSE	33	141	-	-	-	-
TOTAL OTHER CHARGES	279,058	141	-	-	-	-
GROSS TOTAL	712,205	503,417	475,701	514,750	514,750	39,049
TRANSFERS						
701 OPERATING TRANS OUT/(IN)	(100,000)	(160,000)	(110,000)	(160,000)	(160,000)	(50,000)
TOTAL TRANSFERS	(100,000)	(160,000)	(110,000)	(160,000)	(160,000)	(50,000)
NET TOTAL	612,205	343,417	365,701	354,750	354,750	(10,951)
NET FUND OBLIGATION	(4,639,244)	(4,856,870)	(4,902,972)	(4,675,465)	(4,675,465)	227,507
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	-	-	-	-	-	-

Interfund Transfers

- \$50,000 from Fund 321 (Community Development Fund)
- \$110,000 from Fund 405 (Wastewater Enterprise Fund)

Yuba Local Agency Formation Commission

Local Agency Formation Commission Budget Summary

FUND: LAFCO

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
SUMMARY OF FUNDING	\$ 142,376	\$ 148,714	\$ 139,091	\$ 142,258	\$ 142,258	\$ 3,167
SUMMARY OF RESOURCES						
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	1	1	1	1	1	0
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	\$ 80,884	\$ 76,150	\$ 85,000	\$ 85,000	\$ 85,000	\$ 0
SERVICES & SUPPLIES	53,938	80,483	176,491	157,258	157,258	(19,233)
OTHER CHARGES	0	0	0	0	0	0
CAPITAL ASSETS	0	0	0	0	0	
GROSS TOTAL	\$ 134,822	\$ 156,633	\$ 261,491	\$ 242,258	\$ 242,258	\$ (19,223)
TRANSFERS	0	0	0	0	0	0
NET TOTAL	\$ 134,822	\$ 156,633	\$ 261,491	\$ 242,258	\$ 242,258	\$ (19,223)
NET FUND OBLIGATION	\$ (7,554)	\$ 7,919	\$ 122,400	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	\$ (22,400)

Program Description and Mission

The Yuba Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCo) is an independent regulatory entity created by the California Legislature to control the boundaries of cities and most special districts within Yuba County. Each county in the state has a Local Agency Formation Commission, governed by a commission appointed jointly by the county and its incorporated cities, applying the state law known as the Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Act. As envisioned by the legislature, the LAFCo enabling statute was adopted to control sprawl and encourage orderly government. In Yuba County, LAFCo contracts with the City of Marysville to manage its finances, but the City has no decision-making authority over the Commission budget or its finances, except as one of three public agency members represented on the Commission.

LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION BUDGET DETAIL

BUDGET UNIT 60

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
REVENUE DETAIL						
001 CITY & COUNTY PYMTS	128,410	114,091	114,091	116,758	116,758	2,667
002 LAFCO REVENUE	13,536	33,858	25,000	25,000	25,000	-
440 INTEREST EARNINGS	430	765	-	500	500	500
TOTAL REVENUE	142,376	148,714	139,091	142,258	142,258	3,167
EXPENDITURE/APPROPRIATIONS						
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS						
601 SALARIES, PERMANENT	47,698	45,101	52,716	52,716	52,716	-
603 SALARIES, OVERTIME	406	563	1,000	1,000	1,000	-
606 SALARIES, ANNUAL LEAVE		750	1,284	1,284	1,284	-
609 EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	32,780	29,736	30,000	30,000	30,000	-
TOTAL SALARIES & EMP BENEFITS	80,884	76,150	85,000	85,000	85,000	-
SERVICES & SUPPLIES						
612 TRAINING & TRAVEL	3,195	3,258	3,500	4,000	4,000	500
623 TELEPHONE	106	343	1,000	1,000	1,000	-
631 MATERIALS & SUPPLIES	969	957	1,500	1,500	1,500	-
637 MAPPING - LAFCO	6,939	9,661	5,000	10,000	10,000	5,000
638 COPIES	502	938	1,500	1,500	1,500	-
643 INSURANCE PREMIUM	2,164	2,294	2,250	2,500	2,500	250
648 CONTINGENCY	-	-	63,000	13,000	13,000	(50,000)
651 POSTAGE	-	387	1,000	1,000	1,000	-
652 ADVERTISING	1,700	1,637	1,500	1,500	1,500	-
653 DUES & MEMBERSHIPS	725	760	741	758	758	17
661 OUTSIDE SERVICES	33,747	49,072	83,500	108,500	108,500	25,000
663 LEGAL FEES	3,891	11,176	12,000	12,000	12,000	-
TOTAL SERVICES & SUPPLIES	53,938	80,483	176,491	157,258	157,258	(19,233)
CAPITAL ASSETS						
680 EQUIPMENT	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL CAPITAL ASSETS	-	-	-	-	-	-
GROSS TOTAL	134,822	156,633	261,491	242,258	242,258	(19,233)
TRANSFERS						
701 OPERATING TRANS OUT/(IN)	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL TRANSFERS	-	-	-	-	-	-
NET TOTAL	134,822	156,633	261,491	242,258	242,258	(19,233)
NET FUND OBLIGATION	(7,554)	7,919	122,400	100,000	100,000	(22,400)
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	-

Debt Service

Matt Michaelis, Administrative Services Manager

Debt Service Budget Summary

FUND: PUBLIC FINANCE AUTHORITY DEBT SERVICE

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
SUMMARY OF FUNDING	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000
SUMMARY OF RESOURCES						
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	0	0	0	0	0	0
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
SERVICES & SUPPLIES	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER CHARGES	0	25,000	0	145,000	145,000	145,000
CAPITAL ASSETS	0	0	0	0	0	0
GROSS TOTAL	\$ 0	\$ 25,000	\$ 0	\$ 145,000	\$ 145,000	\$ 145,000
TRANSFERS	0	(25,000)	0	(45,000)	(45,000)	(45,000)
NET TOTAL	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000
NET FUND OBLIGATION	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0

Program Description and Mission

This program was created in 2011 with the sale and delivery of \$7 million of taxable Certificates of Participation (COPs). Proceeds of the Certificates were used to (a) establish a 2006 Redemption Fund to refund a previous issue of COPs by the city, (b) finance the cost of the city retaining consultants to facilitate the sale or lease of the property to commercial interests, (c) fund a reserve fund for the Certificates, (d) fund capitalized interest with respect to a portion of the Certificates through October 1, 2014, and (e) pay delivery costs incurred in connection with the execution, delivery and sale of the Certificates. Interest payments to date have been made by the trustee from proceeds, and not from the General Fund.

2013-2014 Budget Explanation

This budget unit is new for 2013-14, and is used to account for annual lease payments made by the city as the rental for property on B Street between 12th and 14th Streets, pursuant to a lease-back agreement with the Marysville Public Financing Authority, and miscellaneous fees to the trustee. The 2013-14 Adopted Budget includes provisions for payment of \$25,000 to augment the Reserve Fund held by the trustee, and two supplemental payments of \$60,000 each for partial interest payments due on October 1, 2013 and April 1, 2014. Under terms of the bond covenants and accompanying agreements, the annual lease payments are payable by the city from its general fund.

Annual Debt Service Schedule

MATURITY DATE	PRINCIPAL AMOUNT	INTEREST	TOTAL
10/1/2012	--	\$484,552	\$484,552
10/1/2013	--	481,875	481,875
10/1/2014	--	481,875	481,875
10/1/2015	--	481,875	481,875
10/1/2016	\$160,000	481,875	641,875
10/1/2017	175,000	471,875	646,875
10/1/2018	185,000	460,937	645,937
10/1/2019	195,000	449,375	644,375
10/1/2020	205,000	437,187	642,187
10/1/2021	220,000	424,375	644,375
10/1/2022	235,000	410,625	645,625
10/1/2023	250,000	395,937	645,937
10/1/2024	265,000	380,312	645,312
10/1/2025	280,000	362,425	642,425
10/1/2026	300,000	343,525	643,525
10/1/2027	320,000	323,275	643,275
10/1/2028	345,000	301,675	646,675
10/1/2029	365,000	278,387	643,387
10/1/2030	400,000	253,750	653,750
10/1/2031	430,000	224,750	645,750
10/1/2032	460,000	193,575	653,575
10/1/2033	495,000	160,225	655,225
10/1/2034	530,000	124,337	654,337
10/1/2035	570,000	85,912	655,912
10/1/2036	615,000	44,587	659,587
	\$7,000,000	\$8,539,102	\$15,539,102

DEBT SERVICE BUDGET DETAIL

BUDGET UNIT 70

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
REVENUE DETAIL						
FISCAL AGENT CASH DRAW DOWN	-	-	-	100,000	100,000	100,000
TOTAL REVENUE	-	-	-	100,000	100,000	100,000
EXPENDITURE/APPROPRIATIONS						
OTHER CHARGES						
692 DEBT SERVICE - INTEREST	-	-	-	120,000	120,000	120,000
693 DEBT SERVICE - FEES	-	25,000	-	25,000	25,000	25,000
TOTAL OTHER CHARGES	-	25,000	-	145,000	145,000	145,000
GROSS TOTAL	-	25,000	-	145,000	145,000	145,000
TRANSFERS						
701 OPERATING TRANS OUT/(IN)	-	(25,000)	-	(45,000)	(45,000)	(45,000)
TOTAL TRANSFERS	-	(25,000)	-	(45,000)	(45,000)	(45,000)
NET TOTAL	-	-	-	100,000	100,000	100,000
NET FUND OBLIGATION	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	-	-	-	-	-	-

Interfund Transfers

- \$145,000 from Fund 101 (General Fund)
- \$100,000 to Fund 215 (Business Development)

2013-2014 Policy Issue

In 2006, the City Council authorized issuance of \$4.975 million of taxable Certificates of Participation (COPs) to raise money to buy the 3.7 acre property on B Street between 12th and 14th Streets. In addition to the purchase price of the property, the 2006 Certificates funded 3 years of capitalized interest as well as the removal/relocation of certain existing structures located on the parcel. COPs are debt instruments similar to bonds; the City makes installment payments for the life of the obligation to the institutional investors who bought the COPs, similar to debt service payments to bond holders.

At the time the property was purchased by the City, the expectation was that a commercial developer would lease the cleaned-up property, along with an adjoining 1.3 acre parcel from the City at fair market value. (Note that this was not a Redevelopment Agency project, the obligation was incurred by the Marysville Financing Authority, backed by the City's General Fund, and not by RDA tax increments.) It was hoped that the new landowner would then develop some 440,000± square feet of commercial and retail space there and begin to generate local tax revenue to the City to help pay the annual COP installments until the obligation was paid off. Soon after, however, the economy stalled and went into prolonged recession, and no developer could be found for the property. At that point, the City faced the prospect of having to make large annual payments averaging approximately

\$405,000 from existing General Fund revenue sources, without any lease income or new tax revenues coming in from that parcel. In fact, it was even more of a challenge than that, because the recession was now dragging the City's overall revenues down below what they had been previous to the economic downturn. In response to that, the City Council decided in the fall of 2011 to issue \$7 million in new COPs to redeem the original 2006 issue of COPs (\$5.126 million), plus capitalize approximately 2½ years of annual interest payments for the new COPs going forward (\$1.144 million), plus fund a \$500,000 reserve fund, and pay several other smaller costs. Using the capitalized interest, the first three semi-annual interest-only payments that came due on April 1 and October 1, 2012 and April 1, 2013 were paid, as will similar payments as they come due on October 1, 2013 and most of the installment due April 1, 2014. However, effective October 1, 2014, the General Fund will be obligated to start making these payments from any allowable revenue source, beginning with a semi-annual interest-only payment of \$240,938, increasing on April 1, 2016 to about \$325,000 principal and interest payments twice a year. From then on, the payments continue at roughly that amount (\$650,000 per year) for the next twenty years.

That puts us less than 1½ years from the date that Council will have to have a way of paying for the obligation it has taken on. Regrettably, at the time the debt obligation was increased in 2011 to its current amount, no plan was provided for how that was to be accomplished,

beyond simply agreeing to use any allowable revenue in the General Fund. Under the circumstances, it is urgent that Council understand the options it faces. Although we must assume that the City will eventually find a willing buyer or lessee for the property, the timing of this is by no means certain, as the past 6½ years of trying to market the property reminds us. That brings us to your options.

Option 1: Make annual debt payments out of available General Fund revenues. Keep in mind that the COPs were sold on a pledge by the City to use any allowable General Fund revenue. At present, the General Fund operates close to break-even each year, but only because of cost saving measures implemented by management last fall and by negotiating salary and benefit concessions from our employees. Even if those concessions and cost reduction measures could be sustained over the long term, which they cannot, at break-even there are no leftover revenues to accommodate up to an additional \$650,000 of new costs each year. Those new costs would have to come at the expense of current operations somewhere in the General Fund. Where? That will be up to Council to decide, but put it in perspective: \$650,000 is 21% of the entire police department budget. Or 49% of our fire protection budget. Or 100% of the combined budgets of our administrative services, city manager, city clerk and public works administration. Any of those options could leave the City unable to meet its basic health and safety services, or maybe even unable to function at all. There would certainly be no possibility of restoring furlough days or raising employee salaries or adding needed personnel for years to come. Under the circumstances, this is not an attractive option.

Option 2: Make annual debt payments out of General Fund Reserves. This option is equally unworkable, because the General Fund reserve balance at the start of this fiscal year is an estimated \$670,275. Before going on, it is helpful to take a moment to review the basic workings of municipal finance. Each year, the City takes in revenues from numerous sources (taxes, user fees, fines, intergovernmental subventions, etc.) which it uses to pay for the expenditures it incurs in delivering all of its services (police, fire, public works, parks, planning, finance and administration). When the revenues it takes in for the year are greater than the expenditures it makes during the year, there is revenue left over at the end of the year to help fund the next year's programs and services. This is called the "Fund Balance Available." As long as revenues always exceed expenditures, there will always be a growing fund balance brought forward each year to help meet the next year's costs. But when expenditures exceed revenues for the year, the deficit in that year must be made up by taking from the accumulated fund balance available, causing it to shrink by the amount of the deficit. That is what has happened during four of the past 5 years as revenues have fallen due to the recession and generally stagnant local economy, and the departure of auto dealerships from the City.¹ In that period of time, the City spent nearly \$485,000 more than it took in in revenues, causing the accumulated fund balance available to shrink by almost \$485,000 between the start of FY 2009 and FY 2014, resulting in its current balance of \$670,275.

¹ In the one year that the fund balance actually increased (FY 2011), it was due to the one-time sale of city-owned land at Washington Square, otherwise that year would have produced a budget deficit too.

At any point in time, the accumulated, unused fund balance essentially constitutes the General Fund reserves. Thus, barring some miraculous turn of events with the City's sources of revenue, the \$670,275 General Fund reserves could make one year's debt payments at most, and then the City would have no more cushion for unexpected emergencies or economic uncertainties, let alone for making any further debt payments. At most, the problem would be deferred by one year, but not fixed.

Option 3: Default on the City's obligation. Failure to make any one of the semi-annual debt payments on the COPs would put the City in technical default of its covenants and payment commitments. If that were to occur, the Trustee (Bank of New York Mellon Trust) would initially use money from the \$500,000 reserve fund to make the missed payment to COP holders. At that point, the technical default could still be cured by the City by replacing the \$240,938 taken from the reserve fund on October 1, 2014. But since we might not be able to replace those funds before the next semi-annual payment came due on April 1, 2015, the City would likely be in actual default on that date when it misses its second semi-annual payment. Before it came to an actual default, the City and its bond advisors would seek discussions with the trustee and a representative of the Certificate holders in an attempt to restructure the debt in a way that would be affordable to the City. At present, it is difficult to imagine how an obligation of this size could be restructured to make it affordable, without one or more lease tenants on the property generating lease revenue and tax payments to the City. Moreover, the Certificate holders are under no obligation to agree to a restructuring, but it may be the least onerous course for them. If they are not agreeable, the Trustee would be obligated to pursue payment from the City using any legal means at its disposal. A likely outcome of this would be to put the City into Chapter 9 bankruptcy. Besides tarnishing the City's standing in the community and with outside investors who might be considering Marysville, the City's credit worthiness would suffer a setback for years to come just at a time when future borrowing for infrastructure projects would be essential at affordable rates to support economic development in the community. Moreover, any chance of pursuing a successful annexation campaign with voters would be seriously compromised, perhaps irreparably. Finally, bankruptcies are very expensive legal proceedings. The City would end up broke in every sense of the word, and it could take a decade or more to recover from all of the adverse effects.

Option 4: Sell other City-owned assets to help pay off the debt. Companies routinely sell off assets to raise cash. Public agencies have also sold land and buildings when they have needed to raise cash.

The City does not have many city-owned assets, but two properties that clearly have commercial value and are not presently being used for essential municipal services are Plumas Lake Golf & Country Club and Bryant Field. It would be necessary to have an appraisal performed to establish the values of these properties, but it seems clear that their sale would considerably reduce our indebtedness on the B Street property. But even that might not be enough to solve the problem. Unless the sale of these properties were to cover the entire outstanding obligation, the City would still have debt payments to make, albeit at a somewhat smaller annual amount. If the City continued to find itself unable to meet even these lower debt service costs, additional city-owned assets would also have to be sold. There are several drawbacks to this option, including the obvious one that it

would require selling not one, but two or three *or more* properties, at a time when the City has not been able to market even its one property for more than 6 years. Nevertheless, if this were an option Council is interested in exploring, you should authorize the City Manager to discuss with the owners of the Gold Sox team and the Plumas Lake Golf Course operators whether they would be interested in purchasing those properties. At the same time, a complete inventory of city-owned lands should be reviewed to identify other candidate properties which could be sold to raise sufficient cash to cover the full amount of the outstanding COP obligation.

Option 5: Ask voters to approve a tax measure. Several types of tax measures are available, including a transactions and use tax, parcel tax and utility user tax. Of these, the transactions (sales) tax is the most commonly used. There are intricacies with each of these forms of tax that are beyond the scope of this discussion. For now, the important point to keep in mind is that revenue from the measure must be designated for general purposes, rather than for a specific purpose, in order to be approved by a simple majority vote. June 3, 2014 is the next regularly-scheduled election which would avoid the cost of calling a special election. Once passed, the new tax would not go into effect immediately, and it may be 4 to 5 months following the election before the first new revenues are actually received by the City. Recall from the earlier discussion above that our first full semi-annual installment payment for which the General Fund is responsible occurs on October 1, 2014. A successful vote in June 2014 would permit the City to

budget the annual installment payments, supported by the new tax proceeds, beginning FY 2015, in time to meet that October 1 payment. The obvious difficulty with this option is that it depends on persuading a majority of voters that this is necessary for the future of the community. At the November 2012 general election, of 38 tax measures on city ballots statewide, 32 were successful. If this is an option the Council is interested in exploring, the first step would be to authorize the City Manager to undertake an analysis to determine the size and term of a tax measure to ensure that it is adequate to solve this problem, while also providing for other general purposes. Beyond that, there will be a series of required steps the City would have to take, beginning not later than about January 2014 with the passage of a tax ordinance, a resolution calling an election and specifying what the ballot will include, preparation of an impartial analysis of the ballot measure by the City Attorney, and delivery of arguments to the County Clerk by early March 2014 for a June ballot measure.

Policy Question: Which of the options will the Council authorize the City Manager to pursue in order to put a plan in place to be ready for dealing with the City's debt obligation before October 1, 2014? Are there additional viable options the Council wants to pursue?

City Council Action: City Manager authorized to pursue preliminary steps for the possible sale of the Plumas Lake Golf Course and to provide an analysis of a local transactions and use tax issue as a 2014 ballot measure.

Capital Projects

Capital Projects Budget Summary

FUND: CAPITAL PROJECTS

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
SUMMARY OF FUNDING	\$ 431,836	\$ 808,149	\$ 726,813	\$ 89,000	\$ 89,000	\$ (637,813)
SUMMARY OF RESOURCES						
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	0	0	0	0	0	0
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
SERVICES & SUPPLIES	988,241	1,725,590	1,616,813	140,915	140,915	(1,475,898)
OTHER CHARGES	0	0	0	0	0	0
CAPITAL ASSETS	0	0	0	0	0	0
GROSS TOTAL	\$ 988,241	\$ 1,725,590	\$ 1,616,813	\$ 140,915	\$ 140,915	\$ (1,475,898)
TRANSFERS	0	(111,119)	(890,000)	(36,900)	(36,900)	853,100
NET TOTAL	\$ 988,241	\$ 1,614,471	\$ 726,813	\$ 104,015	\$ 104,015	\$ (622,798)
NET FUND OBLIGATION	\$ 556,405	\$ 806,322	\$ 0	\$ 15,015	\$ 15,015	\$ 15,015

Program Description and Mission

The Capital Projects budget displays the City Manager's funding recommendations for the acquisition, development, design, construction, and refurbishment of capital assets and infrastructure funded from governmental funds. For the reader's convenience and transparency, all governmental fund projects are listed here in this budget unit, rather than distributed throughout the departments responsible for the individual projects. These recommendations support projects that are currently funded and underway, as well as projects that are anticipated to commence in the coming fiscal year and for which viable and sufficient funding has been identified. Capital expenditures expected to be made in non-governmental funds, including the Wastewater Enterprise Fund, are not contained in this budget unit, but rather are displayed in the respective proprietary funds from which those expenditures will be made.

The projects listed for funding in this year's budget represent the first year of the Adopted 2014-2018 Capital Investment Plan (CIP). The entire CIP is presented in the Capital Investment Plan portion of the budget, beginning at page 20.1.

2013-2014 Budget Explanation

The 2013-14 Adopted Budget reflects capital expenditures expected to be incurred during the fiscal period, funded from new and continuing revenues and/or obligated fund balances in the General Fund and special revenue funds, including Gas Tax and grant sources.

Funded Capital Projects

PROJECT	PRIOR YEAR AMOUNT	FY 2014 APPROP AMOUNT	FY 2014 OFFSET	FY 2014 NET OBLIGAT'N
GP Housing Element	\$25,385	\$15,015	\$0	\$15,015
Safe Routes to School Plan	6,600	75,900	(\$75,900)	0
Flagpole, 10th & E	0	30,000	(\$30,000)	0
Retrofit LED Lighting	0	20,000	(\$20,000)	0
	\$31,985	\$140,915	(\$125,900)	\$15,015

CAPITAL PROJECTS BUDGET DETAIL

BUDGET UNIT 80

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
REVENUE DETAIL						
013 INSURANCE REIMBURSEMENTS	19,350	-	-	-	-	-
014 SWRCB GRANT	-	626,023	626,023	-	-	(626,023)
015 STREET LIGHT GRANT	412,486	66,405	65,790	-	-	(65,790)
016 PG&E REBATE	-	16,532	35,000	20,000	20,000	(15,000)
017 SRTS GRANT	-	6,000	-	69,000	69,000	69,000
018 RUBBER BARK GRANT	-	93,189	-	-	-	-
TOTAL REVENUE	431,836	808,149	726,813	89,000	89,000	(637,813)
EXPENDITURE/APPROPRIATIONS						
SERVICES & SUPPLIES						
661 OUTSIDE SERVICES	988,241	1,725,590	1,616,813	140,915	140,915	(1,475,898)
TOTAL SERVICES & SUPPLIES	988,241	1,725,590	1,616,813	140,915	140,915	(1,475,898)
CAPITAL ASSETS						
680 EQUIPMENT	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL CAPITAL ASSETS	-	-	-	-	-	-
GROSS TOTAL	988,241	1,725,590	1,616,813	140,915	140,915	(1,475,898)
TRANSFERS						
701 OPERATING TRANS OUT/(IN)	-	(891,556)	(890,000)	(36,900)	(36,900)	853,100
TOTAL TRANSFERS	-	(891,556)	(890,000)	(36,900)	(36,900)	853,100
NET TOTAL	988,241	834,034	726,813	104,015	104,015	(622,798)
NET FUND OBLIGATION	556,405	25,885	-	15,015	15,015	15,015
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	-	-	-	-	-	-

Interfund Transfers

- \$6,900 from Fund 101 (General Fund)
- \$30,000 from Fund 226 (Gas Tax Fund)

Parks, Recreation & Cultural Capital Improvements

Parks, Recreation & Cultural Capital Improvements Budget Summary

FUND: PARKS & REC CAP IMPROV

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
SUMMARY OF FUNDING	\$ 118,977	\$ 50	\$ 0	\$ 50	\$ 50	\$ 50
SUMMARY OF RESOURCES						
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	0	0	0	0	0	0
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
SERVICES & SUPPLIES	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER CHARGES	0	0	0	0	0	0
CAPITAL ASSETS	118,930	93	607	0	0	(607)
GROSS TOTAL	\$ 118,930	\$ 93	\$ 607	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ (607)
TRANSFERS	0	0	0	0	0	0
NET TOTAL	\$ 118,930	\$ 93	\$ 607	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ (607)
NET FUND OBLIGATION	\$ (47)	\$ 43	\$ 607	\$ (50)	\$ (50)	\$ (657)

Program Description and Mission

The Parks & Recreation Capital Improvement Fund accounts for revenues received by the City with restrictions requiring it to be used for making capital improvements to City parks.

2013-2014 Budget Explanation

This Parks, Recreation & Cultural Capital Improvements budget unit is new for FY 2013-14. In previous years, expenditure transactions were posted directly against the P&R Capital Improvements Fund, a non-standard budgeting practice for governmental funds. No activity is planned for this budget unit in 2013-14.

PARKS RECREATION & CULTURAL CAP IMPROVEMENTS BUDGET DETAIL

BUDGET UNIT 82

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
REVENUE DETAIL						
001 INTERGOVTL - STATE OHV GRANT	118,930	-	-	-	-	-
440 INTEREST EARNINGS	47	50	-	50	50	50
TOTAL REVENUE	118,977	50	-	50	50	50
EXPENDITURE/APPROPRIATIONS						
CAPITAL ASSETS						
740 OHV IMPROVEMENTS	118,930	-	-	-	-	-
744 COMMUNITY GARDEN	-	93	607	-	-	(607)
TOTAL CAPITAL ASSETS	118,930	93	607	-	-	(607)
GROSS TOTAL	118,930	93	607	-	-	(607)
TRANSFERS						
701 OPERATING TRANS OUT/(IN)	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL TRANSFERS	-	-	-	-	-	-
NET TOTAL	118,930	93	607	-	-	(607)
NET FUND OBLIGATION	(47)	43	607	(50)	(50)	(657)
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	-	-	-	-	-	-

2014

SUMMARIES - OTHER

City of Marysville

Redevelopment Successor Agency

Matt Michaelis, Administrative Services Manager

Redevelopment Successor Agency Budget Summary

FUND: SUCCESSOR AGENCY

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
SUMMARY OF FUNDING	\$ 220,911	\$ 363,255	\$ 375,000	\$ 184,628	\$ 184,628	\$ (190,372)
SUMMARY OF RESOURCES						
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	0	0	0	0	0	0
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	\$ 118,942	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
SERVICES & SUPPLIES	30,794	38,800	18,500	50,300	50,300	31,800
OTHER CHARGES	175,452	164,767	214,436	75,326	75,326	(138,940)
CAPITAL ASSETS	0)	0	0	0	0	0
GROSS TOTAL	\$ 325,188	\$ 203,567	\$ 232,936	\$ 125,626	\$ 125,626	\$ (107,140)
TRANSFERS	0	50,000	75,000	50,000	50,000	(25,000)
NET TOTAL	\$ 325,188	\$ 253,567	\$ 307,936	\$ 175,626	\$ 175,626	\$ (132,310)
NET FUND OBLIGATION	\$ 104,277	\$ (109,688)	\$ (67,064)	\$ (9,002)	\$ (9,002)	\$ 58,062

Program Description and Mission

On December 29, 2011, the California Supreme Court upheld the constitutionality of Assembly Bill 1x 26 requiring the dissolution of all redevelopment agencies in California. The new law provided that upon dissolution of a redevelopment agency, either the city or another unit of local government could agree to serve as the "successor agency" to hold the assets of the former redevelopment agency until they can be distributed to other units of state and local government pursuant to AB1x 26. On January 17, 2012, the City Council elected to become the Successor Agency and to fulfill that asset distribution function. The agency accomplishes this with the oversight and approval of the City Council in its capacity as the Successor Agency's governing body and subject to the direction of a five member appointed Oversight Board.

One of the provisions of the Redevelopment Dissolution Act requires a Successor Agency to adopt a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) which lists all of the enforceable obligations of the former redevelopment agency, including an administrative budget and expenses associated with supporting the Successor Agency. At least one of the reported obligations has already been rejected by the state, causing the further repayment of an initiation loan from the City to the former redevelopment agency to be suspended with a loss of approximately \$48,000 to the General Fund each year for the next three years.

The dissolution of redevelopment agencies on 2/1/2012 ceased all new redevelopment activities, eliminated economic and community development activities related to redevelopment and canceled projects not under contract. The dissolution of the Marysville Community

Development Agency means an annual loss of property tax increment derived from project areas for public infrastructure, private projects, rehabilitation of commercial business structures, financing for community parks and recreational facilities, tourism and marketing assistance, community clean-up activities, new business development services funding, and financing for the creation and preservation of affordable housing and residential rehabilitation.

2013-2014 Budget Explanation

Since redevelopment has been eliminated and the City has assumed the role of Successor Agency, the sole purpose of the Successor Agency Redevelopment Fund is to segregate the paying off of old redevelopment obligations. Prior to the elimination of redevelopment, the City received property tax increment from the RDA project area amounting to \$250,000 to \$300,000 annually. Currently, the City will only be receiving enough property tax increment to cover obligations as approved by the State Department of Finance. These annual amounts will be equal to the total amounts as indicated on the approved ROPS. The following section describes all three outstanding obligations. Additionally, time spent by City staff on matters relating to the Successor Agency—estimated at \$50,000 in FY 2014—is an allowable obligation.

Debt Owed to City General Fund by Redevelopment Agency

Upon the creation of the Marysville Plaza Project in 1991, the City Council approved a Disposition and Development Agreement with Geweke Land Development to acquire property to be used for a retail motor vehicle dealership. To fulfill the terms of the DDA, City's General Fund lent the Agency \$530,000. The below schedule represents outstanding payments from the Agency to the City's General Fund.

<u>MATURITY DATE</u>	<u>PRINCIPAL AMOUNT</u>	<u>INTEREST AMOUNT</u>	<u>TOTAL AMOUNT</u>	<u>BALANCE</u>
2013-14	\$41,632	\$7,868	\$49,500	\$89,502
2014-15	44,130	5,370	49,500	45,372
2015-16	45,372	2,722	48,094	--
	<u>\$131,134</u>	<u>\$15,960</u>	<u>\$147,094</u>	

Debt Owed to City Sewer Fund by Redevelopment Agency

Upon the creation of the Marysville Plaza Project in 1991, the City Council approved a loan agreement to acquire property to be used for certain improvements to Bryant Field. The City's Sewer Fund lent \$500,000 to Feather River Baseball, LLC. The outstanding amount

owed to the Sewer Fund is \$464,903. There is no repayment schedule because the loan agreement specifies that the loan will be repaid with interest to the extent that funds are available to the Redevelopment Agency, now defunct.

ABAG Refunding Bonds Series 2001

On June 1, 1992 the City issued Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds for the purpose of providing funds to refinance redevelopment activities within the Redevelopment Agency's Marysville Plaza Project Area. On July 1, 2001, the series 1992 bonds were refinanced under the Series 2001 bonds issued through the Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG).

<u>MATURITY DATE</u>	<u>PRINCIPAL AMOUNT</u>	<u>INTEREST AMOUNT</u>	<u>TOTAL AMOUNT</u>	<u>BALANCE</u>
2013-14	\$51,127	\$24,198	\$75,325	\$434,578
2014-15	54,322	21,876	76,168	38,256
2015-16	54,322	19,292	73,614	325,934
2016-17	57,518	16,440	73,958	268,416
2017-18	63,909	13,420	77,329	204,507
2018-19	63,909	10,226	74,135	140,598
2019-20	70,299	7,030	77,329	70,299
2020-21	70,299	3,514	73,813	--
	<u>\$485,707</u>	<u>\$115,966</u>	<u>\$601,673</u>	

REDEVELOPMENT SUCCESSOR AGENCY BUDGET DETAIL

BUDGET UNIT 401

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
REVENUE DETAIL						
001 CURRENT SECURED	189,600	354,225	350,000	175,628	175,628	(174,372)
002 CURRENT UNSECURED	15,416	-	16,000	-	-	(16,000)
003 SUPPLEMENTAL CURRENT	3,113	-	-	-	-	-
007 PASS THROUGH REVENUE	8,662	9,000	9,000	9,000	9,000	-
440 INTEREST EARNINGS	4,120	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL REVENUE	220,911	363,225	375,000	184,628	184,628	(190,372)
EXPENDITURE/APPROPRIATIONS						
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS						
601 SALARIES, PERMANENT	79,826	-	-	-	-	-
602 SALARIES, TEMPORARY	885	-	-	-	-	-
606 SALARIES, ANNUAL LEAVE	659	-	-	-	-	-
609 EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	37,572	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL SALARIES & EMP BENEFITS	118,942	-	-	-	-	-
SERVICES & SUPPLIES						
631 MATERIALS & SUPPLIES	-	300	-	300	300	300
661 OUTSIDE SERVICES	12,565	20,000	-	30,000	30,000	30,000
664 PROPERTY TAX ADMIN COST	18,229	18,500	18,500	20,000	20,000	1,500
TOTAL SERVICES & SUPPLIES	30,794	38,800	18,500	50,300	50,300	31,800
OTHER CHARGES						
691 DEBT SERVICE - PRINCIPAL	118,231	142,792	166,149	51,129	51,129	(115,020)
692 DEBT SERVICE - INTEREST	57,096	21,975	48,117	24,197	24,197	(23,920)
693 DEBT SERVICE - FEES	123	-	170	-	-	-
697 INTEREST EXPENSE	2	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL OTHER CHARGES	175,452	164,767	214,436	75,326	75,326	(138,940)
GROSS TOTAL	325,188	203,567	232,936	125,626	125,626	(107,140)
TRANSFERS						
701 OPERATING TRANS OUT/(IN)	-	50,000	75,000	50,000	50,000	(25,000)
TOTAL TRANSFERS	-	50,000	75,000	50,000	50,000	(25,000)
NET TOTAL	325,188	253,567	307,936	175,626	175,626	(132,310)
NET FUND OBLIGATION	104,277	(109,658)	(67,064)	(9,002)	(9,002)	58,062
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	-	-	-	-	-	-

Interfund Transfers

- \$50,000 to Fund 101 (General Fund)

Wastewater Enterprise Fund

David Lamon, PE, City Services Director

Wastewater Enterprise Fund Summary

FUND: WASTEWATER ENTERPRISE

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
OPERATING INCOME						
CHGS FOR SERVICES - SEWER FEES	1,625,431	2,000,000	2,920,000	2,920,000	2,920,000	-
CHGS FOR SERVICES - CONNECTION	14,100	11,959	15,000	15,000	15,000	-
OTHER REIMBURSED COSTS	20,500	40,000	-	20,000	20,000	20,000
MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE	61,832	43,370	60,000	60,000	60,000	-
TOTAL OPERATING INCOME	\$ 1,721,863	\$ 2,095,329	\$ 2,995,000	\$ 3,015,000	\$ 3,015,000	\$ 20,000
OPERATING EXPENSES						
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	418,771	419,104	416,048	267,667	267,667	(148,381)
SERVICES & SUPPLIES	786,549	507,928	441,300	459,500	459,500	18,200
OTHER CHARGES	330,545	1,150,334	794,904	1,513,614	1,513,614	718,710
CAPITAL ASSETS	-	104,344	123,000	48,000	48,000	(75,000)
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	\$ 1,535,865	\$ 2,181,710	\$ 1,775,252	\$ 2,288,781	\$ 2,288,781	\$ 513,529
NET OPERATING INCOME/(LOSS)	\$ 185,998	\$ (86,381)	\$ 1,219,748	\$ 726,220	\$ 726,220	\$ (493,529)
NONOPERATING INCOME/(EXPENSES)						
INTERGOVTL - STATE, SWRCB GRANT	325,275	-	-	-	-	-
INTEREST EARNINGS	10,257	8,015	8,000	8,000	8,000	-
TOTAL NONOPERATING INCOME/(EXPENSES)	\$ 335,532	\$ 8,015	\$ 8,000	\$ 8,000	\$ 8,000	\$ -
NET INCOME/(LOSS) BEFORE TRANSFERS	\$ 521,530	\$ (78,366)	\$ 1,227,748	\$ 734,220	\$ 734,220	\$ (493,529)
CAPITAL CONTRIBUTIONS	-	-	-	-	-	-
TRANSFER TO FUND 101	(100,000)	(110,000)	(110,000)	(257,389)	(257,389)	(147,389)
CHANGE IN NET ASSETS	\$ 421,530	\$ (188,366)	\$ 1,117,748	\$ 476,831	\$ 476,831	\$ (640,918)
NET ASSETS - BEGINNING BALANCE	\$ 330,688	\$ 752,218	\$ 752,218	\$ 563,852	\$ 563,852	\$ (188,366)
NET ASSETS - ENDING BALANCE	\$ 752,218	\$ 563,852	\$ 1,869,966	\$ 1,040,683	\$ 1,040,683	\$ (829,284)
BUDGETED POSITIONS (FTE)	5.25	5.25	5.25	4.00	4.00	(1.25)

Program Description and Mission

The Wastewater Enterprise Fund accounts for the operation of the citywide sewer system, including pipelines, pump stations, treatment plant and disposal ponds. Pursuant to an agreement between the City and the State Water Quality Control Board, the city's treatment plant and ponds will be decommissioned as soon as an intertie pipeline can be constructed linking the Marysville system to a regional wastewater plant in Linda, expected to occur in the second quarter of fiscal year 2014-15. Thereafter, all wastewater flows originating in Marysville will be pumped through the new transmission pipeline for treatment and disposal at the regional plant.

engineering costs of the transmission pipeline from Marysville south to the new regional treatment plant operated by the Linda County Water District. Also included are debt service payments for four outstanding long-term obligations of the Enterprise Fund, amounting to \$1,468,428 in this fiscal period. The complete debt service payment schedule is contained on page 17.5.

2013-2014 Budget Explanation

The 2013-14 Adopted Budget includes funding for most of the

Significant Developments During 2012-2013

- Conducted required hearings to increase monthly rates and charges to support the expected cost of retiring bonds sold to construct the pipeline from Marysville to Linda and related costs.
- Successfully completed the sale and issuance of \$13.963 million of sewer revenue bonds to implement the remediation requirements relating to decommissioning of the city's old treatment plant and

ponds, and the construction of the intertie with the new regional wastewater treatment plant in Linda.

- Complete the engineering and bid the construction of the transmission pipeline from Marysville to the regional treatment plant, for completion approximately January 2015.
- Initiate planning for decommissioning of the existing wastewater ponds and treatment plant and re-use of those lands for best commercial and/or recreational purposes.

2013-2014 Objectives at Recommended Funding Level

WASTEWATER TREATMENT BUDGET DETAIL

BUDGET UNIT 501

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
OPERATING EXPENSES						
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS						
601 SALARIES, PERMANENT	228,731	239,781	235,853	143,782	143,782	(92,071)
603 SALARIES, OVERTIME	14,950	11,621	14,000	14,000	14,000	-
606 SALARIES, ANNUAL LEAVE	28,342	22,451	15,500	9,548	9,548	(5,952)
609 EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	146,748	145,251	150,695	100,337	100,337	(50,358)
TOTAL SALARIES & EMP BENEFITS	418,771	419,104	416,048	267,667	267,667	(148,381)
SERVICES & SUPPLIES						
611 UNIFORMS / GEAR	4,311	3,806	4,000	4,000	4,000	-
612 TRAINING & TRAVEL	307	1,725	4,000	4,000	4,000	-
613 VEHICLE ALLOWANCE	3,600	3,929	3,600	4,000	4,000	400
621 WATER	1,534	819	300	2,000	2,000	1,700
622 ELECTRICITY & GAS	81,117	87,961	100,000	100,000	100,000	-
623 TELEPHONE	3,706	4,446	5,000	7,000	7,000	2,000
631 MATERIALS & SUPPLIES	109,654	98,476	90,000	100,000	100,000	10,000
633 GAS & OIL	15,762	17,078	20,000	20,000	20,000	-
634 REPAIRS & MTC, ROLLING	4,802	7,295	8,000	8,000	8,000	-
635 REPAIRS & MTC, OTHER	7,011	5,722	12,000	12,000	12,000	-
641 RENTS & LEASES	75	409	1,000	1,000	1,000	-
643 LIABILITY INSURANCE	10,421	19,768	13,000	13,000	13,000	-
651 POSTAGE	10	217	1,000	500	500	(500)
652 ADVERTISING	-	413	400	-	-	(400)
653 DUES & MEMBERSHIPS	1,307	1,315	1,500	1,500	1,500	-
661 OUTSIDE SERVICES	517,802	229,918	150,000	150,000	150,000	-
TOTAL SERVICES & SUPPLIES	761,419	483,297	413,800	427,000	427,000	13,200
OTHER CHARGES						
691 DEBT SERVICE - PRINCIPAL	1,805	334,448	226,948	441,948	441,948	215,000
692 DEBT SERVICE - INTEREST	51,402	316,075	53,028	593,188	593,188	540,160
693 DEBT SERVICE - FEES	36	224,935	300	300	300	-
694 BOND AMORTIZATION	1,553	-	1,553	1,553	1,553	-
695 DEPRECIATION	275,749	275,000	285,000	285,000	285,000	-
696 LINDA COUNTY WATER PAYMENTS	-	238,313	476,625	476,625	476,625	-
698 SEWER LINE DEBT SERVICE	-	-	36,450	-	-	(36,450)
LOAN TO RDA (NET DEPRECIATION EXP)	-	(275,000)	(285,000)	(285,000)	(285,000)	-
TOTAL OTHER CHARGES	330,545	1,113,771	794,904	1,513,614	1,513,614	718,710
CAPITAL ASSETS						
710 MISC SEWER LINE REPAIRS	-	93,710	100,000	30,000	30,000	(70,000)
721 17TH & HALL	-	4,017	-	-	-	-
723 WWTP EQUIPMENT	-	-	5,000	-	-	(5,000)
725 YUBA ST LIFT STATION REPAIRS	-	-	8,000	8,000	8,000	-
TOTAL CAPITAL ASSETS	-	97,727	113,000	38,000	38,000	(75,000)
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	1,510,735	2,113,899	1,737,752	2,246,281	2,246,281	508,529

STORM SEWER BUDGET DETAIL

BUDGET UNIT 502

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
OPERATING EXPENSES						
SERVICES & SUPPLIES						
622 ELECTRICITY & GAS	4,777	5,188	8,500	8,500	8,500	-
631 MATERIALS & SUPPLIES	2,368	4,635	4,000	4,000	4,000	-
633 GAS & OIL	5,528	3,058	2,000	7,000	7,000	5,000
635 REPAIRS & MTC, OTHER	1,275	1,485	3,000	3,000	3,000	-
661 OUTSIDE SERVICES	11,182	10,265	10,000	10,000	10,000	-
TOTAL SERVICES & SUPPLIES	25,130	24,631	27,500	32,500	32,500	5,000
OTHER CHARGES						
692 DEBT SERVICE - INTEREST	-	36,563	-	-	-	-
TOTAL OTHER CHARGES	-	36,563	-	-	-	-
CAPITAL ASSETS						
710 MISC STORM SEWER REPAIRS	-	6,617	10,000	10,000	10,000	-
TOTAL CAPITAL ASSETS	-	6,617	10,000	10,000	10,000	-
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	25,130	67,811	37,500	42,500	42,500	5,000

REGIONAL TREATMENT SYSTEM CONSTRUCTION BUDGET DETAIL

BUDGET UNIT 503

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
<u>OPERATING EXPENSES</u>						
CAPITAL ASSETS						
720 ENVIR DOCUMENTATION/NPDES PERMIT	-	100,000	-	-	-	-
721 DESIGN CITY FACILITY	-	200,000	-	575,000	575,000	575,000
722 LCWD PHASE 2.1 DESIGN	-	80,000	-	295,000	295,000	295,000
723 LCWD PHASE 1	-	-	-	200,000	200,000	200,000
724 PIPELINE RIGHT-OF-WAY	-	10,000	-	70,000	70,000	70,000
725 14TH ST SEWER REHAB	-	15,000	-	140,000	140,000	140,000
TOTAL CAPITAL ASSETS	-	405,000	-	1,280,000	1,280,000	1,280,000
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	-	405,000	-	1,280,000	1,280,000	1,280,000

Memo: All capital projects in this budget unit are funded by proceeds of the Series 2012 Sewer Revenue Bonds, and are paid directly out of the bond construction fund held by the bond trustee, rather than as a charge against the Wastewater Enterprise Fund. They are listed here for information only.

WASTEWATER ENTERPRISE FUND
FISCAL SUMMARY OF ANNUAL DEBT SERVICE PAYMENTS

FISCAL YEAR	STATE LOAN ^(a)	2001 SERIES A LEASE REVENUE BONDS ^(b)	CITY'S SHARE OF LCWD PHASE 1 LOAN ^(c)	SERIES 2012 REVENUE BONDS ^(d)	TOTAL DEBT SERVICE
2012-2013	\$ 179,450	\$ 89,096	\$ 238,313	\$ 248,391	\$ 755,250
2013-2014	179,449	42,538	476,625	769,816	1,468,428
2014-2015	179,450	43,015	476,625	768,419	1,467,509
2015-2016	179,449	41,573	476,625	771,513	1,469,160
2016-2017	179,449	41,767	476,625	774,175	1,472,016
2017-2018	179,449	41,541	476,625	775,638	1,473,253
2018-2019		41,685	476,625	771,038	1,289,348
2019-2020		41,686	476,625	781,288	1,299,599
2020-2021			476,625	781,338	1,257,963
2021-2022			476,625	780,969	1,257,594
2022-2023			476,625	782,800	1,259,425
2023-2024			476,625	782,000	1,258,625
2024-2025			476,625	782,225	1,258,850
2025-2026			476,625	783,525	1,260,150
2026-2027			476,625	784,525	1,261,150
2027-2028			476,625	785,225	1,261,850
2028-2029			476,625	782,375	1,259,000
2029-2030			476,625	785,625	1,262,250
2030-2031			476,625	783,000	1,259,625
2031-2032			476,625	784,500	1,261,125
2032-2033			476,625	785,000	1,261,625
2033-2034			476,625	784,500	1,261,125
2034-2035			476,625	783,000	1,259,625
2035-2036			476,625	785,375	1,262,000
2036-2037			476,625	786,500	1,263,125
2037-2038			476,625	786,375	1,263,000
2038-2039			476,625	785,000	1,261,625
2039-2040			476,625	782,375	1,259,000
2040-2041			476,625	783,375	1,260,000
2041-2042			476,625	782,875	1,259,500
2042-2043			-	785,750	785,750
2043-2044			-	782,000	782,000
2044-2045			-	786,500	786,500
2045-2046			-	784,125	784,125
	\$ 1,076,696	\$ 382,901	\$ 14,060,438	\$ 26,041,135	\$ 41,561,170

- (a) State Water Resources Control Board loan dated June 6, 1995 to finance improvements to the wastewater system. The maximum allowable loan is \$2,633,254. Payments of \$179,449 are due annually through December 19, 2017, including interest at 3%.
- (b) Series 2001A Lease Revenue Bonds issued on July 20, 2001 to refinance two outstanding debt issues of the City issued in 1992. The bonds were issued by the Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG). Principal payments ranging from \$110,000 to \$200,000 are due annually on July 1 through July 1, 2021, with interest payments ranging from \$2,570 to \$46,354 due semi-annually on January 1 and July 1 through July 1, 2021.
- (c) Pro rata share of loan incurred by Linda County Water District to construct Phase 1 improvements to the regional treatment plant. Technically, these are not debt service of the Wastewater Enterprise Fund, since the debt obligation was not incurred by the Enterprise, but by LCWD.
- (d) Series 2012 Sewer Revenue Bonds issued November 21, 2012 to finance the cost of transmission pipeline from Marysville to LCWD and appurtenances.

Mary Aaron Museum Fund

Mary Aaron Museum Fund Summary

FUND: MARY AARON MUSEUM

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
FINANCING SOURCES						
001 CONTRIBUTIONS	83,759	1,779	-	-	-	-
002 TRUST REVENUE	10,800	10,800	10,800	10,800	10,800	-
440 INTEREST EARNINGS	31	37	35	37	37	2
TOTAL FINANCING SOURCES	\$ 94,590	\$ 12,616	\$ 10,835	\$ 10,837	\$ 10,837	2
FINANCING USES						
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	-	-	-	-	-	-
SERVICES & SUPPLIES						
621 WATER	314	400	400	400	400	-
622 ELECTRICITY & GAS	1,854	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500	-
623 TELEPHONE	673	1,600	1,600	1,600	1,600	-
635 REPAIRS & MTC, OTHER	11,815	7,000	7,000	7,000	7,000	-
661 OUTSIDE SERVICES	85,390	1,460	150	-	-	(150)
OTHER CHARGES	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAPITAL ASSETS	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL FINANCING USES	\$ 100,046	\$ 12,960	\$ 11,650	\$ 11,500	\$ 11,500	(150)
BEGINNING FUND BALANCE	\$ 7,972	\$ 2,516	\$ 2,516	\$ 2,172	\$ 2,172	(344)
ENDING FUND BALANCE	\$ 2,516	\$ 2,172	\$ 1,701	\$ 1,509	\$ 1,509	(192)

Program Description and Mission

The Mary Aaron Museum fund is an agency fund that accounts for income derived primarily from the Mary Aaron Museum Trust established in 1953 by the heirs of Mary Aaron to support the maintenance and repairs of the building upon its donation to the City of Marysville.

Marysville Levee Commission Fund

Marysville Levee Commission Fund Summary

FUND: MARYSVILLE LEVEE COMMISSION

CLASSIFICATION	FY 2011-12 ACTUAL	FY 2012-13 ESTIMATED	FY 2012-13 BUDGET	FY 2013-14 RECOMMENDED	FY 2013-14 ADOPTED	CHANGE FROM BUDGET
FINANCING SOURCES						
001 CURRENT SECURED	37,387	37,300	36,000	38,805	38,805	2,805
002 CURRENT UNSECURED	1,764	1,731	1,650	1,720	1,720	70
003 SUPPLEMENTAL CURRENT	655	317	400	250	250	(150)
004 SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS	294,592	278,165	270,000	225,377	225,377	(44,623)
005 HOPTR	-	675	675	669	669	(6)
006 MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE	6,060	414	-	145	145	145
007 SALE OF PROPERTY	1,000	321,000	-	-	-	-
440 INTEREST EARNINGS	1,229	1,653	1,800	3,569	3,569	1,769
YCWA BORROWING	1,311,427	240,000	-	220,000	220,000	220,000
TOTAL FINANCING SOURCES	\$ 1,654,114	\$ 881,255	\$ 310,525	\$ 490,535	\$ 490,535	\$ 180,010
FINANCING USES						
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS						
601 SALARIES, PERMANENT	43,503	43,036	45,260	46,617	46,617	1,357
602 SALARIES, TEMPORARY	11,850	11,980	16,000	16,000	16,000	-
606 SALARIES, ANNUAL LEAVE	3,848	4,136	5,000	5,000	5,000	-
609 EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	39,495	30,613	30,000	38,000	38,000	8,000
SERVICES & SUPPLIES						
611 UNIFORMS / GEAR	23	162	300	300	300	-
612 TRAINING & TRAVEL		200	200	200	200	-
621 WATER	294	325	400	400	400	-
622 ELECTRICITY & GAS	455	492	800	800	800	-
623 TELEPHONE	1,535	1,608	1,500	1,500	1,500	-
631 MATERIALS & SUPPLIES	3,116	4,394	7,750	8,300	8,300	550
633 GAS & OIL	3,979	4,626	7,000	7,000	7,000	-
634 REPAIRS & MTC, ROLLING	4,113	5,033	5,900	3,350	3,350	(2,550)
635 REPAIRS & MTC, OTHER	432	1,246	3,700	3,000	3,000	(700)
641 RENTS & LEASES		752	1,500	1,500	1,500	-
642 PROPERTY TAXES	39	118	200	200	200	-
643 INSURANCE PREMIUMS	24,897	23,259	26,000	26,000	26,000	-
651 POSTAGE	15	36	100	100	100	-
652 ADVERTISING	139			200	200	200
661 OUTSIDE SERVICES	182,349	264,966	140,000	130,000	130,000	(10,000)
663 LEGAL FEES	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	-
664 PROPERTY TAX ADMIN COST	1,915	2,017	2,200	2,200	2,200	-
OTHER CHARGES	1,547,319	441,509	165,700	304,411	304,411	138,711
CAPITAL ASSETS	23,091	18,363	-	4,700	4,700	4,700
TOTAL FINANCING USES	\$ 1,893,907	\$ 860,371	\$ 461,010	\$ 601,278	\$ 601,278	\$ 140,268
BEGINNING FUND BALANCE	\$ 414,413	\$ 174,620	\$ 174,620	\$ 195,504	\$ 195,504	20,884
ENDING FUND BALANCE	\$ 174,620	\$ 195,504	\$ 24,135	\$ 84,761	\$ 84,761	60,626

Program Description and Mission

The Marysville Levee Commission fund is an agency fund that accounts for income and expenses of the independent entity for which the City of Marysville provides accounting support, but has no direct control or authority over the actions of the Levee Commission.

2014

CAPITAL INVESTMENT PLAN

City of Marysville

Introduction and Purpose of the Capital Investment Plan

A Capital Investment Program (CIP) is a multi-year planning instrument used by the City to identify needed capital projects and to coordinate the financing and timing of improvements in a way that maximizes the return to the public. The first year of the CIP is called the “Capital Budget.” The Capital Budget is incorporated in the Adopted Budget that appropriates funds for specific studies, facilities, equipment, and improvements. Projects slated for subsequent years in the program are approved on a planning basis and do not receive ultimate expenditure authority until they are eventually incorporated in a Capital Budget. The CIP is a “rolling” process because subsequent year items in the CIP are moved up in each future year. Each project must, however, be reconsidered for the Capital Budget.

The City of Marysville’s Capital Investment Program is a planning tool that is intended to evaluate the City’s long-range capital needs and prioritize them over a five-year period. Each year, the City Council adopts the entire five year CIP, however funding is appropriated only for the current year Capital Budget.

As part of the newly-redesigned budget development process, the City Manager and Administrative Services Manager discussed community investment needs with residents in a series of three “Community Conversations” held at various locations around the city. Ideas and suggestions resulting from those meetings, together with those given directly by the City Council and from specific staff project requests, are evaluated and prioritized based on need and the amount of funding available. The CIP is then subjected to further reviews by the City Manager’s Office and finally by the City Council for approval of the budget during budget hearings in June.

FY 2013-14 CIP

The total budget for the five-year CIP is approximately \$33.5 million. Of this amount, the FY 2013-14 Capital Budget is \$1,468,915. The emphasis in the 2013-14 CIP is on the initial phases of large wastewater construction activities related to the pending connection to the regional wastewater treatment plant in Linda, and on funding of planning studies that will allow the City to raise outside financing for important follow-on public works and economic development projects in subsequent years.

The CIP is funded by a variety of sources, including the General Fund, Gas Tax, Enterprise Fund, bond proceeds and grant funding. Of these funding sources, the General Fund and Gas Tax can be used on the widest array of projects. Other funding sources have specific, legally restricted uses. Of the \$1.47 million of projects proposed to be undertaken in 2013-14, approximately \$90 thousand is for planning studies, \$50 thousand is street related, and \$1.32 million is for wastewater and storm drainage projects. Table II illustrates the various funding sources for the five-year CIP, including gas tax, bond proceeds, state/federal grants and enterprise funding.

Generally, it is not considered good practice to include projects in the CIP for which viable funding has not been secured. The 2013-14 CIP deviates from this general practice, by listing several highly significant projects that will almost certainly require large amounts of outside

funding, mostly from competitive state and federal sources. The decision to nevertheless include those projects results from their importance to the future well-being of the community, and from the reality that the City has almost no locally-controlled sources of money to make such investments on its own. Their inclusion in the CIP is meant to serve as a policy statement that pursuing these grants must be an essential goal of the City for many years to come.

The City has not previously prepared an updated Capital Investment Plan for some years, so the 2013-14 CIP is a return to modern municipal budgeting practices, fiscal discipline and greater transparency in the use of public resources. We were also hampered by the absence of established City Council goals and priorities to guide the development of this year’s CIP. The Council will be asked to set a few basic priorities during public hearings on the adoption of the 2013-14 Proposed Budget that can help refine the CIP in the balance of the five-year planning period out through 2017-18. Similarly, in future years—after preparation of a new municipal General Plan—as the CIP is updated, it should be reviewed by the Planning and Historic Preservation Commission for General Plan consistency before it is approved by the City Council. However, the state of the city’s administrative infrastructure, including its budget, CIP and General Plan, made that impossible with all the other restructuring necessities.

Nevertheless, a few guiding principles were established by the management staff to help craft the 2013-14 CIP. Our goals were:

- Meet all of the City’s critical project milestones for connecting to the new regional wastewater treatment plant;
- Complete several planning studies that will allow the City to take full advantage of grant funding opportunities for large-scale projects to upgrade critical infrastructure needed to support economic development in the community;
- Create a strong, sustainable economic base for the community, and position the City to transform itself for the long-term;
- Enhance our city’s cultural, historic and recreational amenities.

The projects in the 2013-14 CIP were developed with these goals in mind.

Conclusion

The FY 2013-14 CIP was developed with limited financial resources and with a number of unresolved financial challenges in the future. City funding sources are far from adequate to fund all of the necessary infrastructure improvements identified in the City. Years of failing to invest have created a condition that cannot be reversed quickly. Staff will continue to pursue grants, but additional funding mechanisms will be needed in the future to adequately fund adopted capital plans and ongoing maintenance of existing and future facilities. The Capital Investment Plan is our roadmap as we attempt to transform our City back into a community with a sustainable future.

TABLE I
CAPITAL INVESTMENT PROGRAM EXPENDITURES BY CATEGORY
FISCAL YEARS 2013-14 TO 2017-2018

CATEGORY	ADOPTED FUNDING 2013-2014	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2014-2015	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2015-2016	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2016-2017	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2017-2018	TOTAL 5-YEAR FUNDING
City Buildings and Facilities	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 350,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 350,000
Parks, Recreation & Cultural	-	295,000	705,000	2,500,000	-	3,500,000
Planning & Economic Development	90,915	450,000	-	-	-	540,915
Streets & Transportation	50,000	2,835,000	3,380,000	4,035,000	4,785,000	15,085,000
Wastewater and Storm Water	1,328,000	10,637,000	1,633,000	195,000	230,000	14,023,000
Grand Total	\$ 1,468,915	\$ 14,217,000	\$ 6,068,000	\$ 6,730,000	\$ 5,015,000	\$ 33,498,915

Cumulative Prior Year Funding	\$ 436,985
Total 5-Year Funding	<u>33,498,915</u>
Total Estimated Funding	<u>\$ 33,935,900</u>

TABLE II
CAPITAL INVESTMENT PROGRAM SOURCES OF FUNDING
FISCAL YEARS 2013-14 TO 2017-2018

CATEGORY	ADOPTED FUNDING 2013-2014	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2014-2015	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2015-2016	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2016-2017	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2017-2018	TOTAL 5-YEAR FUNDING
Capital Projects Fund	\$ 104,015					\$ 104,015
CDBG		250,000				250,000
Gas Tax Fund	30,000	35,000	80,000	35,000	535,000	715,000
General Fund	6,900					6,900
Grant Funding	-	3,295,000	4,127,727	6,045,455	3,863,636	17,331,818
Local Transactions & Use Tax	-	250,000	227,273	272,727	261,364	1,011,364
Series 2012 Sewer Revenue Bonds	1,280,000	10,337,000	1,473,000			13,090,000
Wastewater Enterprise Fund	48,000	50,000	160,000	195,000	230,000	683,000
Unidentified Source				181,818	125,000	306,818
Grand Total	\$ 1,468,915	\$ 14,217,000	\$ 6,068,000	\$ 6,730,000	\$ 5,015,000	\$ 33,498,915
Cumulative Prior Year Funding	\$ 436,985					
Total 5-Year Funding						<u>33,498,915</u>
Total Estimated Funding	\$ 33,935,900					<u>33,935,900</u>

TABLE III
CAPITAL INVESTMENT PROGRAM EXPENDITURES BY CATEGORY & PROJECT
FISCAL YEARS 2013-14 TO 2017-2018

CATEGORY	ADOPTED FUNDING 2013-2014	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2014-2015	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2015-2016	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2016-2017	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2017-2018	TOTAL 5-YEAR FUNDING
City Buildings and Facilities						
City Hall - Refurbish/Update			\$ 350,000			\$ 350,000
City Buildings and Facilities Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 350,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 350,000
Parks, Recreation & Cultural						
Ellis Lake - Sidewalk & Cobblestone Bank		45,000	55,000			100,000
Veteran's Park - Repair Well & Pump		10,000				10,000
Replace Irrigation System - Various Parks		150,000	150,000			300,000
Install Water Well - Various Parks		60,000				60,000
Parks - Replace 2 Large Mowers		30,000				30,000
State Theater - Acquire & Restore			500,000	1,500,000		2,000,000
Rehabilitate the River District				1,000,000		1,000,000
Parks, Recreation & Cultural Total	\$ -	\$ 295,000	\$ 705,000	\$ 2,500,000	\$ -	\$ 3,500,000
Planning & Economic Development						
General Plan Housing Element - Update	\$ 15,015					\$ 15,015
Safe Routes to School Plan - Finish	75,900					75,900
Complete Bounce Back Plan/General Plan		450,000				450,000
Planning & Economic Development Total	\$ 90,915	\$ 450,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 540,915
Streets & Transportation						
Flagpole - Reinstall, 10th and E Streets	30,000					30,000
Street Lights LED Retrofit - Finish	20,000					20,000
Street Overlay - 9th Street Chestnut to A			45,000			45,000
Street Overlay - 80 City Blocks		2,800,000	2,800,000			5,600,000
Sidewalk Repairs & ADA Ramps - Various Locations		35,000	35,000	35,000	35,000	140,000
Street Construction - 2nd St from E to J			500,000	2,000,000		2,500,000
Streetscape Improvements - SR 70 from 1st to 6th				2,000,000		2,000,000
Traffic Signal Refurbish - 5 Locations					500,000	500,000
SR 70/20 - Reroute North to 14th Street					3,500,000	3,500,000
Traffic Calming - 9th St from B to E					750,000	750,000
Streets & Transportation Total	\$ 50,000	\$ 2,835,000	\$ 3,380,000	\$ 4,035,000	\$ 4,785,000	\$ 15,085,000
Wastewater & Stormwater						
Regional Wastewater Phase 1 Design	200,000					200,000
Regional Wastewater Phase 2.1	295,000	4,276,000				4,571,000
Improvements to City WWTP - Design	575,000	6,011,000				6,586,000
Regional Wastewater Pipeline - R-O-W	70,000					70,000
Improvements to City WWTP - Decommissioning			1,323,000			1,323,000
Sewer Line Rehabilitation - 14th Street	140,000					140,000

TABLE III
CAPITAL INVESTMENT PROGRAM EXPENDITURES BY CATEGORY & PROJECT
FISCAL YEARS 2013-14 TO 2017-2018

CATEGORY	ADOPTED FUNDING 2013-2014	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2014-2015	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2015-2016	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2016-2017	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2017-2018	TOTAL 5-YEAR FUNDING
Major Sewer Line Rehabilitation		50,000	150,000			200,000
Misc Sewer Line Reconstruction	30,000					30,000
Misc Storm Sewer Reconstruction	10,000					10,000
Sewer System Repairs - Yuba St Lift Station	8,000					8,000
Thorntree Sewer Lift Station - Backup Generator					30,000	30,000
Repair Sewer Outfall - 17th & Hall Street				45,000		45,000
Relocate rear-yard sewer lines - Various Locations				150,000	200,000	350,000
Reline Sewer - Blue Street at SR 20			100,000			100,000
Sewer/Storm - 12th & J Streets		300,000				300,000
Sewer Line TV System			60,000			60,000
Wastewater & Stormwater Total	\$ 1,328,000	\$ 10,637,000	\$ 1,633,000	\$ 195,000	\$ 230,000	\$ 14,023,000
Grand Total	\$ 1,468,915	\$ 14,217,000	\$ 6,068,000	\$ 6,730,000	\$ 5,015,000	\$ 33,498,915

Cumulative Prior Year Funding	\$ 436,985
Total 5-Year Funding	33,498,915
Total Estimated Funding	<u>\$ 33,935,900</u>

City Hall – Refurbish and Update

Project No.: 2014-1.01

Project Description: This project involves refurbishing the 1939 City Hall building by replacing all windows with high efficiency glazing, upgrading wiring and replacing floor covering throughout, updating H VAC control systems, updating technology capabilities in City Council chamber and replacing auditorium seating with modern comfortable seating.

Responsible Department: City Services

Contact Person: David Lamon, PE

General Plan Goal / Policy: N/A

City Council Goal: N/A

TABLE IV-1
FIVE YEAR CIP DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS
FISCAL YEARS 2013-14 TO 2017-2018

FUNDING SOURCE	ADOPTED FUNDING 2013-2014	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2014-2015	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2015-2016	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2016-2017	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2017-2018	TOTAL 5-YEAR FUNDING
EDA Economic Development Grant	\$ -		\$ 318,182	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 318,182
Local Transactions & Use Tax	-	-	31,818			31,818
Sub Total	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 350,000</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 350,000</u>

Cumulative Prior Year Funding	\$ -
Total 5-Year Funding	<u>350,000</u>
Total Estimated Funding	<u>\$ 350,000</u>

Ellis Lake – Sidewalk and Cobblestone Bank Repairs

Project No.: 2014-2.01

Project Description: The sidewalks and cobblestone banks around Ellis Lake are subject to erosion and settlement over time, and require repairs at times to maintain their integrity and safety. Considerable funding was devoted to repairs around the main portion of Ellis Lake in past years, but work at North Ellis Lake was not done. A portion of the sidewalk around the Lake outlet structure is in need of immediate repair.

Responsible Department: City Services

Contact Person: David Lamon, PE

General Plan Goal / Policy: N/A

City Council Goal: N/A

TABLE IV-2
FIVE YEAR CIP DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS
FISCAL YEARS 2013-14 TO 2017-2018

FUNDING SOURCE	ADOPTED FUNDING 2013-2014	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2014-2015	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2015-2016	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2016-2017	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2017-2018	TOTAL 5-YEAR FUNDING
EDA Economic Development Grant	\$ -	\$ 45,000	\$ 55,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 100,000
Sub Total	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 45,000</u>	<u>\$ 55,000</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 100,000</u>

Cumulative Prior Year Funding	\$ -
Total 5-Year Funding	<u>100,000</u>
Total Estimated Funding	<u>\$ 100,000</u>

Veteran's Park – Repair Well and Pump

Project No.: 2014-2.02

Project Description: An existing well at Veteran's Park was taken out of service when the pump began to fail and the well started putting out higher amounts of sand, plugging the sprinkler system. However, due to increasing costs for water being supplied by California Water Service Company, it is cost-effective to repair the pump and well and discontinue service from Cal Water.

Responsible Department: City Services

Contact Person: David Lamon, PE

General Plan Goal / Policy: N/A

City Council Goal: N/A

TABLE IV-3
FIVE YEAR CIP DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS
FISCAL YEARS 2013-14 TO 2017-2018

FUNDING SOURCE	ADOPTED FUNDING 2013-2014	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2014-2015	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2015-2016	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2016-2017	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2017-2018	TOTAL 5-YEAR FUNDING
Local Transaction & Use Tax	\$ -	\$ 10,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	10,000
Sub Total	\$ -	\$ 10,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	10,000

Cumulative Prior Year Funding	\$ -
Total 5-Year Funding	10,000
Total Estimated Funding	\$ 10,000

Replace Park Irrigation Systems – Various Locations

Project No.: 2014-2.03

Project Description: Gavin Park, Veteran’s Park, Wash ington Square Park, Miner Park, Triplett Park, and Yuba Park. Most of the park irrigation systems were installed prior to 1960. Even the system fo r Gavin Park, the newest of the City parks, was installed in the late 1970's. The irrigation systems exhibit a number of problems, including corroded pipes, low pressure, and improper spacing of irrigation hea ds. All of th e problems result in uneven irrigation coverage and poor health of the grass in th e parks. The proposed work would replace old and damaged pipelines, increase system pressure, and install new sprinkler heads on a layout to insure effective coverage.

Responsible Department: City Services

Contact Person: David Lamon, PE

General Plan Goal / Policy: N/A

City Council Goal: N/A

TABLE IV-4
FIVE YEAR CIP DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS
FISCAL YEARS 2013-14 TO 2017-2018

FUNDING SOURCE	ADOPTED FUNDING 2013-2014	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2014-2015	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2015-2016	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2016-2017	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2017-2018	TOTAL 5-YEAR FUNDING
Local Transaction & Use Tax	\$ -	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 300,000
Sub Total	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 150,000</u>	<u>\$ 150,000</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 300,000</u>
Cumulative Prior Year Funding	\$ -					
Total 5-Year Funding		<u>300,000</u>				
Total Estimated Funding		<u>\$ 300,000</u>				

Install Park Irrigation Wells – Various Locations

Project No.: 2014-2.04

Project Description: Two of the City parks, Gavin Park and Washington Square, do not have wells for irrigation and rely on service from the local supplier, California Water Service Company. It is not necessary to irrigate the parks with potable water treated for domestic use, and the switch to wells for irrigation would save considerable funds over the long run.

Responsible Department: City Services

Contact Person: David Lamon, PE

General Plan Goal / Policy: N/A

City Council Goal: N/A

TABLE IV-5
FIVE YEAR CIP DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS
FISCAL YEARS 2013-14 TO 2017-2018

FUNDING SOURCE	ADOPTED FUNDING 2013-2014	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2014-2015	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2015-2016	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2016-2017	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2017-2018	TOTAL 5-YEAR FUNDING
Local Transaction & Use Tax	\$ -	\$ 60,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 60,000
Sub Total	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 60,000</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 60,000</u>

Cumulative Prior Year Funding	\$ -
Total 5-Year Funding	<u>60,000</u>
Total Estimated Funding	<u>\$ 60,000</u>

Replace Two Large Park Mowers

Project No.: 2014-2.05

Project Description: City mowers get considerable use over the course of each summer. While the Public Works crews are able to make repairs in-house to keep the mowers in operation, our current mowers have accumulated so much wear and tear that continuing repairs become too difficult and expensive. The mowers spend more time in the shop than doing work in the parks. The City has two mowers that are well beyond their useful life, and must be replaced with new equipment.

Responsible Department: City Services

Contact Person: David Lamon, PE

General Plan Goal / Policy: N/A

City Council Goal: N/A

TABLE IV-6
FIVE YEAR CIP DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS
FISCAL YEARS 2013-14 TO 2017-2018

FUNDING SOURCE	ADOPTED FUNDING 2013-2014	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2014-2015	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2015-2016	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2016-2017	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2017-2018	TOTAL 5-YEAR FUNDING
Local Transaction & Use Tax	\$ -	\$ 30,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 30,000
Sub Total	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 30,000</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 30,000</u>

Cumulative Prior Year Funding	\$ -
Total 5-Year Funding	<u>30,000</u>
Total Estimated Funding	<u>\$ 30,000</u>

State Theater – Acquire and Restore

Project No.: 2014-2.06

Project Description: This 1920s-era movie palace has sat vacant for many years. In this project, the City would acquire the building for a community foundation and restore it as a community theater for both live performances and film showings. The main auditorium seats 800+, has a full proscenium stage, flyspace above and dressing rooms in the basement. The project would involve period-correct restoration of interior and exterior walls, ceilings, lighting fixtures and marquee, arts and entertainment services, replacement of all seating and floor coverings, and updating to current codes. Project funding assumes economic development grant funding with no local match requirement.

Responsible Department: City Manager

Contact Person: Walter Munchheimer

General Plan Goal / Policy: N/A

City Council Goal: Marysville Bounce Back

TABLE IV-7
FIVE YEAR CIP DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS
FISCAL YEARS 2013-14 TO 2017-2018

FUNDING SOURCE	ADOPTED FUNDING 2013-2014	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2014-2015	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2015-2016	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2016-2017	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2017-2018	TOTAL 5-YEAR FUNDING
EDA Economic Development Grant	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 500,000	\$ 1,500,000	\$ -	\$ 2,000,000
Sub Total	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 500,000</u>	<u>\$ 1,500,000</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 2,000,000</u>
Cumulative Prior Year Funding	\$ -					
Total 5-Year Funding	<u>2,000,000</u>					
Total Estimated Funding	<u>\$ 2,000,000</u>					

Rehabilitate the River District for Recreation and Eco-Tourism

Project No.: 2014-2.07

Project Description: A public works project to rehabilitate the waterfront setback areas along the Feather and Yuba Rivers from the levees to the streambeds of both rivers. The area includes Riverfront Park Complex and boat launch ramp, restrooms, soccer fields, vehicle parking lots, bicycle and pedestrian/jogging pathways. The project will take into consideration the Bounce Back consultant's plan for the River District commercial potential. Project grant funding assumes a 10% local match requirement.

Responsible Department: City Manager; City Services

Contact Person: Walter Munchheimer; David Lamon, PE

General Plan Goal / Policy: N/A

City Council Goal: Marysville Bounce Back

TABLE IV-8
FIVE YEAR CIP DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS
FISCAL YEARS 2013-14 TO 2017-2018

FUNDING SOURCE	ADOPTED FUNDING 2013-2014	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2014-2015	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2015-2016	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2016-2017	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2017-2018	TOTAL 5-YEAR FUNDING
EDA Public Works Grant	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 909,091	\$ -	\$ 909,091
Local Transactions & Use Tax	-	-	-	90,909	-	90,909
Sub Total	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 1,000,000</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 1,000,000</u>
Cumulative Prior Year Funding	\$ -					
Total 5-Year Funding	<u>1,000,000</u>					
Total Estimated Funding	<u>\$ 1,000,000</u>					

General Plan Housing Element Update (Carryover)

Project No.: 2014-3.01

Project Description: State law requires every city and county to periodically update the Housing Element to its General Plan. This update was begun in FY 2012-13, and will be completed when the updated plan being prepared by a consultant is submitted for certification to the state Department of Housing and Community Development, expected to occur approximately October 2013.

Responsible Department: City Services

Contact Person: David Lamon

General Plan Goal / Policy: N/A

City Council Goal: N/A

TABLE IV-9
FIVE YEAR CIP DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS
FISCAL YEARS 2013-14 TO 2017-2018

FUNDING SOURCE	ADOPTED FUNDING 2013-2014	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2014-2015	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2015-2016	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2016-2017	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2017-2018	TOTAL 5-YEAR FUNDING
General Fund	\$ 15,015					\$ 15,015
Sub Total	\$ 15,015	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 15,015

Cumulative Prior Year Funding	\$ 25,285
Total 5-Year Funding	15,015
Total Estimated Funding	\$ 40,300

Safe Routes to School Feasibility Plan – Initial Study (Carryover)

Project No.: 2014-3.02

Project Description: Safe Routes to School is an international movement that has taken hold in communities throughout the United States. The concept is to increase the number of children who walk or bicycle to school by funding projects that remove the barriers that currently prevent them from doing so. Those barriers include lack of infrastructure, unsafe infrastructure, lack of programs that promote walking and bicycling through education/encouragement programs aimed at children, parents, and the community. The project will result in a feasibility study being developed by a consultant, under the direction of the City, the Joint Unified School District and other stakeholders in the community. When completed, a follow-on project will be proposed for constructing implementable improvements, as recommended in the feasibility plan. There is no identified funding for the follow-on project.

Responsible Department: City Services

Contact Person: Cathy Dykes

General Plan Goal / Policy: N/A

City Council Goal: N/A

TABLE IV-10
FIVE YEAR CIP DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS
FISCAL YEARS 2013-14 TO 2017-2018

FUNDING SOURCE	ADOPTED FUNDING 2013-2014	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2014-2015	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2015-2016	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2016-2017	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2017-2018	TOTAL 5-YEAR FUNDING
General Fund	\$ 75,900					\$ 75,900
Sub Total	\$ 75,900	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 75,900

Cumulative Prior Year Funding	\$ 6,600
Total 5-Year Funding	75,900
Total Estimated Funding	\$ 82,500

Complete Final Phases of Bounce Back Plan / General Plan Update

Project No.: 2014-3.03

Project Description: As proposed, the full Bounce Back program consists of several phases, including a transportation/mobility phase, an economic potential phase and a General Plan/Zoning Code update phase. This project is to complete all remaining phases not covered by the initial City funding of \$100,000

Responsible Department: City Manager; City Services

Contact Persons: Walter Munchheimer; David Lamon

General Plan Goal / Policy: N/A

City Council Goal: Marysville Bounce Back

TABLE IV-11
FIVE YEAR CIP DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS
FISCAL YEARS 2013-14 TO 2017-2018

FUNDING SOURCE	ADOPTED FUNDING 2013-2014	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2014-2015	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2015-2016	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2016-2017	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2017-2018	TOTAL 5-YEAR FUNDING
EDA Economic Development Grant	\$ -	\$ 450,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 450,000
Sub Total	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 450,000</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 450,000</u>

Cumulative Prior Year Funding	\$ -
Total 5-Year Funding	<u>450,000</u>
Total Estimated Funding	<u>\$ 450,000</u>

Reinstall Flagpole – 10th and E Streets

Project No.: 2014-4.01

Project Description: The City's prominent flagpole on SR 20 (E Street) at 20th Street was removed in FY 2012-13 at the start of the CalTrans highway repaving project. In the upcoming year, after that section of the repaving has been completed, the flagpole will be re-installed in its original location.

Responsible Department: City Services

Contact Person: Cathy Dykes

General Plan Goal / Policy: N/A

City Council Goal: N/A

TABLE IV-12
FIVE YEAR CIP DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS
FISCAL YEARS 2013-14 TO 2017-2018

FUNDING SOURCE	ADOPTED FUNDING 2013-2014	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2014-2015	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2015-2016	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2016-2017	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2017-2018	TOTAL 5-YEAR FUNDING
Gas Tax Fund	\$ 30,000					\$ 30,000
Sub Total	<u>\$ 30,000</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 30,000</u>
Cumulative Prior Year Funding	<u>\$ -</u>					
Total 5-Year Funding	<u>30,000</u>					
Total Estimated Funding	<u>\$ 30,000</u>					

Retrofit LED Lighting – Various Locations

Project No.: 2014-4.02

Project Description: With the completion of the State grant for the retrofit of all streetlights in the City with LED fixtures, the City was eligible for a rebate from PG&E for energy reduction. Most of the rebate will cover the costs in excess of the State grant (the matching funds the City was required to provide). The portion of the rebate in excess of previous costs will be used to retrofit other city streetlights or area lights that were not eligible for the grant funding.

Responsible Department: City Services

Contact Person: Cathy Dykes

General Plan Goal / Policy: N/A

City Council Goal: N/A

TABLE IV-13
FIVE YEAR CIP DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS
FISCAL YEARS 2013-14 TO 2017-2018

FUNDING SOURCE	ADOPTED FUNDING 2013-2014	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2014-2015	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2015-2016	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2016-2017	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2017-2018	TOTAL 5-YEAR FUNDING
PG&E Rebate	\$ 20,000					\$ 20,000
Sub Total	<u>\$ 20,000</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 20,000</u>
Cumulative Prior Year Funding	\$ -					
Total 5-Year Funding	<u>20,000</u>					
Total Estimated Funding	<u>\$ 20,000</u>					

Street Overlay – 9th Street from Chestnut to A Street

Project No.: 2014-4.03

Project Description: With the completion of the Caltrans Highway 20/70 project, 9th Street from B Street to Chestnut will be repaved or overlaid. The section of 9th Street from Chestnut will still be in need of repair. This section is the primary access for the Fire Station equipment, and is subject to the heavy truck loads. This section should be repaired after the completion of the Caltrans project.

Responsible Department: City Services

Contact Person: David Lamon, PE

General Plan Goal / Policy: N/A

City Council Goal: N/A

TABLE IV-14
FIVE YEAR CIP DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS
FISCAL YEARS 2013-14 TO 2017-2018

FUNDING SOURCE	ADOPTED FUNDING 2013-2014	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2014-2015	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2015-2016	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2016-2017	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2017-2018	TOTAL 5-YEAR FUNDING
Gas Tax Fund	\$ -	-	\$ 45,000	\$ -	-	\$ 45,000
Sub Total	\$ -	-	\$ 45,000	\$ -	-	\$ 45,000

Cumulative Prior Year Funding	\$ -
Total 5-Year Funding	45,000
Total Estimated Funding	\$ 45,000

Street Overlay Paving – 80 Square City Blocks

Project No.: 2014-4.04

Project Description: To support the aggressive economic development strategy adopted by City Council, most City streets in the four downtown Bounce Back target districts are in need to repaving. In this project, City streets in 80 square City blocks will be repaved, including generally all streets between C Street and J Street, from 1st Street to 8th Street. The project is dependent on securing a public works grant from the U.S. Economic Development Administration, and is planned to coincide with the completion of the Caltrans highway 20/70 projects.

Responsible Department: City Services

Contact Person: David Lamon, PE

General Plan Goal / Policy: N/A

City Council Goal: Marysville Bounce Back

TABLE IV-15
FIVE YEAR CIP DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS
FISCAL YEARS 2013-14 TO 2017-2018

FUNDING SOURCE	ADOPTED FUNDING 2013-2014	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2014-2015	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2015-2016	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2016-2017	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2017-2018	TOTAL 5-YEAR FUNDING
EDA Public Works Grant	\$ -	\$ 2,800,000	\$ 2,800,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,600,000
Sub Total	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 2,800,000</u>	<u>\$ 2,800,000</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 5,600,000</u>

Cumulative Prior Year Funding	\$ -
Total 5-Year Funding	5,600,000
Total Estimated Funding	<u>\$ 5,600,000</u>

Sidewalk Repairs & ADA Ramps – Various Locations

Project No.: 2014-4.05

Project Description: In order to comply with the Federal Americans with Disabilities Act, the City needs to pursue a specific program to make those changes in the City infrastructure to achieve the required level of accessibility. The project focuses on making sidewalk repairs to eliminate impediments to accessibility, and constructing curb ramps between sidewalks and crosswalks in compliance with current standards.

Responsible Department: City Services

Contact Person: David Lamon, PE

General Plan Goal / Policy: N/A

City Council Goal: N/A

TABLE IV-16
FIVE YEAR CIP DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS
FISCAL YEARS 2013-14 TO 2017-2018

FUNDING SOURCE	ADOPTED FUNDING 2013-2014	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2014-2015	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2015-2016	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2016-2017	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2017-2018	TOTAL 5-YEAR FUNDING
Gas Tax Fund	\$ -	\$ 35,000	\$ 35,000	\$ 35,000	\$ 35,000	\$ 140,000
Sub Total	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 35,000</u>	<u>\$ 35,000</u>	<u>\$ 35,000</u>	<u>\$ 35,000</u>	<u>\$ 140,000</u>

Cumulative Prior Year Funding	\$ -
Total 5-Year Funding	<u>140,000</u>
Total Estimated Funding	<u>\$ 140,000</u>

Street Construction – 2nd Street from E Street to J Street

Project No.: 2014-4.06

Project Description: This project would extend 2nd Street from SR 70 to J Street. This would improve circulation through the medical arts district around the newly-expanded Rideout Regional Health Center, as well as commuter through-traffic between Marysville and Yuba City across the 5th Street bridge. The project includes land acquisition, engineering and construction. The project funding assumes an estimated 10% local match requirement.

Responsible Department: City Services

Contact Person: David Lamon, PE

General Plan Goal / Policy: N/A

City Council Goal: N/A

TABLE IV-17
FIVE YEAR CIP DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS
FISCAL YEARS 2013-14 TO 2017-2018

FUNDING SOURCE	ADOPTED FUNDING 2013-2014	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2014-2015	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2015-2016	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2016-2017	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2017-2018	TOTAL 5-YEAR FUNDING
EDA Public Works Grant	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 454,545	\$ 1,818,182	\$ -	\$ 2,272,727
Local Transactions & Use Tax	-	-	45,455	181,818	-	227,273
Sub Total	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 500,000</u>	<u>\$ 2,000,000</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 2,500,000</u>
Cumulative Prior Year Funding	\$ -					
Total 5-Year Funding	<u>2,500,000</u>					
Total Estimated Funding	<u>\$ 2,500,000</u>					

Streetscape Improvements – SR 70 from 1st Street To 6th Street

Project No.: 2014-4.07

Project Description: A highway streetscape improvement project conducted in conjunction with Caltrans resurfacing of the first six blocks of SR 70 from the southern city limits. The principal objectives are to improve the visual appearance of the entry into Marysville and to improve connectivity and walkability between the medical arts and the historic downtown districts once the Bounce Back plan has been prepared and design criteria developed for the SR 70 corridor district. An estimated 10% local match requirement has no identified funding source.

Responsible Department: City Services

Contact Person: David Lamon, PE

General Plan Goal / Policy: N/A

City Council Goal: Marysville Bounce Back

TABLE IV-18
FIVE YEAR CIP DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS
FISCAL YEARS 2013-14 TO 2017-2018

FUNDING SOURCE	ADOPTED FUNDING 2013-2014	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2014-2015	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2015-2016	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2016-2017	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2017-2018	TOTAL 5-YEAR FUNDING
EDA Public Works Grant	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,818,182	\$ -	\$ 1,818,182
Unidentified Source	-	-	-	181,818	-	181,818
Sub Total	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 2,000,000</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 2,000,000</u>

Cumulative Prior Year Funding	\$ -
Total 5-Year Funding	<u>2,000,000</u>
Total Estimated Funding	<u>\$ 2,000,000</u>

Traffic Signal Refurbish – Five Locations

Project No.: 2014-4.08

Project Description: The City of Marysville operates traffic signals at 5 locations with equipment that is decades old. Some of the operating hardware and software are difficult to maintain or repair. Signal locations and signal arms are not up to current traffic design standards, and the pedestrian signal and controls do not meet current standards. The locations are 5th and J, 5th and H, 3rd and H, 3rd and F, and 14th and E Streets. Funding would cover the replacement of controllers, signal poles and arms where necessary, and an upgrade of the pedestrian signal system.

Responsible Department: City Services

Contact Person: David Lamon, PE

General Plan Goal / Policy: N/A

City Council Goal: N/A

TABLE IV-19
FIVE YEAR CIP DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS
FISCAL YEARS 2013-14 TO 2017-2018

FUNDING SOURCE	ADOPTED FUNDING 2013-2014	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2014-2015	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2015-2016	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2016-2017	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2017-2018	TOTAL 5-YEAR FUNDING
Gas Tax Fund	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000
Sub Total	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 500,000</u>	<u>\$ 500,000</u>

Cumulative Prior Year Funding	\$ -
Total 5-Year Funding	<u>500,000</u>
Total Estimated Funding	<u>\$ 500,000</u>

SR 20/70 – Reroute North to 14th Street

Project No.: 2014-4.09

Project Description: Currently, SR 20 and SR 70 follow an alignment on 9th Street along the south shore of Ellis Lake, before turning north at B Street. By redirecting these state highways north by five blocks to 14th Street, the highway congestion is eliminated along 9th Street, allowing a safer, more pedestrian-friendly walkable interconnection between the Lake District and the adjoining Historic Downtown District to the south. The project is dependent on Caltrans approval and design/construction standards and timelines. Project grant funding assumes a 10 % local match requirement, not all of which has an identified funding source.

Responsible Department: City Manager

Contact Person: Walter Munchheimer

General Plan Goal / Policy: N/A

City Council Goal: Marysville Bounce Back

TABLE IV-20
FIVE YEAR CIP DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS
FISCAL YEARS 2013-14 TO 2017-2018

FUNDING SOURCE	ADOPTED FUNDING 2013-2014	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2014-2015	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2015-2016	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2016-2017	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2017-2018	TOTAL 5-YEAR FUNDING
EDA Public Works Grant					\$ 3,181,800	\$ 3,181,800
Local Transactions & Use Tax	-	-	-	-	200,000	200,000
Unidentified Source	-	-	-	-	118,200	118,200
Sub Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 3,500,000

Cumulative Prior Year Funding	\$ -
Total 5-Year Funding	3,500,000
Total Estimated Funding	\$ 3,500,000

Traffic Calming / Pedestrian Mall – 9th Street from B Street to E Street

Project No.: 2014-4.10

Project Description: With the rerouting proposed for SR 20/70 from 9th Street to 14th Street, 9th Street between B and E Streets reverts to a City street, separating the Lake District from the Historic Downtown District. The purpose of this project is to incorporate traffic calming features into 9th Street or to outright abandon the street in favor of a pedestrian mall along the south shore of Ellis Lake, to improve walkability between those two economic districts. Project grant funding assumes a 10% local match requirement.

Responsible Department: City Manager

Contact Person: Walter Munchheimer

General Plan Goal / Policy: N/A

City Council Goal: Marysville Bounce Back

TABLE IV-21
FIVE YEAR CIP DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS
FISCAL YEARS 2013-14 TO 2017-2018

FUNDING SOURCE	ADOPTED FUNDING 2013-2014	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2014-2015	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2015-2016	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2016-2017	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2017-2018	TOTAL 5-YEAR FUNDING
EDA Public Works Grant					\$ 681,818	\$ 681,818
Local Transactions & Use Tax	-	-	-	-	68,182	68,182
Sub Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 750,000	\$ 750,000

Cumulative Prior Year Funding	\$ -
Total 5-Year Funding	750,000
Total Estimated Funding	\$ 750,000

Regional Wastewater System Phase 1 Design

Project No.: 2014-5.01

Project Description: Phase 1 of the current improvements to the Linda County Water District wastewater treatment facility included both an increase in plant capacity and treatment improvements to comply with current state permits and accommodate the addition of flows from the City of Marysville. While the City will contribute proportionally to the annual debt service for the Phase 1 improvements, the contract change orders for Phase 1 construction will require a lump sum payment by the City. Provision for this payment was included in the bond financing package completed by the City in 2012.

Responsible Department: City Services

Contact Person: David Lamon

General Plan Goal / Policy: N/A

City Council Goal: N/A

TABLE IV-22
FIVE YEAR CIP DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS
FISCAL YEARS 2013-14 TO 2017-2018

FUNDING SOURCE	ADOPTED FUNDING 2013-2014	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2014-2015	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2015-2016	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2016-2017	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2017-2018	TOTAL 5-YEAR FUNDING
Sewer Revenue Bonds Series 2012	\$ 200,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	200,000
Sub Total	<u>\$ 200,000</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>200,000</u>

Cumulative Prior Year Funding	\$ -
Total 5-Year Funding	<u>200,000</u>
Total Estimated Funding	<u>\$ 200,000</u>

Regional Wastewater System Phase 2.1

Project No.: 2014-5.02

Project Description: Phase 2.1 improvements to the Linda County Water District wastewater treatment facility will include the second stage of improvements needed to comply with the most recent state permit and accommodate the addition of flows from the City of Marysville. Under its agreement with Linda County Water District, the City will contribute proportionally to the cost of the design of the required improvements. Provision for this payment was included in the bond financing package completed by the City in 2012. Funding in FY 2013-14 is for engineering design, with construction to follow in FY 2014-15.

Responsible Department: City Services

Contact Person: David Lamon, PE

General Plan Goal / Policy: N/A

City Council Goal: N/A

TABLE IV-23
FIVE YEAR CIP DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS
FISCAL YEARS 2013-14 TO 2017-2018

FUNDING SOURCE	ADOPTED FUNDING 2013-2014	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2014-2015	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2015-2016	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2016-2017	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2017-2018	TOTAL 5-YEAR FUNDING
Sewer Revenue Bonds Series 2012	\$ 295,000	4,276,000	\$ -	\$ -	-	\$ 4,571,000
Sub Total	<u>\$ 295,000</u>	<u>\$ 4,276,000</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>\$ 4,571,000</u>

Cumulative Prior Year Funding	\$ -
Total 5-Year Funding	<u>4,571,000</u>
Total Estimated Funding	<u>\$ 4,571,000</u>

Improvements to Marysville Wastewater Treatment Plant

Project No.: 2014-5.03

Project Description: In order to connect the City sewer collection system with the regional wastewater treatment facility, the City must construct a 2.8 mile pipeline to the Linda County Water District plant, an improved pump station at the Marysville plant, and make other modifications to the Marysville treatment plant. This work will cover all of the necessary design, and is included in the bond financing package completed by the City in 2012. Funding in FY 2013-14 is for engineering design, with construction to follow in FY 2014-15.

Responsible Department: City Services

Contact Person: David Lamon

General Plan Goal / Policy: N/A

City Council Goal: N/A

TABLE IV-24
FIVE YEAR CIP DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS
FISCAL YEARS 2013-14 TO 2017-2018

FUNDING SOURCE	ADOPTED FUNDING 2013-2014	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2014-2015	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2015-2016	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2016-2017	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2017-2018	TOTAL 5-YEAR FUNDING
Sewer Revenue Bonds Series 2012	\$ 575,000	6,011,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,586,000
Sub Total	<u>\$ 575,000</u>	<u>\$ 6,011,000</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 6,586,000</u>

Cumulative Prior Year Funding	\$ -
Total 5-Year Funding	<u>6,586,000</u>
Total Estimated Funding	<u>\$ 6,586,000</u>

Regional Wastewater System Pipeline – Right of Way

Project No.: 2014-5.04

Project Description: In order to connect the City sewer collection system with the Regional wastewater treatment facility (Linda County Water District), the City will need to construct a 2.8 mile pipeline to the Linda plant, an improved pump station at the Marysville plant, and make other modifications to the Marysville plant. Prior to construction of the pipeline, the City will have to acquire easements and other access rights. Acquisition of the necessary rights of way is included in the bond financing package completed by the City in 2012.

Responsible Department: City Services

Contact Person: David Lamon, PE

General Plan Goal / Policy: N/A

City Council Goal: N/A

TABLE IV-25
FIVE YEAR CIP DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS
FISCAL YEARS 2013-14 TO 2017-2018

FUNDING SOURCE	ADOPTED FUNDING 2013-2014	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2014-2015	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2015-2016	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2016-2017	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2017-2018	TOTAL 5-YEAR FUNDING
Sewer Revenue Bonds Series 2012	\$ 70,000	-	-	-	-	70,000
Sub Total	<u>\$ 70,000</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>70,000</u>

Cumulative Prior Year Funding	\$ -
Total 5-Year Funding	<u>70,000</u>
Total Estimated Funding	<u>\$ 70,000</u>

Improvements to Marysville Wastewater Treatment Plant – Decommissioning

Project No.: 2014-5.05

Project Description: After completion of the connection between the City and the Regional WWTP, portions of the City treatment plant and the sewer ponds will no longer be used. These facilities will need to be decommissioned and the sewer ponds closed as required by the State. This project will include both the design and the construction work for decommissioning, and is included in the bond financing package completed by the City in 2012.

Responsible Department: City Services

Contact Person: David Lamon, PE

General Plan Goal / Policy: N/A

City Council Goal: N/A

TABLE IV-26
FIVE YEAR CIP DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS
FISCAL YEARS 2013-14 TO 2017-2018

FUNDING SOURCE	ADOPTED FUNDING 2013-2014	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2014-2015	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2015-2016	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2016-2017	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2017-2018	TOTAL 5-YEAR FUNDING
Sewer Revenue Bonds Series 2012	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,323,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,323,000
Sub Total	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 1,323,000</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 1,323,000</u>

Cumulative Prior Year Funding	\$ -
Total 5-Year Funding	<u>1,323,000</u>
Total Estimated Funding	<u>\$ 1,323,000</u>

Sewer Line Rehabilitation – 14th Street

Project No.: 2014-5.06

Project Description: A portion of the main sewer line along 14th Street west of H Street is failing and requires replacement. This project will install a new line south to Pine Street, avoiding the grade problems at the railroad overpass at 14th and I Streets.

Responsible Department: City Services

Contact Person: David Lamon, PE

General Plan Goal / Policy: N/A

City Council Goal: N/A

TABLE IV-27
FIVE YEAR CIP DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS
FISCAL YEARS 2013-14 TO 2017-2018

FUNDING SOURCE	ADOPTED FUNDING 2013-2014	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2014-2015	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2015-2016	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2016-2017	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2017-2018	TOTAL 5-YEAR FUNDING
Sewer Revenue Bonds Series 2012	\$ 140,000	-	-	-	-	140,000
Sub Total	<u>\$ 140,000</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>140,000</u>

Cumulative Prior Year Funding	\$ -
Total 5-Year Funding	<u>140,000</u>
Total Estimated Funding	<u>\$ 140,000</u>

Major Sewer Line Rehabilitation – Various Locations

Project No.: 2014-5.07

Project Description: As a part of the bond financing package, the City identified the need for improvements in the collection system beyond what the normal operating budget could fund. The specific project areas will be identified each year prior to budget approval. Funds for this work are part of the bond financing package completed by the City in 2012.

Responsible Department: City Services

Contact Person: David Lamon, PE

General Plan Goal / Policy: N/A

City Council Goal: N/A

TABLE IV-28
FIVE YEAR CIP DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS
FISCAL YEARS 2013-14 TO 2017-2018

FUNDING SOURCE	ADOPTED FUNDING 2013-2014	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2014-2015	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2015-2016	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2016-2017	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2017-2018	TOTAL 5-YEAR FUNDING
Sewer Revenue Bonds Series 2012	\$ -	50,000	\$ 150,000	\$ -	\$ -	200,000
Sub Total	\$ -	\$ 50,000	\$ 150,000	\$ -	\$ -	200,000

Cumulative Prior Year Funding	\$ -
Total 5-Year Funding	200,000
Total Estimated Funding	\$ 200,000

Sewer Line Reconstruction – Various Locations

Project No.: 2014-5.08

Project Description: Reconstruction of minor sections of the sewer collection pipeline system, performed annually as needed.

Responsible Department: City Services

Contact Person: David Lamon, PE

General Plan Goal / Policy: N/A

City Council Goal: N/A

TABLE IV-29
FIVE YEAR CIP DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS
FISCAL YEARS 2013-14 TO 2017-2018

FUNDING SOURCE	ADOPTED FUNDING 2013-2014	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2014-2015	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2015-2016	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2016-2017	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2017-2018	TOTAL 5-YEAR FUNDING
Wastewater Enterprise Fund	\$ 30,000	-	-	-	-	30,000
Sub Total	<u>\$ 30,000</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>30,000</u>

Cumulative Prior Year Funding	\$ -
Total 5-Year Funding	<u>30,000</u>
Total Estimated Funding	<u>\$ 30,000</u>

Miscellaneous Storm Sewer Line Reconstruction – Various Locations

Project No.: 2014-5.09

Project Description: Reconstruction of minor sections of the storm drainage collection pipeline system, performed annually as needed.

Responsible Department: City Services

Contact Person:

General Plan Goal / Policy: N/A

City Council Goal: N/A

TABLE IV-30
FIVE YEAR CIP DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS
FISCAL YEARS 2013-14 TO 2017-2018

FUNDING SOURCE	ADOPTED FUNDING 2013-2014	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2014-2015	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2015-2016	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2016-2017	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2017-2018	TOTAL 5-YEAR FUNDING
Wastewater Enterprise Fund	\$ 10,000	-	-	-	-	10,000
Sub Total	<u>\$ 10,000</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>10,000</u>

Cumulative Prior Year Funding	\$ -
Total 5-Year Funding	<u>10,000</u>
Total Estimated Funding	<u>\$ 10,000</u>

Sewer Lift Station Repairs – Yuba Street Lift Station

Project No.: 2014-5.10

Project Description: Miscellaneous. repairs and modifications to the Yuba Street lift station for improved operation of the lift station system and the odor control components.

Responsible Department: City Services

Contact Person: David Lamon, PE

General Plan Goal / Policy: N/A

City Council Goal: N/A

TABLE IV-31
FIVE YEAR CIP DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS
FISCAL YEARS 2013-14 TO 2017-2018

FUNDING SOURCE	ADOPTED FUNDING 2013-2014	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2014-2015	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2015-2016	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2016-2017	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2017-2018	TOTAL 5-YEAR FUNDING
Wastewater Enterprise Fund	\$ 8,000	-	-	-	-	8,000
Sub Total	<u>\$ 8,000</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>8,000</u>

Cumulative Prior Year Funding	\$ -
Total 5-Year Funding	<u>8,000</u>
Total Estimated Funding	<u>\$ 8,000</u>

Backup Generator – Thorntree Sewer Lift Station

Project No.: 2014-5.11

Project Description: The Thorntree sewer lift station currently operates without a back-up generator, affecting operation in the event of a power outage. The City's major lift stations all have on-site back-up generators, but smaller lift stations are often covered with portable generators. This allows more flexibility in the use of the generators, and they can cover multiple locations. This would be the only generator used for the sewer system.

Responsible Department: City Services

Contact Person: David Lamon, PE

General Plan Goal / Policy: N/A

City Council Goal: N/A

TABLE IV-32
FIVE YEAR CIP DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS
FISCAL YEARS 2013-14 TO 2017-2018

FUNDING SOURCE	ADOPTED FUNDING 2013-2014	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2014-2015	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2015-2016	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2016-2017	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2017-2018	TOTAL 5-YEAR FUNDING
Wastewater Enterprise Fund	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 30,000	\$ 30,000
Sub Total	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 30,000</u>	<u>\$ 30,000</u>

Cumulative Prior Year Funding	\$ -
Total 5-Year Funding	<u>30,000</u>
Total Estimated Funding	<u>\$ 30,000</u>

Sewer Outfall Repairs – 17th and Hall Streets

Project No.: 2014-5.12

Project Description: The storm water pump plant at East 17th and Hall Streets discharges through a pipeline to the Yuba River. The short channel at the pipe outlet has been eroding for a number of years, undermining the pipeline. If there is sufficient erosion, the last section of pipe could collapse into the channel. This project would include armoring the discharge channel at the pipe outlet and beyond with cobblestone. This will help prevent the erosion of the channel, and dissipate the water energy to prevent carrying more dirt and silt into the Yuba River.

Responsible Department: City Services

Contact Person: David Lamon, PE

General Plan Goal / Policy: N/A

City Council Goal: N/A

TABLE IV-33
FIVE YEAR CIP DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS
FISCAL YEARS 2013-14 TO 2017-2018

FUNDING SOURCE	ADOPTED FUNDING 2013-2014	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2014-2015	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2015-2016	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2016-2017	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2017-2018	TOTAL 5-YEAR FUNDING
Wastewater Enterprise Fund	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 45,000	\$ -	\$ 45,000
Sub Total	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 45,000</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 45,000</u>

Cumulative Prior Year Funding	\$ -
Total 5-Year Funding	<u>45,000</u>
Total Estimated Funding	<u>\$ 45,000</u>

Relocate Rear-Yard Sewer Lines – Various Locations

Project No.: 2014-5.13

Project Description: There are two general areas in East Marysville where the main sewer lines run along the back of residential lots rather than within City streets. This location creates problems with normal maintenance and cleaning of the lines, and major difficulties if a sewer line must be replaced. The plan for these two areas is to relocate the main sewer lines to the adjacent street. This will require running the sewer laterals from the rear yards of the houses to the front yards. Timing of this work will depend on the completion of the connection of the city sewer collection system to the Linda County Water District regional treatment plant.

Responsible Department: City Services

Contact Person: David Lamon, PE

General Plan Goal / Policy: N/A

City Council Goal: N/A

TABLE IV-34
FIVE YEAR CIP DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS
FISCAL YEARS 2013-14 TO 2017-2018

FUNDING SOURCE	ADOPTED FUNDING 2013-2014	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2014-2015	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2015-2016	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2016-2017	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2017-2018	TOTAL 5-YEAR FUNDING
Wastewater Enterprise Fund	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 150,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 350,000
Sub Total	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 150,000</u>	<u>\$ 200,000</u>	<u>\$ 350,000</u>

Cumulative Prior Year Funding	\$ -
Total 5-Year Funding	<u>350,000</u>
Total Estimated Funding	<u>\$ 350,000</u>

Reline Sewer Line – Blue Street at SR 20

Project No.: 2014-5.14

Project Description: The sewer line that runs in Blue Street has shown evidence of deterioration in the stretch across East 12th Street. At this time, the pipeline does not require replacement, but preventive maintenance would avoid a problem in the future. Because the line crosses the State Highway, relining the pipeline appears to be the best solution.

Responsible Department: City Services

Contact Person: David Lamon, PE

General Plan Goal / Policy: N/A

City Council Goal: N/A

TABLE IV-35
FIVE YEAR CIP DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS
FISCAL YEARS 2013-14 TO 2017-2018

FUNDING SOURCE	ADOPTED FUNDING 2013-2014	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2014-2015	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2015-2016	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2016-2017	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2017-2018	TOTAL 5-YEAR FUNDING
Wastewater Enterprise Fund	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 100,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 100,000
Sub Total	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 100,000</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 100,000</u>

Cumulative Prior Year Funding	\$ -
Total 5-Year Funding	<u>100,000</u>
Total Estimated Funding	<u>\$ 100,000</u>

Sewer and Storm Drain Repairs – 12th & J Streets

Project No.: 2014-5.15

Project Description: One of the only areas without curb, gutter, and sidewalk is the area of J Street between 11th and 13th Streets. This area, one of the older areas of Marysville, experiences surface drainage problems. While the installation of gutters would help with the problem, other improvements to the storm drain piping system are needed to improve storm drainage. This project would combine sewer funds for some of the pipeline improvements on the storm and sewer system with CDBG funding for the balance of the improvements. This neighborhood is the general location where the City previously built a number of houses for low-moderate income homeowners. In later years, the YouthBuild program built some additional homes. There are currently about three lots still available for home construction.

Responsible Department: City Services

Contact Person: David Lamon, PE

General Plan Goal / Policy: N/A

City Council Goal: N/A

TABLE IV-36
FIVE YEAR CIP DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS
FISCAL YEARS 2013-14 TO 2017-2018

FUNDING SOURCE	ADOPTED FUNDING 2013-2014	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2014-2015	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2015-2016	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2016-2017	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2017-2018	TOTAL 5-YEAR FUNDING
Wastewater Enterprise Fund	\$ -	\$ 50,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 50,000
Community Development Block Grant	-	250,000	-	-	-	250,000
Sub Total	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 300,000</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 300,000</u>

Cumulative Prior Year Funding	\$ -
Total 5-Year Funding	<u>300,000</u>
Total Estimated Funding	<u>\$ 300,000</u>

Purchase TV Camera System for Sewer Lines

Project No.: 2014-5.16

Project Description: City crews currently have to contract out for services to inspect the sewer mains using closed circuit video systems. As the City shifts out of the sewer treatment area and focuses more on the collection system, we expect to increase the amount of video inspection performed. Acquiring the hardware will allow the City to perform this work at a lower long-term cost and with less delay, insuring that we can appropriately respond to problems and identify pipes that are eroded and subject to failure.

Responsible Department: City Services

Contact Person: David Lamon, PE

General Plan Goal / Policy: N/A

City Council Goal: N/A

TABLE IV-37
FIVE YEAR CIP DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS
FISCAL YEARS 2013-14 TO 2017-2018

FUNDING SOURCE	ADOPTED FUNDING 2013-2014	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2014-2015	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2015-2016	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2016-2017	ESTIMATED FUNDING 2017-2018	TOTAL 5-YEAR FUNDING
Wastewater Enterprise Fund	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 60,000	\$ -	\$ -	60,000
Sub Total	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 60,000</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>60,000</u>

Cumulative Prior Year Funding	\$ -
Total 5-Year Funding	<u>60,000</u>
Total Estimated Funding	<u>\$ 60,000</u>

2014

APPENDIX / INDEX

Statistics

Geography	The City of Marysville has an area of 3.6 square miles with an elevation of 62 feet above sea level. It sits in the heart of California's Central Valley, approximately 40 miles north of the state capital Sacramento, and 100 air miles east of the Pacific Ocean.
Weather	Annual mean temperature (for calendar year 2012) 61.4 degrees Fahrenheit/16.4 degrees Celsius Annual precipitation (for calendar year 2012) 24.2 inches/615.5 millimeters
Government	On November 7, 2006, voters approved a Charter amendment establishing the Council-Manager form of government for the City of Marysville, which took effect on January 1, 2007, with an elected Mayor and four City Council members, each elected to four-year terms, and an appointed professional City Manager. There are no term limits for the Mayor and Council members.
Elected Officials	<p>City</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1 Mayor 4 City Council Members <p>State Legislature</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1 Senator 1 Assembly Member <p>Congressional Delegation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2 Senators 1 Member of the House of Representatives
Registered Voters	4,548 as of May 28, 2013
Assessed Valuation	Local Assessed, Secured + Unsecured (2012-13) \$579,971,825
Population	12,250 as of January 1, 2013 (State Department of Finance estimate) Rank: 351 out of 482 California cities

Glossary

ACTUAL FISCAL YEAR: Amounts represent actual expenditures and financing sources for two fiscal years prior to the Recommended Budget fiscal year.

AGENCY FUND: Includes the Marysville Levee Commission and the Mary Aaron Museum, which are under the authority of the Council, but are separate legal entities.

APPROPRIATION: A legal authorization to make expenditures and incur obligations for specific purposes.

BUDGET EXPLANATION: A general discussion of the Recommended Budget as presented in writing to the City Council. The budget explanation contains a description of the principal budget items and their financial status at the time of budget development.

BUDGET FINANCIAL SCHEDULES: Provides summary and detailed information on financing sources/uses.

BUDGET UNIT: The classification of expenditures and revenue into appropriately identified accounting or cost centers deemed necessary or desirable for control of financial operations. Such units are devised at the discretion of the City.

BUDGETED POSITION: A unit of measure used to standardize positions with different bases (e.g., hours, months). All items are converted to full-time equivalent positions, which are represented as budgeted positions in departmental operations. A full time equivalent represents one item working full-time for one year.

CANCEL (OR DECREASE) RESERVES: An accounting transaction to release obligated fund balances to finance appropriations.

CAPITAL ASSETS: Expenditures for the acquisition of property or construction of buildings and other improvements and durable goods.

CAPITAL PROJECT: Capital improvements to buildings that result in an increase of square footage, construction of new facilities, or the acquisition of land, along with related professional services.

CHANGE FROM BUDGET: The resulting variance when the Recommended Budget is compared to the current budget.

DEBT SERVICE FUND: A fund used to account for the accumulation of resources to make payments of principal and interest on general obligation bonds and other long-term debt.

DEPARTMENTAL PROGRAM SUMMARY: Provides information such as the legal authority for the program, whether the program is mandated or discretionary, the description of the program and summary budget information reflecting the base budget.

ENTERPRISE FUND A fund used to account for those operations that are financed and run like commercial entities, where the intent is to recover the cost of providing ongoing services, primarily by user charges. An example is the Wastewater Enterprise Fund, supported financially by monthly rates and charges paid by businesses and residents.

ESTIMATED FISCAL YEAR: Reflects estimated expenditures and financing sources for the entire current fiscal year.

EXPENDITURE: The spending or disbursement of financial resources.

FINANCING SOURCES: Reflects the total resources utilized to finance expenditure needs.

FINANCING USES: Total needs requiring financing for the fiscal year, consisting of expenditure appropriations and increases to reserves.

FISCAL YEAR: A yearly accounting period, beginning on July 1 and lasting through June 30 of the next year, to which the annual operating budget applies. Fiscal years are designated by either the calendar year in which they begin and end or only in which they end. Abbreviation: FY

FUNCTION: A group of related activities aimed at accomplishing a major service or regulatory program.

FUND: A separate fiscal and accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts recording financing sources, financing uses, assets and liabilities.

FUND BALANCE: The amount remaining at year-end representing the difference between current assets and current liabilities. In enterprise funds, this is also referred to as Retained Earnings.

FUND BALANCE AVAILABLE: That portion of the fund balance not obligated and therefore available for financing the budgetary requirements of the Recommended Budget.

GENERAL FUND: The fund used to account for all citywide operations except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

MODIFIED ACCRUAL BASIS OF ACCOUNTING: The City's basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures. Expenditures are generally recognized when incurred, except for self-insurance, litigation, and employee benefits, which are accounted for on a cash basis.

NET FUND OBLIGATION: The amount of the operation financed by general purpose revenues, such as property taxes, after deducting revenues generated by the operation and transfers from other funds attributable to the operation in question

OTHER CHARGES: An object of expense that reflects costs not directly associated with the daily expenses of running an operation. Includes payments for interest and principal charges, capital lease payments, payments to other governmental agencies, and judgments and/or settlements.

RECOMMENDED BUDGET: The recommendations of the City Manager for how the resources of the City should be prioritized and spent in the upcoming fiscal year. Upon submittal of the Recommended Budget by the City Manager to the City Council, public hearings are held before the City Council approves the final budget, not later than June 30.

RESERVES: Portions of fund balance set aside due to legal or contractual restrictions and not available for appropriation.

REVENUE: Source of income to an operation other than debt issue proceeds, transfers from another fund, or use of reserves.

SALARIES AND EMPLOYEE BENEFITS: An object of expense reflecting the City's costs for employee compensation. Includes salaries and wages, insurance (health, dental, life, and unemployment), workers' compensation, retirement, overtime, and flexible benefit plans.

SERVICES AND SUPPLIES: An object of expense reflecting the purchase of goods and services within the year.

SPECIAL REVENUE FUND: A fund used to account for the proceeds of specific revenue sources that are restricted in the way they may be spent. An example is the Gas Tax Fund, whose proceeds (motor vehicle fuel taxes from the state) may only be spent on maintenance of City streets.

TRANSFERS IN: The transfers in of funding from one governmental fund to another. This increase in current financial resources is reported separately from revenue to avoid distorting revenue trends.

TRANSFERS OUT: All interfund transfers legally authorized from a fund receiving subsidy to the fund through which the resources are to be expended.

Index

- A**
Administrative Services Department 1.1
- B**
Bok Kai Event 11.1
Building & Code Enforcement 7.12
Business Development Center 3.4
Budget Financial Schedules
- C**
Capital Investment Plan 20.1
Capital Projects 14.1
City Clerk 4.1
City Council 2.1
City Manager's Office
 City Manager 3.1
 Business Development Center 3.4
City Services Department
 Administration & Engineering 7.4
 Building & Code Enforcement 7.12
 Park Maintenance 7.10
 Planning 7.13
 Public Works Center 7.5
 Street Lighting 7.8
 Street Maintenance 7.7
 Street Trees 7.6
- D**
Debt Service 13.1
- F**
Fire Department 6.1
- G**
Gas Tax 9.1
Glossary 21.2
- M**
Mary Aaron Museum Fund 18.1
Marysville Levee Commission Fund 19.1
- N**
Nondepartmental Special Accounts 11.1
- O**
Organization Chart v
- P**
Park Maintenance 7.10
Parks, Recreation & Cultural Capital Improvements 15.1
Planning 7.13
Police Department 5.1
- Public Finance Authority Debt Service 13.1
Public Works Center 7.5
- R**
Reader's Guide to Understanding the Budget iv
Redevelopment Successor Agency 16.1
- S**
SB 325 Local Transportation 8.1
Statistics 21.1
Street Lighting 7.8
Street Maintenance 7.7
Street Trees 7.6
- Y**
Yuba County LAFCO 12.1